

State of New Hampshire Governor's Office FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF AND RECOVERY (GOFERR) STAKEHOLDER ADVISORY BOARD

PUBLIC MEETING

THURSDAY, MAY 7, 2020 2:00 p.m. in One Eagle Square Concord, NH 03301 Stakeholder Advisory Board Members:

Bill	Ardinger
Dean J.	Christon
Lisa	Drabik
Jim	Jalbert
Amy	LaBelle
Al	Letizio Jr.
Donnalee	Lozeau
Scott	Mason
Michelle	McEwen
Nancy	Merrill
Jeffrey	Myers
Hollie	Noveletsky
Kathleen	Reardon
Benjamin	Wilcox

AGENDA

- Roll Call and meeting information
- GOFERR Presentation
- Presentations
- Discussions Regarding Recommendations
- Other Business
- Next meeting Tuesday May 12, 1:00 pm

PROCEEDINGS 1 * * * * * 2 JOE DOIRON: Good afternoon and welcome to a duly 3 4 noticed meeting for the Stakeholder Advisory Board to the Governor's Office of Emergency Relief and Recovery. 5 The Governor's Office for Emergency Relief and 6 Recovery was created when Governor Sununu issued Executive 7 Order 2020-06. That order is publicly available on the 8 Governor's website. 9

Today's meeting is an official meeting of the Board. It's open to the public and will be run in a manner compliant with RSA 91-A. We have someone on the phone taking minutes, which will be posted to the GOFERR webpage.

Meeting materials for the Board and the public can
be found by visiting the GOFERR webpage at

16 www.goferr.nh.gov. Again, that's goferr.nh.gov.

22

And again, today's meeting is being recorded. I will help facilitate today's meeting. Because the Board is meeting by phone under RSA 91-A, there are a few initial logistics that we need before I turn it over to our Chair, Donnalee Lozeau.

First, each of us must state our names, where we

1 are located, and who is with us.

2	As we move through our agenda today, each Board
3	member and anyone else speaking is asked to identify
4	themselves before speaking. Should you choose to take any
5	votes today, they must be done by roll call.
6	For attendance, we'll be conducting a roll call in
7	this matter. I am Joe Doiron, Deputy Director of the GOFERR,
8	in the GOFERR office, 1 Eagle Square in Concord. With me
9	is:
10	LISA ENGLISH: Lisa English.
11	RHONDA HENSLEY: Rhonda Hensley.
12	JOE DOIRON: And we are in a large conference
13	room, appropriately socially distanced, at the Department of
14	Business and Economic Affairs. Now we will proceed to Board
15	member roll call. We will go through the roll call
16	alphabetically.
17	Bill Ardinger?
18	BILL ARDINGER: Thank you Joe and everyone.
19	Hello, everyone. This is Bill Ardinger. I'm at home and
20	alone.
21	JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Bill. Dean Christon?
22	DEAN CHRISTON: Good everyone. This is Dean

Christon, New Hampshire Housing. I'm at the authority's
 offices in Bedford and I am alone.
 JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Dean. Lisa Drabik?
 LISA DRABIK: Good afternoon, everyone, this is

5 Lisa Drabik. I in Londonderry, in my home office and I'm 6 alone.

JOE DOIRON: Thank you. Thank you, Lisa. JimJalbert?

JIM JALBERT: Good afternoon, everyone. This is
Jim Jalbert. I'm at my office in Portsmouth, New
Hampshire, and I am alone.

JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Jim. Amy LaBelle?
AMY LABELLE: Hi, Amy Labelle here. I am in my
home office and I am alone.

JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Amy. Al Letizio Jr.? AL LETIZIO JR: Good afternoon, everyone. This is Al Letizio Jr. I am at my office in Windham, New

18 Hampshire, alone.

JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Al. Donnalee Lozeau?
 DONNALEE LOZEAU: 'Afternoon, this is Donnalee
 Lozeau. I'm alone in my agency office here in
 Manchester.

JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Donnalee. Scott Mason? SCOTT MASON: Yes, this is Scott Mason. I am alone in my farm office in North Stratford, New Hampshire.

5 JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Scott. Michelle McEwen? 6 MICHELLE MCEWEN: Good afternoon. My name is 7 Michelle McEwen, and I am in my office at Speare 8 Memorial Hospital in Plymouth, and I am alone.

JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Michelle. Nancy Merrill?
NANCY MERRILL: Hi, this is Nancy Merrill, and I am at
my work office in Claremont, and I am alone.

JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Nancy. Jeffrey Myers? JEFF MYERS: Hi. This is Jeff Myers. I'm at my home in Concord, New Hampshire. One of my daughters is in the home, but not with me.

JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Jeff. Hollie Noveletsky? HOLLIE NOVELETSKY: Good afternoon, this is Hollie Noveletsky. I am in my office in Greenland, New Hampshire and I'm alone.

JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Hollie. Kathleen Reardon?
 KATHLEEN REARDON: Hi, this is Kathleen Reardon.
 I'm in my home in New Boston, alone.

JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Kathleen. Benjamin Wilcox? BENJAMIN WILCOX: Hi, this is Ben Wilcox. I am in at Cranmore Mountain in North Conway in my office, alone.

JOE DOIRON: Thank you, Ben. Donnalee, with the roll call completed, we are ready to continue with all members in attendance.

8 AMY LABELLE: Great. Thank you so much, Joe. The 9 next item on our agenda is a presentation from GOFERR. 10 Any updates that we have from the office, from the 11 Legislative Advisory Board, and from the reopening Task 12 Force. If you guys will take that on, that will be 13 great.

JOE DOIRON: Again, this is Joe Doiron here in GOFERR office. I'm going to just -- two quick things, and I'm going to turn it over to Lisa. I just wanted to let you know Director Little is planning to join us around discussion time, just to help listen in and answer any questions. So he'll be joining us after the presentation.

21 And just another side note, we were as an office 22 presenting to the Governor and Council yesterday. So we -- if you were able to join that call, Director Little and other members of the GOFERR staff presented to the Governor and Council, answered some questions, and I believe that's available on the Executive Council website.

6 So I'd like to turn it over to Lisa for a few 7 quick updates.

8 LISA ENGLISH: Not a lot today. For the lab 9 update, some of you may know, but those of you that 10 aren't aware, the Legislative Advisory Board is working 11 on an allocation proposal from the meeting yesterday. 12 I believe they will be finalizing that proposal, along 13 with a cover letter on [audio unclear].

I wasn't sure if there's anything else, Donnalee.
Do you have anything else from the Legislative Advisory
Board?

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Well, I was going to mention to the Board that we have been invited to have a conversation with the Legislative Advisory Board Friday. It looks like it'll be 1:00. Half hour or 40 minutes or so just to update each other on where we are and answer any questions that members may have. LISA ENGLISH: Great. So a couple of other updates. One other GOFERR update, we have learned that HHS is starting to put more data about New Hampshire health care providers that have received funding on the various Congressional acts. So we'll be getting links to those forces up on our website, for folks' reference.

And then for a Reopening Task Force update, 8 there's a call going on right this very moment, and we 9 10 are monitoring their work. For those of you that 11 haven't had an opportunity to take a look, their website is at nheconomy.com/reopeningtaskforce. I just 12 did it by going through a Google Search. But they have 13 their minutes and agenda, as well as presentations on 14 the website. 15

But we'll look to get a more robust update for you for Tuesday. That is all I have, Joe.

JOE DOIRON: Yep, and just for members of the public, again, presentation materials for today can be found on the GOFERR website. If you go to the Advisory Board tab, and then the little arrows drop-down menu and click "Advisory Board Presentation," scroll to the bottom where the most recent meetings are. You'll see
 today, May 7.

The packet that went out to folks along with the resolution that was passed at the last meeting on the fifth.

6 So you can follow along there, and of course you 7 can find agendas, and we're adding content each day, so 8 please take a look. There's a lot out there but, you 9 know, there's a lot of good information.

10 So with that, I'd like to turn it back over to 11 Donnalee.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you, Joe, appreciate it. I would like to begin our presentations by thanking all of the people presenting today, including our Board member, Dean Christon, for being so flexible to let us change our plans from Tuesday to Thursday to hear their presentations.

Today, we'll conduct it the same way we've been doing, and I'll ask Dean after each presenter has completed to lead off with any questions or clarification that he thinks is necessary, and then I'll open it up for questions as we go through the list. So with that, Sarah Matson Dustin, the floor is
 yours. [Silence] Or not. Sarah?

JOE DOIRON: Hi, Sarah. Are you on? Oh, I think4 we've found her. Go ahead.

5 SARAH MATTSON DUSTIN: Can you hear me now?
6 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Yes.

7 SARAH MATSON DUSTIN: Hi, good afternoon, my name 8 is Sarah Mattson Dustin. I'm the Executive Director at New 9 Hampshire Legal Assistance, and I'm really grateful for the 10 opportunity to speak with all of you today, and also, so 11 grateful for this time that you're giving to our state 12 during these unprecedented times.

13 So thank you for everything that you're doing. 14 New Hampshire Legal Assistance is a non-profit law 15 firm. We are in the business of civil legal aid. And I 16 thought to start I would just zoom out and tell you where we 17 fit into the broader justice system in New Hampshire.

So when it comes to representation for people who can't afford to pay for it, we have the criminal defense side of the system, which is provided in New Hampshire primarily by the New Hampshire public defender. And in criminal cases, a person is eligible to have an attorney

appointed if they're facing incarceration. 1

NHLA works in the civil justice system. And 2 unlike in the criminal justice system, there is not a right 3 4 to have an attorney appointed in both civil cases. What we do at NHLA is help people with civil cases 5 that impact their most basic needs, like safety, shelter and 6 economic security. We work exclusively with low-income 7 people and people age 50 and over. 8 Many of our clients live in very deep poverty. 9 10 43% have incomes at or below the federal poverty level. So 11 for some context, that's barely more than \$500 a week for a family of four. And many of our clients have other 12 13 vulnerabilities, in addition to having low income. For example, over half of our clients experience 14 disability, and over 40 percent of our clients have minor 15 16 children. 17 We're a statewide program. Our offices are in 18 Berlin, Claremont, Concord, Manchester and Portsmouth. We travel to every corner of our state to meet our clients 19 20 where they are. That added up to about 100,000 miles of 21 travel in 2019.

22 I'm really happy to be able to tell you that we've

been able to maintain near total operational continuity during the state of emergency. We're continuing to receive new requests for services. We're continuing to take new cases; we're continuing to represent our clients. But we are absolutely in the calm before the storm.

I want to make it really clear that civil legal 6 7 aide, both here in New Hampshire and around the country has never had enough capacity to meet demand. So when I talk 8 the surge in need that we know is coming, I'm talking about 9 10 exacerbating a shortage that is acute in the best of times. 11 And we do, unfortunately predict that a surge is coming. I know that you're focused today on the housing 12 sector. So I'll zero in on that as well. Housing is the 13 largest practice area for extra legal assistance. That's 14 true for most legal aid programs around the country. 15 16 Housing cases make up about 40 percent of our cases overall. 17 And that's because the mantra of Housing First is 18 now something that we hear across disciplines. Housing is the literal and figurative foundation for stability for 19 20 families, and that's why it's always been a top priority for us as well. 21

We're in the calm before the storm right now

22

because moratoriums on eviction and foreclosure are staving 1 off some of the housing crises that are to come. But, 2 3 there's not a moratorium on paying rent. Families remain 4 obligated to pay their bills, including rents and their mortgages. 5

And once the state begins to reopen and the 6 moratoriums are lifted, many families are going to be lift 7 with significant arrearages and the threat of imminent 8 homelessness. 9

10 I know that you're going to hear today from other 11 presenters about the incredibly low vacancy rate that we have in the state. What that means for our low-income 12 clients is that rehousing can be nearly impossible. I know 13 you're going to hear a lot today about the importance of 14 direct rental mortgage assistance, and we really strongly 15 16 support those efforts.

17 The civil legal aid that we provide is also going to be on the front line of New Hampshire's economic relief 18 19 efforts.

20 In the housing environment that we have in New Hampshire and in this public health environment, we don't 21 22 want a single family to get wrongfully evicted. And that's

1 what we do at NHLA; we make sure that evictions and 2 foreclosures proceed on lawful substantive and procedural 3 grounds.

And very often that's not through litigation. Very often, it's for helping tenants work out reasonable repayment agreements with their landlord, helping homeowners work out reasonable repayment agreements with their banks. We try to find ways to keep people where they are.

9 So we know that the need for civil legal aid and 10 housing is definitely going to surge. But that's not the 11 only kind of need that's going to surge. We've outlined our 12 projections in our written testimony, and I just want to 13 highlight one of them orally.

Our second biggest practice area at NHLA is domestic violence. We help domestic violence survivors get protective orders. So these are the orders that require an abuser to stay away from their victims. We also help survivors get divorced, get child support, get orders related to custody and visitation.

And just this week, we got the data about the number of protective order cases that were filed in our New Hampshire Circuit Courts in April 2020. And it was the 1 lowest since January 2019.

That's something that's really scary to me, because we know that means there are many people who are simply not in a position right now to access resources safely.

As the state begins to open back up, I think we'll see those filings go back up dramatically, and we need to make sure that people can access legal representation.

9 Going to court is really stressful under any 10 circumstances. Facing down an abuser is extraordinary 11 stressful. The combination of those two things is really 12 not something that people should have to go through alone.

In our housing work, in our domestic violence work and the other kinds of law that we practice, what we're really doing is helping families to solve problems, helping them get back on the task to stability. And often, the legal interventions that we provide can prevent more expensive problems.

Homelessness is expensive. The long-term effects of the adverse childhood experience of being exposed to violence in the home is expensive. Families that have stability have secure housing, have food on the table, have safety from violence, are families that are positioned to
 thrive. They're better positioned to maintain employment,
 help their kids have educational success.

And we think of our work really as not just helping individual families, but helping entire communities. Our clients, and even people who are a little bit better off than our clients, are generally putting their money right back into the local economy.

9 They're paying rent, they're going to the grocery 10 store, they're putting gas in the car.

11 So when we make sure that low-income families stay 12 on track and can maintain that stability, we really give a 13 boost to the broader community.

I think the bad news here is that based on the last recession, we expect the number of people who are eligible for our services to increase by as much as 20 to 25 percent.

And in order to maintain our existing capacity, which is to say to keep the shortage of civil legal aid from getting even bigger than it already is, we would need approximately \$1 million dollars more per year until the economy recovers. I know no one has a crystal ball and knows exactly what that's going to be. What we would suggest that you consider is a \$3 million-dollar fund which would be able to be used as the wave comes and goes.

5 So it may be that we see a really significant 6 spike over the next six months or so, and then it has a long 7 tail if we have a sluggish recovery. So that's what we'd 8 like you to consider.

9 We wanted to mention that our current funding 10 mechanism for civil legal aid in New Hampshire is the 11 judicial Council. I hope that you've had a chance to look 12 at the letter from the Chair of the Judicial Council, Nina 13 Gardner. We really appreciate all of their support for the 14 services that we provide.

And I think that I'm about at the seven or so minute mark. So I want to make sure I have plenty of time to answer your questions. And once again, thanks so much for the opportunity to speak with you.

19 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you very much, Sarah.
20 Dean, would you lead us off?

21 DEAN CHRISTON: Thank you, Donnalee and thank you 22 Sarah, for that presentation and for the excellent written 1 materials you provided.

I want to just ask a question about one of the points you made, because I know it's come up in some of our earlier conversations -- the sort of hidden effects of some of what's going on now. And you touched on it in the area of domestic violence.

7 And can you talk a little bit about the sort of 8 scale of activity that you're involved in there, and what 9 kinds of things you think we might be sort of experiencing 10 as we kind of come out of hiding, if you will, going forward 11 here, in terms of numbers of clients and those kinds of 12 things?

SARAH MATTSON DUSTIN: Sure. So with the domestic violence caseloads, we actually are seeing a dip right now, because people --

16 DEAN CHRISTON: Yeah.

17 SARAH MATTSON DUSTIN: -- aren't able to access 18 resources. So we are usually able to accept several 19 domestic violence protective order cases per month, and a 20 smaller number of divorce cases.

21 The reason for that is that a protective order 22 case, generally speaking, resolves within a month or two. Divorce cases can often last a year or two. They are by far
 the most labor-intensive cases that we do at NHLA, on behalf
 of individuals.

4 So the unfortunate flip side of that is that we 5 turn away more cases than we're able to accept, because the 6 size of the demand is so large.

So the concern that I have right now is that the 7 demand is going to be really compressed. So it may be that 8 after a significant dip in April -- whether it comes in May 9 10 or comes in June or comes in July, that there will be this 11 pent-up demand that's going to be unleashed all at once, and we are not immediately going to be able to take more than 12 13 those several cases that we're able to take each months. So that's the real concern. 14

I think quantifying it is hard, because we don't know whether it's going to come all at once, or it's going to come in -- you know, a surge over three months.

DEAN CHRISTON: And additional resources would help you scale up, to be able to deal with that, when that surge comes, whenever it is?

21 SARAH MATTSON DUSTIN: Yes. And we've been 22 thinking a lot about how we might quickly "sand up" some additional capacity. We do not really have any precedent for hiring a whole bunch of people all at once and then sanding it down several months later.

So what we've been doing is trying to think about creative ways to do that. So for example we've been talking to our partners in the [00:20:44, audio unclear] about is there a way that we could essentially borrow lawyers from private firms who would be willing to come do a discrete body of legal aid work for some period of time?

We know that the bar is ready to step up in terms of doing pro bono delivery of legal services. We have an amazing historical commitment to that in New Hampshire. But we think we really need to find a way to get some lawyers on our staff for short-term. So we're thinking about those kinds of public/private partnership.

16 DEAN CHRISTON: Okay, thank you.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you. Are there questionsfrom other members of the Board?

JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we have a hand raised from Bill Ardinger. Go ahead, Bill.

21 BILL ARDINGER: Thank you Joe and Sarah. Thank 22 you very much. Your written materials were unbelievably 1 high-quality, and also, detailed.

They provided numbers, which permit a reader, I 2 think, to make an assessment of exactly what you're 3 4 suggesting in terms of a surge risk, not unlike what we're facing with hospitals, who have been required to adjust 5 their operations to face a potential surge of COVID-19 6 related issues, and they need help for that. 7 And so, I appreciate that very much. So one 8 thing, could you please speak a little bit as to how -- and 9 10 what's very good in your oral testimony, you've identified 11 an actual target for the surge fund at NHLA, if I heard it right -- and you should correct me if I didn't -- you know, 12 13 \$3 million dollars that would allow you to act at the capacity to serve the surge ... 14 Could you speak a little bit more about the 15 beneficiaries of this surge capacity? With our low-income 16 17 residents of New Hampshire who arguably are disproportionally being adversely affected by this COVID 18 crisis, and how the provision of your services would enable 19 20 them -- your beneficiaries, your clients -- to, you know, 21 find a path back to independent sustainability, you know, 22 over -- you know, a three-year period or so?

1 Thank you.

2	SARAH MATTSON DUSTIN: Sure, absolutely. So thank
3	you for that for your kind remarks, and also, for asking
4	this question about the communities that we serve.
5	So in terms of income, we help people who have
6	incomes up to 200 percent of the federal poverty level. So
7	that's a little bit more than \$1000 per week for a household
8	of four. As I mentioned, many of our clients are much
9	poorer than that.
10	So we have a it's really a diverse population
11	of clients that we serve. We serve a lot of families of
12	young children about 40 percent have middle-aged kids.
13	We serve many seniors. We serve many people who have
14	disabilities, and our clients really vary a lot in terms of
15	what drives their poverty.
16	So many of our clients who have disabilities are
17	impoverished because they're getting by on Social Security
18	disability benefits or on SSI, which is the federal program
19	for people who are both disabled and extremely low-income.
20	But many of our clients are low-income working
21	people. So they're people who have jobs in retail, maybe in
22	restaurants. They're often people who have irregular

1 schedules whose hours vary from week to week.

So what really probably is a through-line in our client community is not having a lot of give in the budget. So our clients are often making a choice in any given month whether the higher priority expense right now is buying medicine or buying food; keeping the lights on or paying rent.

8 And it's really unusual for our clients to be 9 able, faced with those financial circumstances, to have a 10 lot of savings.

11 So what that means is that in a situation like 12 this, there's very little for our client community to fall 13 back on. So that's who we're serving.

The services that we provide really are a way of 14 getting people back to their baseline. So we can help -- if 15 we can help someone avoid eviction by coming up with the 16 17 repayment agreement that they can make work with their 18 landlord over time, then not only are we keeping the family in their home, which has profound financial and emotional 19 20 and family stability effects, but we're also making sure that they don't, for example, lose possession -- that they 21 22 don't have to switch their kids to a different school.

All things that have really significant financial 1 and psychological costs. When we can make sure that someone 2 who's having trouble accessing unemployment insurance --3 4 because of course we know that unemployment right now makes our unemployment numbers during the Great Recession look 5 rosy -- then that's a family that all of a sudden, instead 6 of potentially needing to apply for Department of Health and 7 Human Services benefits or needing to apply to their town or 8 city for welfare. All of a sudden, that's a family that is 9 10 able to pay rent, is able to pay groceries. 11 So a lot of what we do sort of stops the trickledown into the safety nets of progressively last resort. So 12 we try to intervene in these crises, get people 13 restabilized, and then position them to be able to move 14 forward through the crisis. 15 16 DEAN CHRISTON: That's a fantastic answer, and 17 thank you. 18 SARAH MATTSON DUSTIN: Thank you. DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you, Sarah. I appreciate 19 it. Joe, is there -- are there any other questions. I'm 20 keeping an eye on the time. We're pretty much used our 15 21 22 minutes, but if there's any other questions?

JOE DOIRON: There is not, Donnalee. I'll kick it 1 back over to you. But just as a quick reminder for the next 2 presenter, 5^* to raise your hand again, 5^* . 3 4 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Okay. Thank you again, Sarah, much appreciated. 5 SARAH MATTSON DUSTIN: Thank you. Thank you all 6 very much. Bye. 7 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Elissa Margolin, the Director of 8 the Housing Action New Hampshire group. The floor is yours. 9 10 ELISSA MARGOLIN: Hi, good afternoon. Can you 11 hear me? DONNALEE LOZEAU: Pardon me, yes. I should have 12 said, "Good afternoon." Yes. 13 ELISSA MARGOLIN: Thank you, Donnalee, and thank 14 you to all of the members of the Stakeholder Advisory Board 15 16 for dedicating time this afternoon to understanding the 17 severe impacts of the pandemic on housing and on homeless 18 services. My name is Elissa Margolin. I serve as Director 19 20 of Housing Action, New Hampshire. We're a statewide coalition of 80 organizations, focused on improving state 21 22 and federal policies so everyone in our state has a place to 1 call home.

Our membership includes affordable housing providers, so organizations you might be familiar with like AHEAD and CATCH Neighborhood Housing, our neighbor work, but also private, affordable housing providers as well like Raybridge Properties.

We also have among our membership public housing
agencies, homeless service providers and supportive housing
providers.

10 We've obviously been in very close communication 11 with our membership since the start of the pandemic. We've 12 done some surveys and we hold weekly Zoom meetings focus on 13 the impacts of COVID-19 to come together and identify needs, 14 resources, helpful partnerships and solutions.

And before I outline for you some more details about the impacts of the pandemic on affordable rental housing markets and homeless shelters, I just want to ask that you indulge with me for a moment that I have been personally inspired by the heroic leadership of those in the housing and homeless services community.

I have been fueled by stories of property managers who become makeshift social workers as they actively work with their tenants, who are struggling to pay rent and
 connect these tenants in need to food pantries and
 telehealth services.

I've heard stories of mask making with coffee filters in the early days when PPE was scarce, and just the most inspiring leadership, really, from our state's 46 homeless shelters have prioritized keeping shelter residents safe.

9 They had to open new sites to decompress crowded 10 shelters. They had to provide isolation and quarantine to 11 those exposed, symptomatic or diagnosed, and they had to 12 totally recreate meals planning and distribution, because 13 their volunteer-based soup kitchen model, you know, fell 14 apart with this need for volunteers to stay at home.

15 So, you know, whether we're talking about a 16 shelter client or a tenant, you know, housing more broadly 17 is a foundational issue.

And I think Sarah referred to that in her testimony. It's hard to even envision rebuilding an economy if the housing market is unstable. You certainly can't keep people healthy if housing is unstable.

22 And you can't address things like mental health

challenges or substance use disorder treatments, if housing
 is unstable.

3 So that's why we're so concerned with stabilizing4 the affordable rental market.

5 As I noted on NHPR's Exchange -- this radio 6 program covered this issue recently -- unfortunately, and as 7 Sarah noted, we are on the brink of an evictions crisis.

8 This pandemic hit at a time when our rental market was 9 already strained. Our lack of affordable rental supply had 10 created a market of cost-burdened renters, who were -- many 11 were spending more than half of their monthly income on 12 rental costs.

13 So there was a lot of vulnerability already within 14 the market before the pandemic hit. And so, add to that now 15 you have severe job loss, particularly in certain sectors, 16 and the result is a growing rental population who won't have 17 the income to pay.

I noted on that same radio program, there's really not a question of tenants versus landlords. The eviction moratorium that will soon expire may have frustrated some property owners, but it created much needed stability in the interest of public health. The big picture that we're asking you to consider is more about the system itself -- a system where, you know, both parties, you know, create a market together. Landlords and tenants rely on each other, pay mortgages, capital maintenance and property taxes.

You've heard the expression "too big to fail" and 6 7 in many ways our rental housing market is too essential to fail, and needs your help. This is why our proposal 8 includes a request for your consideration for a rental 9 10 stabilization fund, funded at \$70 million dollars to provide 11 short-term rental assistance to fortify this weakened system, and to get our rental market on solid ground again. 12 13 I'd like to use the remainder of my time to raise the concerning issues facing our state's homeless shelter 14 system -- particularly our emergency Congregate Living 15

16 shelters.

You know, the bottom line is that we can't go back
to crowding people in bunk beds two feet apart, and we need
to make major changes in how we provide emergency shelter.
With the support from philanthropies, the EOC,
DHSS, the Governor's Office, homeless organizations have
successfully implemented some regional decompression

shelters, coordinated health screening and isolation and
 quarantine. But as this has gone on, we have,
 unfortunately, seen these encampments grow, these encampment
 sites.

5 So that is, I think, a growing concern when, you 6 know, community libraries grow or a favorite café in your 7 town closed, you know, for us that was a loss of community 8 connection.

9 But for a homeless person living in a tent, these 10 closures have cut off, you know, where they eat, where they 11 bathe, and where they get warm.

I've noticed a new expression on conference calls and webinars of homeless service professionals, and we keep hearing this phrase, "We can't go back to how things were." This is why we've asked for your consideration of a homeless shelter adaptation program, funded at \$25 million dollars.

These resources can help shelters adapt their physical spaces and operations to support these on-site public health protocols and safe social distancing measures.

20 We also need to address these growing encampments 21 issue. You know, we need to rebuild trust throughout each 22 worker, and get people back into shelters and safely house 1 them soon as soon as possible.

I'll close and open up with four questions, with 2 the reminder for all of that really that's the way to end 3 4 homelessness is through providing more affordable housing. We had started making a lot of progress and had some really 5 good, affordable housing projects in the pipeline. 6 The financing for some of these projects may not 7 be at risk, so we're also asking for your support in 8 considering a \$10 million-dollar fund to make sure that 9 10 these projects that were underway can get finished, and we 11 don't end up with half-sold projects and vacant lots. So thank you again for this opportunity, and for 12 considering the important viewpoints and proposed solutions 13 from all of the presenters today. 14 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you very much. 15 We appreciate your thoughtful approach to your presentation. 16 17 Dean, would you like to lead us off, please? 18 DEAN CHRISTON: Sure. Thank you, Donnalee, and thank you Elissa. I'd like to sort of explore a little more 19 20 with you the conversation about the shelters. And I appreciate the extraordinary challenge that shelter 21 operators have experienced in these last few months. 22

One of the things I think is very interesting in your comments -- and you've alluded to it in your oral statement as well -- is really thinking about a transformational approach to how we deal with people who are in need of emergency housing.

And just maybe explore a little more some of the options you think that could be sort of approached if there were some resources that were available to do that.

9 ELISSA MARGOLIN: Thank you, Dean, for the 10 question. It might be helpful just to zoom out for a moment 11 and reflect on the emergency shelter system. I've been 12 working on homeless services and affordable housing advisory 13 for about 10 years.

And when we started, there was a big movement about restricting funding for shelters, and focusing more on housing. And I think we very many, you know, endorsed this theory and want to continue to move in that direction.

However, over that time, we have really starved our shelter system and become a little complacent in crowding bunk beds. I don't know if any of you have toured a shelter, but they're essentially just big rooms with bunk beds very close together, and there is a lot of sharing of 1 very limited bathroom space.

And so, the fund that we're proposing is to help 2 us adapt the physical spaces. Another thing that we're 3 4 experiencing right now is not only that some homeless shelter residents have left shelters out of fear and gone to 5 encampments with very little resources, but the fact that 6 our 211 resource cannot identify available shelter beds ... 7 Nationwide, the Salvation Army has encouraged 8 those homeless shelters to protect those they were currently 9 10 sheltering, right? Our understanding of public health was 11 don't keep the revolving door going. But so we're not actually serving those in need. 12 13 So the fund would be, you know, to do with the capital needs, but also the operation needs that would need 14 to happen, including things like day programming; probably 15 16 education around public health. 17 And certainly, a lot of outreach needs to get 18 folks out of encampments. I think that the municipalities have been wonderful in really understanding that we need to 19 20 serve people where they are, and lead through partnerships with philanthropy has been able to bring portable showers, 21 portable handwashing stations, and even meal delivery to 22

1 some encampments.

2 But we really don't want these encampments to 3 stick, right? I mean, it's not really humane for the people 4 living here. It's not safe for the workers doing the outreach, and it certainly won't be tolerated very well by 5 the municipalities, people will grow tired of that. So it's 6 another use of the funds; would be for those operational and 7 outreach needs. 8 DEAN CHRISTON: Thank you, Elissa. And I think 9 10 it's highlights a really critical opportunity, if you will, 11 to rethink the way we approach that really important need. And I just want everybody else on the Board to 12 know that Elissa and her team have been extraordinarily 13 helpful in working with the shelters around the state over 14 the last couple of months to help coordinate some of these 15 16 really challenging efforts to try to address these issues in 17 a way that is humane and safe, and also, addresses the 18 needs, as she pointed out, of communities themselves. So thank you for that and thank you for your answer. 19 20 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you. Joe, are there questions from members of the 21 committee? We have just over three minutes left. 22

JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we have a question from
 Scott Mason. Go ahead, Scott.

3 SCOTT MASON: Thank you. What is the estimated 4 current population of New Hampshire homeless? ELISSA MARGOLIN: I'm sorry, was the question what 5 is the estimated current population of the homeless 6 population throughout the state? 7 SCOTT MASON: Yes. 8 ELISSA MARGOLIN: Yeah. So the last point in time 9 10 count indicates just under 1500 people experiencing 11 homelessness. The Point-in-Time count was done at one day in January, and identified people in shelters, and it 12 identified encampment sites outside. It doesn't identify 13 people doubled up, or people who have identified themselves 14 as homeless in the school system. 15

So the Point-In-Time count will keep that number around 1500, whereas the Department of Education through their homeless services has a number closer to 3500, because they count through kids who are doubled up in home. So we usually say it's a range, somewhere in that area.

21 JOE DOIRON: Thank you.

22 ELISSA MARGOLIN: Thank you.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you. Joe, are there
further questions?

3 JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we have a question from4 Michele. Go ahead, Michelle.

MICHELLE MCEWEN: Hi, thank you. And thank you 5 very much, Elissa for your presentation. I just wanted to 6 learn a little bit more. You had made reference in your 7 document about a Governor's recently announced homeless 8 fund. Could you tell me a little bit more about that? 9 10 ELISSA MARGOLIN: Yes. We've been coordinating, 11 isolation, quarantining, and decompression needs very closely with DHHS and the Governor's office to stand up. 12 13 A lot of these programs temporarily have required some funds, and the Governor did set aside \$3 million 14 dollars. That \$3 million dollars has both provided hazard 15

16 pay, and in some cases -- not all, but in some cases has 17 covered hoteling costs for a short time.

MICHELLE MCEWEN: Okay, thank you.
DONNALEE LOZEAU: Further questions, Joe?
JOE DOIRON: Hi, Donnalee, we have a question from

22 BEN WILCOX: Hi, thank you and thanks for your

Ben. Go ahead, Ben.

21

presentation. I had a question just about the different programs and the funding you've outlined. Is that a program that you would manage, and in house with Housing Action New Hampshire, or could you speak to that on how those funds would be managed?

ELISSA MARGOLIN: Yes, thank you for the question. 6 These proposed solutions we're proposing would be 7 administered by New Hampshire Housing and other partners. 8 So the rental subsidy program -- so just to 9 10 clarify, Housing Action New Hampshire is an advocacy 11 coalition, so we don't really administer any programs, and are not asking for any resources at all for our 12 13 organization.

A rental stabilization fund would more 14 15 thoughtfully be administered by Dean's organization, New 16 Hampshire Housing Finance Authority; the homeless shelter 17 adaptation program at \$25 million; the capital needs, so the 18 retrofitting of shelters that we're proposing would make a lot of sense for New Hampshire Housing to handle that piece. 19 20 However, DHHS's Bureau of Housing Supports is the one more active on the operations and services side. And 21 22 these two agencies have a lot of experience in working

1 together.

BEN WILCOX: Perfect, thank you very much. 2 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Are there further questions Joe? 3 4 Okay. JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, sorry our system is a 5 little slow. It appears that we do not, sorry. 6 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Nope, that's okay. Thank you. 7 Elissa managed to make it exactly at the 15-minute mark, to 8 so thank you very much. We appreciate it. 9 10 ELISSA MARGOLIN: Thank you. 11 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Newton Kershaw, CEO, Head of Acquisitions, Elm Grove Company the floor is yours. 12 13 NEWTON KERSHAW: Hi, can you hear me? DONNALEE LOZEAU: Yes, thank you. 14 NEWTON KERSHAW: Excellent. My name is Newton 15 Kershaw. I am Principal and Head of Investments and Finance 16 17 for Elm Grove Companies, a New Hampshire-based real estate 18 investment and management program. 19 I would like to thank the Governor's Stakeholder 20 Advisory Board for the opportunity to speak on behalf of New 21 Hampshire's real estate and housing industry. 22

By way of background, I am a Manchester native and

a graduate of Syracuse University, with majors in Finance
 and Entrepreneurship, and a minor in Economics. I completed
 a program of study in International Finance and Banking at
 Oxford University.

5 I began my career at Lehman Brothers Investment 6 Bank in New York City, and began investing in multi-family 7 real estate in New Hampshire over 15 years ago.

8 Elm Grove Companies is a vertically integrated 9 real estate investment firm headquartered in Manchester, New 10 Hampshire, with four satellite offices across the state of 11 New Hampshire, with one additional office in North Central, 12 Massachusetts.

Elm Grove owns and manages close to 1500 apartments in the affordable and market-rate apartment sectors, along with a number of retail restaurants, office and warehouse interests.

With a staff of over 100 employees, it has property management services, provided internally for its own assets, as well as being a third-party property manager for outside interests and private equity real estate syndications.

22 Additionally, Elm Grove Companies has construction

1 and property maintenance arms, which self-perform new construction, renovation projects, and internal maintenance. 2 3 Further, Elm Grove Companies has over 20 real estate agents who work towards Elm Grove Realty Division, 4 which has been one of the largest apartment brokerage firms 5 in the state over the past few years, as calculated by gross 6 revenues and apartment units transacted. 7 All industries have been hard hit by COVID-19, 8 what I will call a, "black swan event." Absent governmental 9 10 support for New Hampshire's housing industry, the 11 implications to the New Hampshire real estate and housing industry will be dire. 12 13 Our local, national and global economy have experienced an historic bull market run, and it was due for 14 correction, regardless of COVID-19. 15 16 The underlying economic fundamentals for that 17 correction -- specifically for our local housing industry, however, did not point to the severity of housing industry 18 distress that we now face. 19 20 Importantly, there exists a strong supply and demand imbalance in the state of New Hampshire for housing 21 22 and specifically affordable housing, such that there is a

1 great undersupply of said housing.

The Great Recession of 2008 was borne out of a 2 housing bust that occurred as a result of loose underwriting 3 4 standards for mortgages. Those past loose standards did not return, and 5 absent a black swan shock such as we are now experiencing, 6 there was no reason to expect the severe downturn in New 7 Hampshire's real estate market, which can now be expected, 8 absent governmental intervention. 9 10 I would like to introduce my bottom line up front, 11 and follow on thereafter with supporting information. My recommendation is that the state consider funding a 12 residential, rent stabilization program, which will provide 13 direct rental assistance to landlords on behalf of tenants. 14 I recommend a program sizing of approximately \$70 15 to \$105 million dollars. It is important when considering 16 17 direct rental assistance program to understand that the 18 program does not solely benefit tenants and landlords. Rather, it will serve a larger public benefit by 19 20 averting a groundswell of distressed real estate, the effects of which will stress local banks, eviction court 21 systems, future construction starts, and local and state tax 22

Payments Receivable, and displace many of the New
 Hampshire's most housing insecure.

In order to make recommendations regarding the sizes of this program, I have spoken with over a dozen industry participants, including New Hampshire's largest apartment owners, with apartment holdings in excess of 10,000 apartments -- hotel owners, bank CEOs and regional real estate investment bankers who represent clients with apartment unit ownership in excess of 40,000 apartments.

10 In these discussions, I represented a four -- I 11 represented a -- sorry, can't read my writing. I 12 represented a determined program sizing that relied on four 13 variables.

First, the total number of New Hampshire apartments --that being 189,000 units; second, the average rent -- that being \$1251 per month; third, the expected temporary reduction in collections due to the implications of COVID-19; and fourth, the length of time through which the severe impacts of COVID-19 would impact collections. These discussions were framed in the context of

21 the current short-term federal fiscal stimuli that are 22 temporarily delaying the severity of collection losses.

Those stimuli include: 1) One-time direct payments 1 that were sent to U.S. citizens by the U.S. Treasury; 2) The 2 Payroll Protection Program, which has allowed many who would 3 4 otherwise have been unemployed or underemployed to remain employed for a period of eight weeks as a result of direct 5 payments by the Small Business Administration to companies 6 to keep workers employed; and 3) Unemployment benefits 7 supplements provided for by CARES Act, which give recipients 8 an extra \$600 per week, which sunset after July 31. 9 10 The conclusions of these programs will represent a 11 time of great strain on tenants' ability to pay rent. The responses I received from the industry participants were 12 13 telling, as they all told a similar story. Regarding rental collections, the consensus was 14 that in a worst-case scenario, collections could be off 30 15 percent from normal. No participant thought that 16 17 collections would be off less than 15 percent. 18 Regarding the length of time that severe COVID-19 impacts would be felt, the consensus was about one year, 19 20 taking us through the end of the first quarter of 2021. No participant thought the impact would reduce prior to year-21 22 end 2020.

Regarding when the economy would more fully
 stabilize toward a new normal, 2022 was the resounding
 consensus.

4 It is difficult to know exactly what the impact of 5 COVID-19 will be on reduced collections, and through what 6 period of time they will be experienced.

7 What I've attempted to do is come up with a number 8 that is not an ask for the worst-case scenario, what could 9 be easily in the excess of one-quarter billion dollars.

10 Rather, I have attempted to propose a number range 11 that represents a floor level of funding, below which we can reasonably expect that there will be a severe housing shock 12 13 that will have dire implications to New Hampshire's housing insecure, local banks and their future ability to provide 14 loans to our communities, the court system, the construction 15 and related trades, and municipal and state tax revenues 16 17 receivable.

As such, I have used a two to three month period of reduced collections at 15 percent, to conclude a program sizing of roughly \$71 to \$106 million dollars as an appropriate floor to avert the full severity of the local real estate crisis. I apologize for an arithmetic error in my written submissions to Governor's Stakeholder Advisory Board, which translated to an erroneously stated range of \$47 million to \$71 million.

Again, I thank the Governor's Stakeholder Advisory Board for the opportunity to speak on behalf of the New Hampshire real estate and housing industries, and I'd like to take any questions at this time.

9 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you very much. Much 10 appreciated. Dean, would you like to lead us off again? 11 DEAN CHRISTON: Sure, thank you, Donnalee. 12 Newton, thank you for being here, for your comments and for 13 your analysis.

14 Can you perhaps as a property owner sort of put a 15 little bit of perspective for folks around just what the 16 challenge is for an owner dealing with having a significant 17 number of tenants who are unable to pay rent and what your 18 options are, frankly, in a circumstance like that?

19 NEWTON KERSHAW: Sure. Thank you for the 20 question. Well, first I'll start by saying that to date we 21 as a company and other landlords in the industry have not 22 yet experienced the significant reduced collections that we expect to see in the future as a result of the factors that
 I've mentioned.

However, when those do materialize, that's going However, when those do materialize, that's going to translate to lower cash flow, and it's going to impede our ability to make payments, those payments primarily being to the largest expense categories being mortgages, principal and interest for those mortgages.

8 So what we're seeing in the industry is a 9 willingness from the federal agencies, Fannie Mae and 10 Freddie Mac, to institute forbearances and allow for periods 11 of time through which a deferral of payment or interest-only 12 payment can be made.

13 In exchange, the owner would need to forestall any 14 eviction proceedings for 120 days, which is not out of line 15 currently with the moratorium.

Local banks I've been in touch with are also understanding of delinquencies that they expect. One banker is expecting 15 to 18 percent delinquencies across a portfolio which is not just multifamily, but across all sectors.

21 And so proactively willing to work on forbearances 22 with those borrowers who are credit-worthy enough to make those payments, but ultimately an inability to make those payments is going to lead to the banks taking on distressed real estate situations for property through the market. DEAN CHRISTON: And Donnalee, if I can just follow up. Newton, in the end as a property owner dealing with a tenant, you can work through those processes.

But ultimately, as we have heard earlier today, the option, obviously, is to move to evict the tenant, if they -- if you can't work out some kind of a payment plan that works for both you and for your lenders and others.

And that's obviously something that's not happening now, but it has big implications, clearly, for the court system and for you as a business owner and others. Is that not the case?

15 NEWTON KERSHAW: Yeah, that's totally the case. I 16 think one of the challenges is once this moratorium is 17 lifted, to the extent that there are arrearages, and back 18 payments owed, workout agreements are going to be the 19 prudent thing for owners to work on with their tenants, but 20 there's a period of time through which they're going to need 21 to make up those arrearages.

22 And with tenants already paying so much of their

1 disposable income towards rent, if they get behind three or 2 four months.

And if you have to tack that on and pay it off in 3 4 the remainder of a lease firm in six to twelve months, that can put quite a burden on that tenant, and makes some 5 challenged situations, as it relates to eviction 6 7 proceedings. 8 DEAN CHRISTON: Thank you. DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you. That exchange was 9 10 helpful. About two minutes left on the clock. Are there 11 questions from other members of the Board? JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we don't have anybody 12 that's pressed 5* as of yet to raise their hands, but we'll 13 give the system just a second to catch up. 14 BILL ARDINGER: Donnalee, this is Bill Ardinger. 15 I could have a question if there is a minute. 16 17 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Okay, there is. 18 BILL ARDINGER: Thank you for your testimony. I wonder whether you could describe the people who are -- the 19 20 renters in the program, what their income levels are generally? And would these -- the program that you're 21 22 talking about allow for a condition like making sure that

workout agreements or variance agreements must be in place
 across a spectrum of renters? Thank you.

3 NEWTON KERSHAW: Sure. Well, I mean, I haven't
4 given program specificity really good thought.

5 But in pointing to other states that have 6 implemented certain programs like Arizona and Delaware, 7 there certainly is a pointing to lower-income tenants. One 8 of the programs referenced those that were making 80 percent 9 or less of area median income.

10 So I think that those are the people that are most 11 housing insecure, and programs should give due consideration 12 towards those types of tenants, as opposed to a broad brush 13 equally giving out monies to those who are in need.

14 BILL ARDINGER: Thank you very much.

15 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you. Further questions, 16 Joe?

JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, it doesn't appear that we have any hands raised.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Okay. Thank you, Newton. You
 finished 20 seconds early.

21 NEWTON KERSHAW: Perfect. Thank you.

22 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Appreciate your time and your

thoughtful presentation as well. With that, Attorney
 Viscarello. The floor is yours.

ATTORNEY VISCARELLO: Can you hear me? 3 4 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Yes, sir. ATTORNEY VISCARELLO: Like all the other 5 presenters -- I'm sorry, I'm getting some feedback -- like 6 7 all the other presenters, I wanted to thank you for letting 8 me take part in this process. My name is Ken Viscarello. I'm a partner in the 9 10 Manchester law Firm of Sheehan, Phinney, Bass & Green. My 11 practice is concentrated in the area of affordable housing development. 12 13 In the past 20 years, I represented public housing authorities like the Rochester Housing Authority and Dover 14 Housing Authority, and not-for-profit and for-profit 15 developers in the development of over 100 affordable housing 16 17 projects using tax-credit financing. 18 I also volunteer in the non-profit housing community. I'm the immediate past Chair of the Board of 19 20 Directors of the Concord Area Trust for Community Housing, commonly known as CATCH, and I'm a member of the 21 22 NeighborWorks Southern New Hampshire Resource Development

1 Committee.

My testimony is going to be a little bit different 2 than all the prior presenters, as I'm going to be focusing 3 4 more on the development side and the folks who develop afford and construct multifamily affordable housing. 5 I'm here today to talk about the financing 6 challenges that multifamily affordable housing development 7 will be facing in New Hampshire as a result of the COVID-19 8 crisis. 9 10 In particular, I will focus on the low-income 11 housing Tax Credit program. I know that Chairperson Lozeau and member Christon are familiar with the Low-income Housing 12 Tax Credit program, but for those of you on the Board who 13 are not, in New Hampshire the majority of multifamily 14 affordable housing for families and for seniors is done 15 16 through the Low-income Housing Tax Credit program. 17 Without the tax credit program, the state will not 18 be able to make a dent in the already high demand for

19 multifamily affordable housing.

The Tax Credit program is a federal program, but it's administered by the New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority who, because that's such a mouthful I'll refer to 1 as "NFA" the rest of the way through the presentation.

Each year, NFA gets a finite amount of tax credits from the federal government to award and allocate to developers in New Hampshire to construct multifamily faffordable housing.

6 Once a year, the developers submit applications to 7 NFA to receive tax credits, and generally between five to 8 eight projects are awarded tax credits each year.

9 Once a developer receives an award of tax credits, 10 they go out and sell the tax credits to an investor in 11 return for cash or equity to put in the project. This cash 12 or equity never has to be repaid.

I think the best way to think about it almost like a down payment on a house. The more of your own money that you can put down, the less you have to borrow.

16 The smaller amount of debt on a tax credit project 17 as opposed to a market rate project allows the developers to 18 charge lower rents, and to target lower income families and 19 seniors to occupy the units.

In return for buying the credits, the investor gets a dollar for dollar reduction, and their tax liability -- federal tax liability for each tax credit dollar that 1 they buy.

But there's generally a catch, because even though they get a dollar for dollar tax deduction for each tax credit dollar that they buy, they don't pay a dollar to the developer for that tax credit dollar. They generally pay something less than a dollar for each tax credit dollar that they buy.

8 Before the outbreak of the coronavirus, investors 9 were paying about \$0.88 per tax credit dollar. So if a 10 developer had a million-dollar allocation of tax credits, he 11 would receive \$880,000 from the investor.

12 The gap between the tax credit dollars and the 13 total project cost to build a multifamily apartment is 14 generally filled by a combination of other funding sources, 15 including commercial loans, home funds, affordable housing 16 trust funds and CDBG funds.

However, the amount of these funds is limited, and the competition for them is fierce. With the drop in pricing for tax credits, the gap's only going the get wider, and there are few sources in New Hampshire to provide funds to fill that gap, which will result in a decrease in the development of multifamily affordable housing. The effects of COVID-19 -- development will have a multifamily housing is starting to cause a great degree of uncertainty in the tax credit market.

Over the past couple of weeks, I've interviewed a number of tax credit investors, consultants and other folks involved in the industry, and they're all basically telling me the same story.

8 The investors in the industry are anticipating a 9 drop in pricing for credits of an amount up to 10 cents per 10 tax credit dollar.

11 So where a developer might be getting 88 cents for a tax credit dollar per COVID-19, that developer will only 12 be receiving around 78 cents per tax credit dollar going 13 forward. And this is being caused by a number of factors. 14 Most tax credit investors or banks -- and a lot of 15 banks are having their own financial setbacks and they're 16 17 taking a wait and see approach before investing in any new 18 projects.

19 There's still a number of other investors out 20 there, like insurance companies, but with the decrease in 21 demand for tax credits, the investors that are left are able 22 to decrease their pricing. 1 There's also a concern because existing projects 2 under construction and projects that will be commencing in 3 the near future are anticipating facing delays caused by the 4 coronavirus.

5 Due to shutdowns and social distancing 6 requirements, most developers are anticipating that it's 7 going to take quite a bit longer to get the projects 8 completed.

9 This will affect the price paid by investors. 10 Investors can't take credits against their income until the 11 projects are ready to be occupied and placed in service. 12 The longer it takes for the project to get placed in service 13 and open, the more the investors' yield or rate of return 14 decreases.

So this is also going to have a negative effect on driving down pricing for tax credit dollars.

The last -- one of the other issues, and the last one I wanted to talk about today -- is that there's a concern about the effect that the coronavirus is going to have on the production of raw materials.

21 Most of the developers feel like there could be, 22 if not a shortage, at minimum a delay in receiving the construction materials necessary to construct these
 projects.

And to compound matters, most folks are thinking that there's going to be an increase in pricing for construction materials.

Again, the delays or shortages are going to cause the equity investors to shy away from putting dollars into affordable housing, and the ones who do will be able to pay a reduced rate for each tax credit dollar.

10 The Tax Credit program has currently constituted -11 - it's an important program for the state of New Hampshire. 12 Tax credit development plays a vital role in the state's 13 economy.

First and foremost, it's the most effective tool to make a dent in the housing crisis and provide clean, safe homes for working families and the elderly.

Second, these projects provide jobs, not only for construction workers, tradesmen and suppliers, architects, engineers, who build the projects, but the folks who work on compliance and supply and management once the project is complete.

One thing that's very important to understand is

22

1 that these projects aren't cash rich projects. It will be 2 difficult to find alternative sources of funding to fill the 3 gap caused by lower tax credit pricing.

The state needs a source of funding to provide additional capital to fill the funding gaps caused by the decrease in pricing paid by investors for each tax credit dollar, and the additional cost by anticipated cost increases for materials and supplies.

9 I believe the best way to tackle this problem is 10 to allocate some of the funding to NFA to use for this 11 purpose, for the purpose of providing financing to 12 multifamily affordable housing projects for working families 13 and seniors.

14 It would help to have a fund of money to offer no 15 interest, or low-interest loans or other forms of financing 16 to developers of affordable housing to fill the funding gaps 17 that will be created by lower pricing and higher cost.

I think it makes sense to allocate the funds to the New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority, as they are already overseeing the "home" program and the Affordable House Trust Fund program, and will have the mechanism, safeguards and procedures to get funds in the hands of low1 income housing developers.

2 Thank you for letting me make this presentation.3 I'm happy to take any questions.

4 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you, much appreciated. 5 Dean?

6 DEAN CHRISTON: Well, thank you Ken. I guess it's 7 probably helpful if you can take a moment and explain to 8 folks why it is that a developer can't simply in the context 9 you're talking about can't simply recover these additional 10 costs by, say, raising rent when the project is completed, 11 or by some other mechanism that might seem obvious? 12 KEN VISCARELLO: Sure, sure, Dean. That's an

excellent question. Rents are -- we call them LITC, lowincome tax credit rents. They're generally set. And most of the projects either, you know, are right -- charging right around maximum rents.

And the rents cannot be pursuant to Section #42 of the Internal Revenue code, more than 30 percent of a tenant's income.

20 So it's not as simple as just raising the rents or 21 deferring any fee. There's also a section of the Internal 22 Revenue code that prohibits organizations like yours, Dean, 1 from overfunding these projects. And you know, I -- you
2 know, also have got a finite amount of funds. I believe
3 this year it was about \$3.5 million.

But that in connection with the Internal Revenue Code Section 42(m) says that in general the housing credit dollar amount allocated to a project should not exceed the amount the housing credit agency determines is necessary for the financial feasibility of the project.

9 So there -- it's not as simple as just, "Okay, 10 we'll bump up the rents, and we can take back these costs 11 over time." What's really needed -- you know, I think as 12 I've stated, is that these projects, they don't produce a 13 ton -- as you know from underwriting, they don't produce a 14 ton of cash flow.

15 So it's not as simple as just jacking the rents to 16 get more cash flow to cover the holes in the funding.

17 DEAN CHRISTON: Thank you.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you. We have about five minutes left. Are there questions from members of the Board?

21 JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we have a question from 22 Michelle. Go ahead, go ahead, Michelle.

MICHELLE MCEWEN: Thank you, and thank you for 1 your presentation. I just was wondering if you could 2 explain to me how volatile the low-income tax credits are? 3 4 I mean, obviously you're seeing them, they're down or the market value is going down, but with reopening, 5 hopefully with a resurgence in our economy, how quickly 6 would those rebound, or how -- how -- like I said, how 7 volatile are they? 8 KEN VISCARELLO: Sure. We faced a similar 9 10 situation back with the recession in 2008 and in 2017 with 11 tax reform, where they dropped the corporate tax rate. The demand decreased a little bit. And that demand on both of 12 13 those were a little bit different. In 2008, the tax credits bottomed out I would say 14 for almost three or four years, and it got to a point where 15 as part of the 2012 Recovery Act, that states like New 16 17 Hampshire -- all states -- were able to monetize their tax 18 credits by turning them in, and that kind of kept the market in getting cash to loan out instead, along with some other 19 funds called, "TCAP funds." 20

They were able to keep the market going until about 2012, when it started to rebound.

1 In 2017, when the tax reform passed, the volatility, it dropped probably about six to eight cents per 2 tax credit dollar. That time it rebounded a bit more 3 4 quickly, because the need and the issues with the recession weren't the same. It was just that banks and other 5 institutions had a lower corporate tax rate, so the demand 6 wasn't there. 7 But as over the past year, year and a half, 8 they've come back to where they've kind of started in the 9 10 high '80s, low '90s. 11 I think on -- this time, you know, I think -- and also, just to be blunt from actually talking to some of the 12 investors I know, some of them are opportunistic. You know, 13 they see this uncertainty in the market. 14 And even if, you know, there's no reason for them 15 to decrease it, they're going to try to increase their yield 16 17 or their rate of return on their investment, and that's what 18 they're all telling me too is all the yields -- you know, people want to see the yields go up. And that's going to 19 20 force a -- you know, downward correction in the market for tax credits. 21

MICHELLE MCEWEN: Okay, thank you.

22

1 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Further questions? JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we appear to have -- we'll 2 give them "a fifth of a moment," but we have no hands 3 4 raised. 5 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Okay. Thank you very much, Attorney Viscarello. We much appreciate your presentation. 6 KEN VISCARELLO: Sure thank you for referring 7 letting me take part. 8 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Of course. Next, Evelyn 9 10 Whelton, Senior Vice-President, Retail Lending Sales 11 Manager. EVELYN WHELTON: Thank you. Thank you, Donnalee 12 and thank you to the committee for taking this time. 13 14 DONNALEE LOZEAU: [Acknowledged.] EVELYN WHELTON: I am here today representing both 15 Bank of New Hampshire, but also the Mortgage Bankers and 16 17 Brokers Association of New Hampshire. I serve as their 18 President this year, and I worked with our membership to 19 come up with the proposal that we put forth to the 20 committee. I think I have to tell you: I'm alone in my home 21

22 office. [Laughter]. So the MBBA New Hampshire represent New

Hampshire lenders, both depository and non-depository - title companies, insurance agencies and the like, supporting
 home sales in New Hampshire.

Our primary focus is really working towards maintaining a sound financial economy around homeownership. We want to help families have a healthy safe and stable living environment, to ensure that they can actively participate in their communities.

9 We recognize that with any economic downturn, some 10 folks simply will not be able to maintain mortgage payments. 11 This will stress a family's housing, which is a primary 12 anchor; i.e., the roof over their head.

Nationally, forbearance, or the ability to not make a payment in a particular month is at about 7 percent. I don't have April's numbers yet for the state of New Hampshire, but I can tell you that as a servicer in New Hampshire, we're running at about the 5% mark.

So a little under the national average, but still concerning, considering we've only gone through one cycle under the CARES Act with this forbearance allowance, and May has just brought that second month upon us.

22 Many in the state, many homeowners, don't

1 understand what forbearance means. They know they're in 2 trouble, they know there's an option, and they're going to 3 take it. That's what we do to survive.

They don't know how it works, and they don't understand the payback around the payments that they have been allowed to put aside, for lack of a better term.

In fact, some smaller servicers that we have
talked to are also struggling to understand forbearance,
because forbearance has a different meaning, depending on
who owns your mortgage. So again, that makes it difficult
for a homeowner to navigate this system.

Add to that the CARES Act foreclosure moratorium and we've got another component here in needed relief in the state.

So the moratorium when it is listed will create some additional pressure on the system. I was listening to other folks talking about the pressure in the rental market, once the moratorium on eviction is lifted. We're going to see something similar in the foreclosure realm.

20 So we all know that if a homeowner is struggling 21 and they need to sell a home, not only could they avoid 22 foreclosure, but usually in trying to make that sale, there 1 are also some maintenance and repair needs.

2 So if someone can't make monthly payments, they 3 usually also going to struggle to pay for any required 4 maintenance.

Foreclosures often flood the market with homes 5 that are difficult to finance because of their condition. 6 7 Again, seeing things get layered one on top of the other. 8 Those things all adversely impact our New Hampshire economy. So our proposal is to get ahead of this issue. 9 10 We'd like to see some stability provided in the housing 11 market by allowing those in financial trouble to have that option to sell rather than end up in foreclosure. 12

We'd like to see counseling provided to maybe help navigate them out of that foreclosure space. Or if there's no option, help them more quickly get to that foreclosure space, as sad as that is, and keep things moving.

So based on knowledge learned during the Great Recession, we know that early intervention via education and counseling can mitigate unnecessary stress in the homeownership realm. It's our desire to see similar relief, as we saw back in the 2009 to 2012 crisis.

22 We'd like to see similar relief deployed to ensure

that as many New Hampshire residents as possible may stay in
 their homes.

3 So the organization is concerned about the impacts 4 of COVID-19, and we're looking to see that the working model 5 of 211 New Hampshire, which is the home health New Hampshire 6 line stay in place.

7 This is the central option for intake and 8 referrals. It allows homeowners to have a quick, easy 9 access to educated personnel who can tie them with the 10 organization closest to them and help them work through 11 either forbearance mitigation with their lender, foreclosure 12 mitigation with a lender.

13 If the lender is not a federal lender, like some 14 of our small New Hampshire servicers, it may need to needing 15 relief to help actually back out of foreclosure.

I think we saw back in the last Great Recession many private [I'm going to call them] - private label lenders offering some relief to mortgage balances in order to lower monthly payment obligations. That's certainly not first on the list, but we do have to recognize not all mortgages are federally backed.

22 Recovery is the second piece of the puzzle.

Recovery is really dealing with how we look at the housing
 market as we move forward.

3 So what happened to the average New Hampshire 4 resident during the down turn period? We're going to have 5 credit problems, because people were unable to make 6 payments, we're going to have savings that now are limited, 7 if there at all.

8 So we've just experienced 10 really good growth 9 years. Our residents have done a great job at being able to 10 save. In fact, Americans saved 13 percent more in the month 11 of March then they did in the month of February. And that's 12 simply because as good consumers, they knew they needed to 13 tighten their belts.

That said, those monies saved are going to go to pay bills, where they don't have income coming in to offset it, and savings will be depleted over the course of the next few months.

18 So our proposal is really looking at dealing with 19 relief now. How do we maintain the most stable housing 20 environment on the homeownership side through the downturn, 21 and then how do we help low -- I call it "low to moderate 22 income home buyers," which is 50 percent of our population, 1 as we move forward?

And so if you take a look at the numbers, we're 2 asking for \$5 million dollars to go towards relief, with 3 4 some targeted at free foreclosure counseling, monies targeted with the 211 intake and referral system, outreach 5 and communications. 6 So if we don't actually reach out to our 7 communities and tell them these services are there, they 8 won't be used. And we do believe that communication is a 9 10 critical component of this proposal. 11 And then again, I talked a little bit about those non federally backed mortgages -- \$3 million dollars or so 12 13 in a pool for that purpose. From the recovery perspective, we're looking for 14 15 about \$16 million dollars to go towards Down Payment 16 Assistance. Again, not a new program. This is a program 17 that New Hampshire Housing has facilitated for the state for as long as I've been lending, which is -- I don't want to 18 19 tell you -- 30 years. 20 But I've been working with New Hampshire Housing

and Down Payment Assistance personally since 2002, and I 21 22 know how successful it is to help folks get into

1 homeownership.

households purchase homes over the course of the next 12 to 3 4 18 months, or as soon as the economy opens up, to be able to see that happen. 5 When we talk about economic stimulus to home 6 sales, what does that equate to? About \$533 million dollars 7 in home sales, and \$552 million dollars -- and I apologize, 8 I have those numbers reversed. 9 10 \$552 million dollars in home sales and \$533 million dollars in loans. All of those stimulate the New 11 Hampshire economy. 12 13 Add to that the \$3.2 million dollars in fee income that comes through lenders and go back out to our 14 communities, the \$10 plus million dollars that that 15 generates for the state via transfer tax, and it really goes 16 17 to show how an injection of funds into a targeted place can 18 actually drive economic vitality in the state. In regard to emergency home repair, and the 19 20 ability to mitigate foreclosure by having appropriately maintained homes, we're recommended \$4 million dollars be 21 22 set aside for that. We do see that as a revolving fund.

With \$16 million dollars, we could help about 2570

_

2

1 There is no reason why a homeowner couldn't put a new roof on, for instance, in order to be able to sell their 2 home, and then pay that money back to New Hampshire Housing 3 4 with or without a small interest stipend added to it. But again, having some sort of revolving fund, to 5 help mitigate foreclosures by allowing those homes to be 6 sold quickly, rather than foreclosed on because they weren't 7 well maintained. 8 In closing, I guess I would say for us it's about 9 10 those two things, relief and then recovery. And the real 11 key is to manage both of those before the market gets out of hand. And I really believe based on what I saw during the 12 Great Recession that this state has the ability to do this, 13 and do it well. 14 And I believe strongly that New Hampshire is the 15 appropriate organization to manage that effort, if you will, 16 17 on behalf of the housing industry. Thank you. 18 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you. Dean, would you like to lead us off again? Thank you. 19

DEAN CHRISTON: Well, Evelyn -- thank you, Donnalee -- Evelyn, I just want to have you focus for a moment perhaps on the relief component of your proposal and

1 really perhaps, based on your experience, talk a little bit about what the broader implications are of significant 2 numbers of foreclosures to the housing market, if you will, 3 4 and to home prices, to neighbors, to things of that nature. Because I think that's largely what we're all 5 trying to avoid here. 6 But maybe you can tell us your perspective not 7 the, having worked through that. 8 EVELYN WHELTON: Absolutely, Dean, thank you. And 9 10 one of the reasons, Dean, to your point, that I commented on 11 families having a healthy, safe and stable environment to actively participate in New Hampshire communities is because 12 that is what homeownership does. 13 And when you begin to see foreclosures creep into 14 15 neighborhoods, you now have homes that are owned by banks -whether they're local or from afar, they are homes that 16 17 don't have any activity there -- that home value has just gone down via the foreclosure. And it begins to bring down 18 the values of the homes next door. 19 20 And so, if my home was foreclosed on, it's probably not in the best shape, as I mentioned earlier, and 21 maybe it was a \$200,000 home and the best we can get for it 22

1 at foreclosure is \$175,000. Okay?

Now when the house next door sells, that house is going to use this foreclosure sale as a comparable. And it naturally pulls down the appraised value of that house next door, even if the buyer and the seller have agreed on a higher price.

And so, Dean, if you and I agree to sell the house next door for \$200,000 but it only appraises out at \$175, I can assure you there will be a renegotiation on the sale price of that home.

And so, if you picture that rolling from home number one to the house next door, to the house next door to that, you now see this ripple effect of home values being depressed. It takes a long time and a lot of cash infusion into a market to begin to push those values up again.

And that's exactly what we saw in the Great Recession. It took a long time to have comparables, i.e., What did my neighborhood's house sell for?" that were high enough to support higher values that demand was bringing to the table.

21 A couple of things happen, Dean, when values are 22 depressed like that. Not only does it impact the numbers I 1 mentioned earlier for home sales and the amount of money 2 that they pump into our economy, but bigger than that I look 3 at tax bases and things of that nature.

The lower the value of the home, generally the smaller tax bill they're paying. I realize that mill rate comes into play there, and the mill rate can change, but we all know that as towns lose asset value, generally speaking it's much more difficult to meet those mandatory payments.

9 And so, you might see school budgets being cut, 10 and you might see town services being cut. You will see 11 fewer volunteers in your community. It's a huge ripple 12 effect.

13 So I hope that wasn't too much and answers your 14 question.

15 DEAN CHRISTON: Thank you.

16 EVELYN WHELTON: Thanks very much.

17 DEAN CHRISTON: Thanks, Donnalee.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: You're welcome. So we have used all our time there, but if there is a burning question that needs an answer, Joe, do you see one?

JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we have a hand here.
DONNALEE LOZEAU: Can you tell from the hand

1 raising if it's burning?

JOE DOIRON: [Laughter] We had a hand raised from
Hollie. Go ahead, Hollie.

HOLLIE NOVELETSKY: It's not a burning question,
just a quick question. Evelyn, I think you did a really
nice job on structure and process of getting funds out.

You talked about the CARES Act only addressing the federally backed mortgages, leaves a third of the people out. Does that include manufactured homes, or is that number actually higher?

EVELYN WHELTON: You know, there are not a lot of manufactured homes included in the federal mortgage pool, simply because many manufactured homes are not considered real estate.

In New Hampshire, we are fortunate that we recognize them as real estate. But again, federal national lenders do hold the majority of mortgages in the state of New Hampshire.

And so that means that those mobile homes, even though -- manufactured homes -- even though they were in a state that considers them real estate, were not allowed to be mortgaged under those terms.

1 So they are with private investors like Bank of New Hampshire and Meredith Village Savings Bank, and 2 Franklin Savings Bank and, you know, smaller community banks 3 that wanted to be committed to the housing market. But --4 HOLLIE NOVELETSKY: So they don't qualify for 5 relief under the CARES Act? 6 EVELYN WHELTON: Yeah -- well, we are offering 7 relief under the CARES Act, but it doesn't mean that every 8 lender has to offer that, that's the challenge. 9 10 So we're offering it, but if another lender is not, then they can't be forced to. Does that make sense? 11 HOLLIE NOVELETSKY: Yes, thank you. 12 EVELYN WHELTON: Okay, thanks. 13 DEAN CHRISTON: And Donnalee, if I may, I'll just 14 sort of -- quick clarification there too is I think Evelyn's 15 completely right about the fact that a lot of community 16 17 banks have done lending in the manufactured housing space, 18 as has the community loan fund, but there's also a lot of nonbank lending in the manufactured housing space. 19 20 And I think the sense is that they are going to be a lot less likely to follow the sort of forbearance and 21 22 other requirements of the federal CARES Act than lenders --

1 real lenders might be.

2 And so, there is a risk to those borrowers that 3 really is not addressed by the CARES Act. 4 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you, Dean. I think that probably clarified it. Okay, with that thank you so much, 5 Evelyn, much appreciated. 6 7 EVELYN WHELTON: Thank you. 8 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Next on our agenda is Chris Norwood, Broker Owner of the Norwood Group and Chair of the 9 10 Public Policy Committee for the NHAR. 11 CHRIS NORWOOD: Well, thank you everyone. Can you hear me? 12 13 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Yes, we can. CHRIS NORWOOD: Fantastic. My name is Chris 14 Norwood, and I'm the 2020 Chair for Public Policy for the 15 16 New Hampshire Association of Realtors. I am a commercial 17 real estate agent and a small business owner in the state 18 for the last 17 years, born and raised here as well. 19 While I'm here representing the thousands of 20 commercial and residential realtors in the state, more so I want to talk on behalf of the homeowners, commercial 21 22 property owners, and then also the small business and

1 apartment renters that occupy those spaces.

I think Evelyn and Newton helped ground some of 2 the discussion that I would like to present. In comparison 3 4 to the Great Recession, there's still solid fundamentals that we've been underwriting in purchasing and on the 5 financing side over the past few years. 6 In the Great Recession, I was personally 7 performing work out valuations for out of state lenders that 8 had commercial and apartments. 9 10 And I can tell you firsthand knowledge, the 11 fundamentals on the underwriting was just not solid and not well grounded, unlike today, we have a solid foundation. 12 13 But this is, as Newton said, a black swan event. On the residential and single-family condo side, 14 we're still in a housing crunch. We've all talked about 15 16 that. Prices have remained high. The April data shows that 17 both prices and volume is up. And the pipeline, however, of 18 under agreements is down. And this is kind of what we expected; that the 19 20 April closes were really a result of February and January's under agreements if you will. It takes 60 to 90 days to 21 22 work through a close. We're now seeing the effects of some

of our surveys, which say that sellers are pulling their homes off the market, buyers are delaying their home searches.

Still, when a property goes on the market,
evidence suggests that it sells quite quickly. However,
folks are just slowing down the amount of velocity through
the pipeline.

On the supply side, there have been some 8 challenges. To echo a little bit of what Attorney 9 10 Viscarello was saying, there's supply-side challenges for 11 new apartments, for single-family lot developers and contractors there's liquidity challenges from their banks. 12 And also, delays from Planning and Zoning Boards 13 for the months of March and April, while they started to 14 ramp an online, you know, subdivision approval process. 15 16 That takes time.

17 Shifting onto the commercial side, this is where 18 we expect the greatest amount of impact. If you think about 19 the data to be collected, there isn't a great report that I 20 can pull that shows the amount of nonpayment, either 21 currently or expected through the system as a result of 22 office, industrial and retail tendencies to their landlord. Preparing for today, I interviewed a number of property owners and a number of property managers, and the evidence suggests that somewhere between 30 and 50 percent of all retail tenants have either not paid either April or May's rent, or conversely have sent a letter to their landlord requesting abatement of the same.

7 So that's on the retail sector. On the office 8 sector, it's lower -- probably around 10 percent, and even 9 lower still on the industrial side -- probably around 5 10 percent. This spans local and national tenants. These are 11 the downtown storefronts and, you know, your regional strip 12 mall on your favorite rural Sunday driving road.

We've also unfortunately seen some "Closed for good" signs. You've read those in the newspaper, and those are sad, and we expect more of that.

All of those nonpayments and the "Closed for good" we feel are going to put a constraint on payments for mortgages, payments for real estate taxes, and other what we call "CAM" fees -- Common Area Maintenance for snowplowing and utilities and those type of items.

21 We do expect there will be some evictions and 22 foreclosures, once the Executive Order #4 is removed, and we expect that to create some additional pressures on the court
 system. That's already been discussed on this call.

3 So we do believe that mortgage payments may be in 4 jeopardy. I would like to correct one thing that was in my written testimony that was reflecting in the National 5 [01:35:40, indiscernible] Data that stated that the 6 nonpayment rates on hospitality jumped up to 20 percent. 7 It should have read, jumped up "by" 20 percent. 8 So not nearly as alarming as my written testimony would 9 10 suggest, but still a concern nonetheless.

11 We do not yet have the May data for the national 12 mortgage data.

Getting back to these local commercial tendencies 13 and property owners, there were stimulus funds through the 14 PPP and the EIDL. I can answer questions on it, but 15 basically a lot of those funds either didn't turn out as 16 17 promised, as perhaps the EIDL was, or had challenges in the 18 delivery, such as the PPP, and also, could not be used specifically for -- excuse me -- a small portion only could 19 20 be used for occupancy or other real estate related means. 21 So anecdotal evidence suggests that a lot of folks 22 who have taken these funds will be good until perhaps June,

but then beyond June when the PPP runs out, they're really left with uncertainty on how they want to move forward, and do they want to accumulate some more debt.

So overall we're concerned that the stimulus did not provide enough for these businesses and property owners, some of which will be closed, and more forthcoming. And we're concerned of what this will do for both mortgages, foreclosures, and also real estate taxes getting back to the cities and towns.

10 So we have a few recommendations, some of which 11 have pivoted since I provided the written testimony, because 12 we've kind of watched what others have been putting forth.

13 So the first recommendation is a smaller one, and 14 I have not prescribed a dollar amount to this. But as 15 businesses look to open, we are appreciative of the 16 Reopening Task Force and the PDFs and the information on 17 reopening, but we're curious if there could be some funding, 18 perhaps directed towards business and economic affairs to 19 provide some training.

There may be a legal hotline for business owners and property managers on how to safely open up their businesses and properties. We do think that there will be demand for folks with questions, that is just not -- is
 needed beyond a static PDF.

3 Our recommendation that we put forth in our plan 4 was talking about some sort of tax payment relief, whereby 5 you would get a credit if you were paying your real estate 6 taxes.

7 We understand that the stimulus funds may have 8 limitations to being used in that fashion, and while -- if 9 in future arise, we would champion that, because we think 10 liquidity to the cities and towns is important, we recognize 11 that it might not be as applicable today.

So the two new recommendations that we would like to strongly urge you to consider that you have already heard from: The first is from the BFA, and their targeted industry relief. This would provide some liquidity to tenancies in the market. It was a loan program. I believe it was capped at \$100,000 initially, with up to \$50,000 of it forgivable under certain circumstances.

The piece that I would like to also urge, which maybe goes beyond what they had originally suggested is that this will be moved for not only nonessential businesses, but also essential businesses. While clearly the largest impacts are on the barbershops and the hotels and the restaurants, many businesses that were deemed essential still cannot make rent payments. We've seen this -- and again, it's mostly anecdotal evidence, I do not have any statistics, but it is out there.

7 The other recommendation we would like you to 8 consider is the Mortgage Bankers & Brokers Association 9 putting forth about \$25 million dollars' worth, and just to 10 touch the high levels, the pre foreclosure counseling, the 11 Down Payment Assistance program, as well as the emergency 12 home loan repair.

Those are other items for the single-family market that we do think that will provide a softening of some of the damage that could come out of this.

16 And with that, I'll conclude, and entertain any 17 questions.

18 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you very much, Chris.
19 Dean?

DEAN CHRISTON: Well, I was actually going to ask before the final comments whether Chris thought that the B of A's proposals around assistance to small and medium-sized businesses might address some of his concerns on the
 commercial side, but he's essentially answered that.

I guess I'll ask him a similar question to what I asked Evelyn, which is, you know, Chris, what do you think the implications could be for the broader markets in terms of foreclosures and reductions in values, if we don't do something to intervene to sort of make sure that those kinds of more dire things actually happen?

9 CHRIS NORWOOD: There's certainly going to be some 10 diminution of value, you know, regardless of foreclosure, 11 right? There's uncertainty and speculation.

12 The concern comes in my mind -- obviously real 13 estate taxes, and as we all know, typically we never see 14 real estate tax foreclosures, because usually the bank steps 15 in.

But ultimately, you're going to start seeing some short sales, and you're going to start seeing some foreclosures.

And I don't think it's going to widespread across all asset classes, but clearly hospitality and retail are going to be those two that are hit the most, and singlefamily and certain sectors as well. And again, I think that 1 the one piece that I stress is this is -- this could be 2 geographic neural, right?

I mean, there are markets that will be rebounding 3 4 quicker than others. But if you're a small retailer, and you own your own property, and you've been -- you're a 5 restauranteur, you can only make so much money in takeout. 6 We've heard somewhere between 20 to 25 percent, 7 maybe even less of your gross revenues if you're producing 8 takeout. So how long can you survive until, you know, you 9 10 just -- you put the keys on the table is unfortunately what 11 it is, Dean.

DEAN CHRISTON: Okay, thank you. Appreciate it. DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you, Dean. And thank you, Are there other questions from members of the board? JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we have a hand raised from Bill. Go ahead, Bill.

BILL ARDINGER: Thank you, Joe. Thank you very much and thank you for your testimony. You mentioned a couple of times in your remarks that, you know, the owner or renter has a bunch of cash obligations -- you know, maybe mortgage, maybe rent, maybe property taxes and other things. You mentioned that property taxes -- if a way

could be structured consistent with the federal guidelines' 1 height could assist property taxpayers make their property 2 3 tax payments and keep current, thereby helping the 4 municipalities, that that also serves a positive interest. Because there's -- they have cash available to 5 meet rental obligations and avoid, you know, going down that 6 path into property tax liens and things like that. 7 Could you just, you know, comment on if it could 8 be structured, would that be a good direction for these 9 10 funds to move in? CHRIS NORWOOD: Certainly, and thank you for the 11 question. The quick, complicating factor is that many 12 commercial leases are structured where sometimes the tenant 13 pays the taxes, sometimes they pay it directly, sometimes 14 15 the landlord pays the taxes. 16 But leaving that part of the mechanism aside, if

17 there's liquidity into the market to offer some sort of 18 rebate fund, the landlord and tenant can use their lease 19 document to govern that.

20 What we were brainstorming was some sort of 21 voucher program. It's something we've heard about, and I 22 can't cite the source from whence it came, but somehow if

you could create something that says, "Yes, I would -- Mr. 1 Town, the City Official, I'm coming to you with my voucher 2 that says I cannot pay my \$5000 property tax bill," and that 3 4 ends up filing as a lean on the property until there's a recent answer or sale event, but it would not accrue 5 interest -- or perhaps it would accrue nominal interests --6 then the city and town could take that voucher and cash it 7 in with the stimulus funds, or whoever the backer of those 8 dollars would be. 9

10 And then ultimately, those dollars would get 11 repaid back upon the refinance or the sale, because that 12 lean would be in position somewhere at the Registry of 13 Deeds.

14 So that was the mechanism that we kind of 15 brainstormed. But, as you pointed out in the question, we'd 16 have to understand if that mechanism could be replicated 17 here in the state with these specific funds.

BILL ARDINGER: Thank you very much.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you. Are there further
questions? Joe? About 50 seconds left.

18

21 JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we don't have any hands 22 raised.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: All right. Thank you very much, 1 Chris. We appreciate your presentation today and your 2 willingness to change your schedule for us. 3 4 CHRIS NORWOOD: Absolutely. Thank you all, and enjoy the sunshine today. 5 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you. With that, Dean, 6 you're up. Why don't you do your presentation and wrap us 7 8 all up would be great.

9 DEAN CHRISTON: Sure. So I am mindful of the time 10 and the amount of time that folks have been here listening 11 to people talk about housing and real estate and related 12 matters. And so, I -- at Donnalee's request, I did do a 13 couple things.

First, in addition to asking the folks who came today to speak, we did reach out to a couple of dozen other organizations and individuals, got their input, much of which has been also provided to the GOFERR team and kind of tried to synthesize their thoughts and summarize them in the memo that I provided to folks.

I'm not going to go through that in detail, because I'm assuming people have had an opportunity to look at it and probably don't want to hear me talk a whole lot 1 more anyway.

A couple things, though, that I do want to stress. First, I didn't address in my memo some of the issues that came up in Sarah's earlier conversation on the part of New Hampshire Legal Assistance.

I do want to observe, as someone who's been working in the housing space for a long time, that they do provide a really critical service in the context of not just representing individuals who have challenges, but also helping to ensure that things get resolved many times in a way that avoids litigation and evictions and things of that nature. And that in the end is good for everybody.

So to the degree that that didn't come sort of through that presentation clearly, I want to reinforce that.

As far as the specific proposals that people have brought forward, and we are kind of summarizing here, I guess I would touch on those that I think are from a relief perspective -- again, keeping in mind that our role is to make recommendations about how we might use CARES Act money for relief and recovery from this pandemic.

21 On the relief side, clearly the preeminent thing 22 here is this question of rent stabilization. And this is not just an issue that is kind of on the radar screen in New
 Hampshire.

People across the country are focusing on this. 3 4 The Joint Center for Housing Studies at Harvard just released a report that really focuses on this as a kind of 5 coming tsunami that needs to be addressed in some way. 6 Because once we get past some of the short-term 7 emergency funding that has been provided to renters, many of 8 whom are people that were very many at risk before this 9 10 unemployment surge; many of whom are people in the 11 industries that are most heavily hit by the unemployment 12 surge.

There is likely to be some significant reduction in their ability to pay rent, and a substantial increase in delinquencies on the rent side.

And that impacts not just them, but also the property owners, the communities in which they live, as you have heard, and ultimately could lead to a significant impact on the courts.

20 So some type of effort to provide short-term 21 temporary rental assistance targeted to people whose incomes 22 were reduced as a result of unemployment related to the crisis, and to make some sort of connection, as I think Bill pointed out earlier, to ensure that there's some kind of reciprocity -- if you will -- between the property owner and the tenant in terms of what kind of behaviors we're going to expand from both parties, I think is really a critical thing to at least consider.

7 Clearly a big ticket item, given the numbers of 8 people that it would impact, but I think it's also very 9 impactful not to do anything about it, or would be.

And so, something worthy of thinking about in that context. An important thing to keep in mind is that in New Hampshire by law, if an individual is unable to pay for their housing needs, it is a legal obligation of the local government to assist them.

And so, we've not really talked about that, but in this context, people who really can't make their rent payment and are at risk of eviction have the right to go to a town welfare office, and that could raise some significant costs for communities that are already very much under stress at this point.

Also in the context of relief, I think it's important to focus on the foreclosure intervention 1 conversations we've had.

The implications for the state's real estate markets for property values for communities are as equally important as they are for individuals, who are at risk of foreclosure.

And as Hollie's question pointed out, a significant number of people in the state -- it may only be 30 percent of people who have mortgages, but that's not an insubstantial number.

And many of those are people who have manufactured homes that they have purchased with a mortgage, and they're not likely to have the same kind of benefits that people who have federally supported mortgages do under the CARES Act.

14 It's important to make some provision to protect 15 them and to help them, and in any event, investing some 16 money in foreclosure-related counseling services we know 17 from our recent experience with the Great Recession, is just 18 critical in and of itself.

Because forbearance in the end is deferral, it's not forgiveness, and people need to understand that and they need to understand that at some point they're going to have to make a provision to make those payments. And understanding what their rights and obligations are in that context is a critical part of making sure that people don't make a mistake and end up in a very serious situation.

I would also call some of the comments that Ken 5 Viscarello pointed out as part of our relief effort. You 6 know, not only two months ago I think it was, we were 7 talking about how we had this critical shortage of workforce 8 affordable housing in the state, and the Governor had made 9 10 that a priority, as had the Legislature. There was a lot of 11 energy and effort going into try to expand the supply of that housing. 12

What I think you've heard here is that there is a significant threat to the ability of that community to actually deliver some of that housing that is already underway.

And yes, part of it's related to the capital markets and things that are going on there, but the reality is that those projects are not in a place where they can just generate capital on their own, they need some additional assistance in order to be completed, and to basically support the future of the state's economic 1 recovery, which they are effectively part of.

And then I want to focus just very briefly on the homelessness issue. I think that Elissa was very eloquent in her comments about the challenges that that community is facing.

And I don't know how many people on this call have actually had the opportunity, I guess I would call it, to visit a homeless shelter, but they use their real estate --J guess for lack of a better term -- very efficiently, or at least they have historically.

11 There are -- they need basically to put a lot of 12 people into a relatively small space. They need to use a 13 common meals areas and things of that nature. That just 14 doesn't work anymore. And they have been forced to take 15 extraordinary measures in the short term, which have been 16 very costly to those providers.

And I think one of the things she's pointing out is -- goes back to something we talked about a week or so again, when we were hearing from folks in the non-profit sector talk about the need to really fundamentally rethink systems, and how we deliver services going forward.

22 This is a place where that's really obvious, that

we can't continue to do things the way we have historically done them here. And that's going to require an investment both in operating resources and in some capital expenditures for those organizations and entities.

5 So with that, I just want to make one last 6 comment, and then I'll stop talking and answer any questions 7 if people have them.

8 I mentioned at the end of my memo that there is a 9 network of providers that could implement many of these 10 initiatives or some or all of them, if there was a decision 11 to fund them. A lot of people have mentioned my 12 organization. I appreciate that. That may well be because 13 they knew I was on the call.

We are not the only entity that does this work, 14 and I would envision that if some or all of these 15 16 initiatives were to receive funding in the end, that there 17 would literally be a network of organizations that would be 18 necessary to implement them, and that includes public entities like New Hampshire Housing and Community 19 20 Development Finance Authority and the Department of Health and Human Services. 21

22

But it also includes a range of non-profit

organizations across the state, the community action 1 agencies, the community loan fund, local housing development 2 and service organizations that have a lot of experience in 3 4 doing this work, a lot of experience in working with these client populations, and perhaps equally important in the 5 context of what we've been asked to do, a lot of experience 6 in implementing federally funded programs effectively and 7 with a high level of accountability and transparency. 8 So for what that's worth, I think it is feasible 9 10 for us to work together as a community to figure out how to 11 do the things that people have suggested we might do here. And with that, I will stop and attempt to answer any 12 13 questions. DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thanks, Dean, I appreciate it. 14 You put together a really great, interesting day and a 15 16 perspective I think most of us can understand, even those of 17 us not in that business. 18 Are there questions from members of the Board? JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we have a hand raised from 19 20 Bill Ardinger. Go ahead, Bill. BILL ARDINGER: Yes. My apologies to the Board 21

22 members. I'm sorry I'm asking too many questions. But

Dean, I wanted to say just a great presentation, and had a
 great group of people here, and really appreciate it.

When I saw in your presentation an estimated, you know, 152,000 renter households and thought about this kind of a robust rent stabilization program, I was really struck with -- and you've addressed it a little bit in your conclusion -- about whether it's possible to set up a program that can process applications fast enough to get this aid out the door at a pace that meets the need?

And so, I'd like to see if you could address two things: 1) How long do you think it would take to put together the program documents and the alliance or network of folks? 2) Do you think that New Hampshire Legal assistance -- it sounds like they provide an advisory that is pretty helpful, because maybe they get the first call from a renter who's in trouble.

And then finally -- last question -- our colleague, Scott Mason, has been asking. And Scott will probably ask this himself, but I wanted to do it because I think it's a great question, what are the administrative costs? Do you think of administering these programs, and setting up the program delivery? Thank you. DEAN CHRISTON: Sure. So hi. I think there's a number of partners that could be part of an outreach effort, and part of making such a program work. And I'm not going to deny that this would be a very significant effort, and a challenging one in many respects.

6 But you have community action agencies around the 7 state that already administer -- admittedly at a much 8 smaller scale -- some emergency housing resources in 9 partnership with the Department of Health and Human 10 Services. And they could be a key partner in delivering 11 these resources.

We have some capacity to work directly with clients as well, and we have the ability we think to build an online platform fairly quickly that would allow us to interact with them, and I think there are other partners as well.

17 So I don't think you would do this with a single 18 entity, you would do this with a network of organization 19 that have the capacity to work with a lot of people fairly 20 quickly.

I don't know that I can tell you, Bill, exactly how long it would take to get set up. I think our estimate has been that we would need a few weeks at least to get
 organized, and to begin to start taking applications.

And I think, like any other large-scale effort, there might be some sort of time to ramp up once you've opened up the door. But I think that's frankly going to be true of any of the large-scale relief efforts that we're talking about here.

8 In terms of New Hampshire Legal Assistance 9 specifically, they are a really important point of contact. 10 Another would be 211, which is I think sometimes an 11 underutilized or underappreciated resource, that I 12 understand has seen a significant uptick in calls from 13 people who are trying to understand where they might be able 14 to get housing-related resources.

In terms of cost, to be honest with you, a lot of that depends on what the scale of funding is that is available to do this, because that's then going to impact how many people you're actually going to be able to touch, a and how big a network and how many folks you're going to need to make that work.

I would anticipate that some of those partner organizations are going to need some resources to do this.

But in terms of, you know, frankly the efficiencies of these 1 networks, they already operate a range of programs with 2 3 relatively small admin costs associated with them, by which 4 I mean under 10 percent -- in most cases, under 5 percent, and I would anticipate that there would be the ability to 5 sort of make that happen. 6 I don't believe we'd be looking substantial admin 7 costs in the context of the size of the program we'd be 8 talking about here. 9 10 BILL ARDINGER: Thank you very much. Great job. 11 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you. Are there further questions from members of the Board? Doesn't sound that 12 13 way. JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, it doesn't appear that we 14 15 have any hands raised. DONNALEE LOZEAU: Okay, great. Thank you again, 16 17 Dean. Very much appreciated. 18 DEAN CHRISTON: Thank you. DONNALEE LOZEAU: The next item on our agenda is 19 20 discussions regarding recommendations, which we've decided to maintain as a standing item on our agenda, and when we --21 22 on Tuesday, we passed our first recommendation, which laid

1 out -- basically I think the easiest way to say it is put a
2 narrative to slide 6 in the PowerPoint that we discussed on
3 Tuesday.

4 And one of the items that we discussed a little bit further was this idea of recommending funding for 5 supportive and advisory entities to allow them to build 6 capacity to assist in services helping businesses --7 including non-profits -- have a plan for success, which we 8 actually heard a little bit more of today, with 9 10 recommendations of different presenters today saying, you 11 know, we are going to need the help and support of others to get people's best foot forward to counsel them, to support 12 13 them.

When I talk about that, I talk about the business sector, which includes for-profit and not-for-profit, but I also include in that the supporting entities for families and kids, as I like to say, when the doors open.

And so, having an opportunity to build up capacity at those organizations to be able to manage what might be having their way.

21 And we heard again today from Legal Assistance, 22 you know other groups like SBDC, the regional development 1 corporations, a lot of different organizations.

So I wanted to take the opportunity to revisit 2 that for members, and see if there's support for that. So 3 4 with that, I will ask Joe to keep an eye on the hand raising and let us know if anybody would like to weigh in on that? 5 JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we have a hand raised from 6 Hollie Noveletsky. Go ahead, Hollie. 7 8 HOLLIE NOVELETSKY: Thank you. I just wanted to say that I think we need to move forward on a more rapid 9 10 basis on some level. If we can do it maybe on two levels, I 11 do like the recommendation that we work with existing channels to get the funds out. 12 13 But I think the issue of life safety, especially as highlighted today on housing, food banks, shelters, 14 charities that support our most vulnerable -- I think that 15 16 the finances need to get out, the funding needs to get out 17 on a quicker level, because it's already going to be two 18 months before they get any relief at the earliest. And I just wonder if we can identify an area 19 20 priority as we move forward in this process, that there's a channel that is prioritized and maybe expedited with 21 22 funding.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Well I quess I would say a 1 couple of things. So as we look at the work that the 2 Legislative Advisory Board is doing, they have selected a 3 4 group of sectors. They have from my perspective -- and we'll learn more tomorrow when we talk to them -- based on 5 dollar amounts, I think they've in essence set priorities. 6 I think also money that has come in outside of the 7 CARES Act has been going out to organizations doing some 8 work, for instance child care, things like that. Maybe not 9 10 at the scale that people would like to see. 11 I think part of the work that I am trying to encourage amongst our group is developing those processes to 12 make that happen. So by saying, "Yes we agree with 13 government getting some of that money out in direct fashion 14 -- we agree -- and distribution entities." 15 16 And I'm hoping what that does is give GOFERR and 17 the Governor an opportunity to say, "Let's get those group 18 started; get an application developed, get the support groups in place. Let's start making these things happen." 19 20 I think that there are other steps that our group can take. I think we're a little bit -- a couple of weeks 21 22 behind the legislative group, and when we started our work

1 and getting our information in.

And I would certainly open it up to otherers that 2 want to chime in on the same conversation, including 3 4 Commissioner Little, who I think Joe mentioned at the beginning was going to be available during this discussion 5 6 process. But maybe we can get a few folks weighing in on 7 these different things, and how to collectively respond. 8 Does that make any sense? 9 10 JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we have a hand raised from

11 Jim Jalbert. Go ahead, Jim.

JIM JALBERT: Hi, Donnalee. I think that the presentation that we had on Tuesday about using intermediaries is spot on. I want to reiterate what I also said -- that, you know through some of the federal programs, there are some concepts for applications in place.

And I think all of the respective sectors need to look at that if we're going to -- if the Governor and GOFERR moves forward in choosing these intermediaries to look at how we would get the funds out in an expeditious manner.

The problem is going to be, there's going to be a certain level -- there's going to be a certain amount of leap of faith with the applicants in doing so this, and as
 you know, some of it you can have blowback from some of it.
 We've seen it with PPP with some fraud, we've seen it in
 other areas.

5 But I really think that what you put out on 6 Tuesday is the right way to get this done in the most 7 expeditious manner.

8 The other thing is, is we have to be mindful that 9 some of these organizations who would be intermediaries also 10 are going through some change related to COVID-19.

11 You know, if you take Health and Human Services, 12 you know, they're practicing unemployment claims. Their 13 people are working overtime.

I mentioned the New Hampshire Department of Transportation, they're going through the same thing and have incurred a great level of expenses, but yet, as you and I chatted here on this venue about lesser transit systems needing money, that list continues to grow. Just while we were on this call, I received three e-mails today about it. So we have vehicles in place to get the money out.

21 I think we need to support GOFERR -- support GOFERR Jerry 22 Little and the Governor in moving in that direction rapidly, as Hollie said, because there are people in desperate need.
 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you, Jim. Joe, are there
 others?

4 JOE DOIRON: Yes, we have a question from Dean 5 Christon. Go ahead, Dean.

6 DEAN CHRISTON: So it's -- thank you, Joe, it's 7 not really a question, it's really a comment, Donnalee. I 8 agree with the basic thrust of the decision we made the 9 other day, honestly.

And to be honest with you, regardless of whether there's a decision by this body and the Governor ultimately to put any money into the housing sector, I as a potential intermediary would argue that it makes sense to use existing structures and organizations to try to get this done as much as possible.

I would also give you the perspective of someone who might be in that place. And it goes back to Bill's point, which is there's only so much planning and organizing you can do until you actually have a sense that some resources are likely to be available.

21 And so, whether it is in the housing sector or in 22 the business relief sector, or in the non-profit relief 1 sector, there has to be at some point some indication that yes, there is a likelihood that some resources are going to 2 3 be committed, and here's the sort of scale, and here's the 4 priorities.

Because then people can go away and actually 5 figure out exactly what the challenges are going to be in 6 doing that work, and come back with more detailed plans of 7 execution to GOFERR that the team and the Governor can then 8 conclude whether they meet their expectations. 9

10 So I guess what I'm saying in that context is I'm 11 agreeing with Hollie's point about the need for speed in the sense of that at some point we need to start perhaps 12 deciding on some focus points, some sectors, some kind of 13 priorities -- however you want to define it -- for the use 14 of these resources. 15

16 And then perhaps identifying the primary 17 intermediaries that are likely going to be used to address 18 those issues, and sort of send those folks off to actually start doing some of that work. 19

20 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Right. They are going to need time to build-up capacity, to figure out the best way to do 21 it, to reach out to their partners, the supporting entities 22

1 and others. I absolutely agree. Joe, anybody else?

2 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Donnalee, we have a hand raised 3 from Michelle. Go ahead, Michelle.

4 MICHELLE MCEWEN: All right, thank you. I mean, I 5 think we are all still in agreement of trying to use these 6 entities that already exist, and I also agree with the sense 7 of urgency.

Just a question for the meeting tomorrow with the 8 Legislative Board, I know they've already recommended or 9 10 are going to recommend some funding by sectors, but I don't 11 know that they've had a conversation about how to distribute, and would it give us some momentum forward if we 12 13 have the conversation with them about this is how we're thinking of distribution -- you know, a mechanism for 14 distribution? 15

16 Would that then give these other entities the 17 sense that, yeah, we've got to start getting prepared? [To 18 address Dean's issue that he just raised.]

DONNALEE LOZEAU: I do think that they have a lot -- if you look at some of the sectors that they talked about; they have talked about specific distribution entities that they think are capable of doing it. So I think that 1 they are on a similar path.

And I did send our PowerPoint presentation to the Chair of the Legislative Advisory Board, so we had a sense about how we were kind of looking at the process. And I think that that's part of tomorrow's discussion. You know, what are they doing, what are we doing, where are we on the same page?

8 I mean, I think if we look at the legislative 9 document, there are some sectors that are not represented 10 there, and that may be intentional. There are some that we 11 may think of. We may find our priorities would be aligned 12 if each of us took a look at that.

13 My hope is that after the discussion tomorrow, I can formulate something that makes sense to get out for 14 15 everybody to think about so we can come to Tuesday's meeting 16 with probably a better handle on how are we recommending, 17 and what are the parameters that we're going to talk about, 18 and are we going to look at dollars amount (sic, are we going to look at sectors, are we going to look at timing and 19 20 phasing?

21 Are we going to look at conditions, are we going 22 to look at -- you know, the administrative cost issue? What 1 are some of those things that we want to make sure that we
2 at least raise for GOFERR to either take that recommendation
3 and move forward or come back and ask more questions or ask
4 us to dig deeper on something.

5 I do think it would be important to have some 6 structure to where we're going at the same time that we're 7 listening to more information.

Joe, would anybody else like to weight in? Is the
Commissioner there and interested in weighing in in any way?
JOE DOIRON: So, Director Little joined us at the
beginning of the discussion.

12 DIRECTOR LITTLE: Hi.

13 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Hi!

15

14 DIRECTOR LITLE: How are you, Donnalee?

DIRECTOR LITTLE: I'm very well, thank you. And I'm extremely grateful. Been listening for about the last 10-minutes, I guess, to your conversation, and I'm instantly struck by the contributions that all of you are making to this process. And the state of New Hampshire continually amazes me what the level of civic involvement that we enjoy. And I think back to Donnalee, I think I've known

DONNALEE LOZEAU: I'm good, how are you?

1 you my entire adult life.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: [Laughter] I think you're right. 2 DAVID RITTER: And our, you know, and our orbits 3 4 keep intersecting time and time again. So it's pretty amazing, and in the last crisis in '08, '09, Dean I think 5 you and I were certainly well connected for quite a bit of 6 time on trying to figure out how to get through the mortgage 7 crisis. 8 So the fact that we're all still here and we're 9 10 all still contributing and helping the state of New 11 Hampshire through difficult times moving forward is amazing to me, and I'm just extremely grateful for it. So thank you 12

13 all very much for what you've been doing.

Joe and I have been talking since we first got here -- not today, but back weeks ago -- about how we were going to mesh up the Legislative Advisory Board and the Stakeholder Advisory Board.

And paramount for us was getting a start on things, because of what Hollie just said. The pain and the suffering are real and it's out there right now, and we've got to distribute this money as fast as we can.

And so we just decided that, "Let's get these

Boards up and running, and get the conversation underway." For a variety of reasons that are entirely unimportant, the Legislative Advisory Board just a week or so advanced in its process. And so, I think it arrived at its preliminary decisions a week and a half or so sooner than you folks have.

And I hope that that result has not caused any
angst or disappointment with the Stakeholder Advisory Board
meeting.

I think your conversations and deliberations and your use of different style to attract and receive comments from a wide variety of members and segments of the of both society and the economy -- is going to really add greatly to the richness of the results that we present over to the Governor.

But we're at that point where the gears aren't quite meshing nicely, we might be grinding a little bit as we try to bring the LAB and the SAB together to start working. I guess we're doing tomorrow afternoon, when we have a joint meeting of both Boards.

You know, ultimately, it's the Governor's
decision, and we're going to make recommendations to him as

to where we believe the money will make the biggest impact in helping New Hampshire recovery -- recover from COVID-19. But ultimately, it's his decision. So we're making recommendations.

5 I think you're right, Donnalee that the LAB has in 6 essence prioritized what it sees as the needs by the things 7 that it put on the draft that was initially drafted by 8 Senator Morse and embraced by Senator D'Alessandro.

9 And then the entire group worked to try to put 10 some dollar figures to it, to add additional details, so 11 that they're also looping in links to the variety of federal 12 sources of funds that are available.

But I think they're also aware that they haven't hit all of the important points. A great example of that is the fact that at the end of our LAB conference call yesterday, I don't recall who it was, but somebody said, "Hey, wait a minute. We haven't done affordable housing yesterday. We haven't done housing-related issues."

And then lo and behold, today your presentation
covered those housing needs.

And so, I -- what I'm saying, I guess not very eloquently I guess is that I think the two Boards are going 1 to end up creating a body of work that will give the 2 Governor very strong guidance as to the big key areas that 3 need to be addressed.

And then I think it's up to you, as this group continues to talk, to make recommendations about how to -what sort of specifics should we look at?

7 That's how I see all of this work, and then I hope 8 that maybe if it doesn't clarify things, at least confirms 9 to you how you also thought that this process might shake 10 out.

I think a lot of the hard work is now going to happen within the GOFERR staff as we try to come up with more specific ideas about what the grant programs and other sorts of methods of distributing funds, what they should look like.

You've mentioned since I came in the room, I guess now 15 to 20 minutes ago, that you think that we should be using other agencies, other organizations out there that are well-recognized and have a high level of credibility and experience distributing funds in the state of New Hampshire? And that's a direction we've been moving in for some time now.

We reached out a while ago to many of the 1 organizations all well-known to you, the CDFA, the BFA, the 2 Charitable Foundation, the Center for Non-Profits and a 3 4 number of others and said, "Look, you have expertise, you have professionals on board already, you have experienced 5 that we don't have and we're going to need, and there's no 6 way that we're going to be able to put out thousands of 7 grants and do that credibly, and get the money targeted to 8 the right people on our own." 9

10 So we are definitely going to be suggesting to the 11 Governor that he rely on those mechanisms that are out there 12 that have -- are well-seasoned, and have professional staffs 13 that are -- and the ability to put the funds out in a 14 responsible manner.

You know, if you just think about it, if we're targeting that we want to put out 4000 grants to small businesses across the state of New Hampshire coming from a banking background, I got some sense as to what that's going to take.

20 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Hm.

21 DIRECTOR LITTLE: And I have no desire to hire 30 22 loan underwriters and a servicing department to make sure 1 that that money gets out there responsibly.

But I do think that the BFA, the CDFA and the 2 Charitable Foundation and a lot of other groups out there, 3 4 not only do they know the people that are on the ground that are doing the work in the community to process state of New 5 Hampshire now, but they have the mechanism that's been 6 providing funding through that network for decades. 7 And I think our strong recommendation will be that 8 we continue to rely on the most important members of society 9 10 and the economy to get these funds out as well. DONNALEE LOZEAU: Well, clearly no single entity 11 has enough bandwidth to make it happen, and we know what 12 happens when we send everybody to a single pipe and it can't 13 manage what's coming through. 14 I think the -- I appreciate your comments, and I 15 think that I look at the legislative group who rolls up 16 17 their sleeves, they're used to making policy, they're used 18 to saying, "This, this, this, this and this: How much?" This group, from my perspective, and I certainly 19 20 do not know all the members on the group, but I have a sense of what they do -- you have a strong group of leaders who 21 22 have run their businesses -- from small to large

organizations from small to large, who are accustomed to
 being presented with a problem and finding a solution, and
 easily will reach out to others that they know can help them
 quickly find solutions to imminent problems.

5 And so, I think that there's folks feeling a 6 little bit like we're in neutral and we're holding up the 7 process.

8 Your conversation gives me some sense that we're 9 not holding up anything, and that we're bringing something 10 to the table, even if it's through our discussions and 11 through people responding to our questions that we set out 12 there.

13 DIRECTOR LITTLE: Oh, absolutely. Absolute --14 yeah. I mean, there's --

15 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Well, I do --

DIRECTOR LITTLE: They're not holding anything up. You're -- I mean, we need a process that New Hampshire feels has been transparent and that they've had a fair shake to have their say.

And I really believe that we needed both of these Boards to get that broad channel up there to listen to New Hampshire, to really feel the suffering and pain that's out there to understand where the money goes, Donnalee. You're
 not holding anything up here, absolutely not.

3 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Okay.

4 DIRECTOR LITTLE: It's adding to the process. 5 It really is.

6 DONNALEE LOZEAU: So I think, I think -- I mean 7 one of the things that we talked about at our very first and 8 second meetings were our job is to give you information to 9 make your job easier when you make recommendations for the 10 Governor.

11 So it would be I think important for us to 12 probably continue with hearing from folks, but I think it 13 would also be important for us to feel like we can make some 14 recommendations that can inform your work, as it relates to 15 some of the things that we've talked about today.

16

DIRECTOR LITTLE: Yeah.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: And I'm just trying to figure out how to get there, and how to make sure all of the members of the group get their concerns on the table for us to kind of pick up, turn around, and look at.

21 And I think the format makes it an additional 22 challenge, because we're not sitting in a room together where we feel like we can roll up our sleeves and run down a
 checklist.

I mean, Al last week, or Tuesday, was talking about, you know, a voting process with 100 points and, you know, scoring things.

And I think ordinarily you could do something like that if you're in a room together and you get kind of quick results, and -- but I think this format makes this a little bit more challenging.

10 So maybe what -- maybe there's an opportunity here 11 for members to send to me things that they want to make sure 12 we find a way to talk about, and I can map it out for the 13 next few meetings to try to get some traction. That might 14 make some sense, I think.

DIRECTOR LITTLE: All right. It makes an awful lot of sense. And I also think that this is going to be an ongoing process for a little while.

18 If you look at the document from the LAB, that 19 they discussed yesterday, you'll see down at the bottom that 20 they're recommending that the Governor be told to move on a 21 chunk of the funding by the end of the month of May, that 22 they want to come back in June and put out another 15 1 percent in July, and put out another 15 percent.

We don't know where all of this is going. I mean, we're hoping that pretty soon the numbers plateau, and we're told that the deaths from COVID-19 stop, and that the new positive tests start to decline.

But we don't know what's going to happen when we reopen businesses, or -- you know, when they open the beaches, or are people just going to stream across the border and -- you know, things could take a turn for the worst.

And so, we're suggesting that the LAB -- and it's up to you to decide to whether or not that you agree -- but that we move the money out in chunks.

As Hollie said, we need to put some money out now, 14 because people are hurting now, and then regroup and figure 15 out where did we hit the mark, where did we miss, has a new 16 17 hole opened up that we need to call some other people and 18 ask them to comment and make presentations to the Stakeholder Advisory Board and to the Legislative Advisory 19 20 Board so we can inform the next decisions we need to make. I don't think that there's a -- I mean, the first 21 quarter may be over or coming to a close, but there's a long 22

1 time to go in this game, even though we're really under the 2 gun. To put all this money out by December 30, that's a big 3 task. So as far as --

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Well, and putting the money -yeah, I was just going to -- when you talk about the December 30, so we had talked multiple times now in our group about, you know, appropriate phasing, you know?

8 Like as I often refer to the trauma patient that 9 we need to stop the bleed, because that's the immediate 10 need, you know, as Hollie's pointing out, and others.

And then we have to know kind of what's recovery look like, and what do we not know is going to happen next, as you're saying? And then what does the future hold?

But it also begs the question, I mean some of this speed isn't just about the immediacy of stopping the bleed and starting the recovery, it's also understanding what "spend" means for that December deadline, and whether that might change when things start moving in a certain direction.

20 So getting the money out, does that mean it's a 21 spend? Or does whoever you get it out to have to spend it? 22 So if -- you know, if a loan or a grant goes out, whether

it's forgivable or not or whatever it looks like, once it 1 goes out, does the entity that receives it have to have 2 spent it by December 31, or the entity -- or the entity is 3 the state that's received the money that then gets it out? 4 And I know there's been some guidance, and I know 5 that you guys are digging in deep on that, but it would help 6 to know that as well, I think, at some point. 7 8 DIRECTOR LITTLE: Agreed. We hoped that the updated guidance that came out on Monday would give us more 9 10 specificity on that; unfortunately it really hasn't. So what 11 we're left to do is to go back to other guidance in federal law as to limitations on how federal funds can be used. 12 13 And so, our current interpretation, and we'll always remain open to listening to different opinions, but 14 our current interpretation is that the money needs to be 15 spent and dedicated to COVID-19 Relief and Recovery Project 16 17 by December 30. 18 Not just that we -- let's just use -- let's say we

19 use the BFA as an example, not just that we've agreed to put 20 \$100 million dollars into a fund for the BFA to grant out 21 over a period of time, but that those grants need to be made 22 by December 30, not just that we've sent money to the BFA to 1 do it.

2 DONNALEE LOZEAU: The grant made by December 30, 3 or the grant made and the entity receiving the grant spent 4 by December 30? DIRECTOR LITTLE: The grant made to the entity 5 that is spending the funds needs to be done by December 30. 6 7 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Okay. DIRECTOR LITTLE: We don't believe that all the 8 money needs to be -- we feel we can make a grant to small 9 10 business A on December 29, and the goal has been met. They 11 may --DONNALEE LOZEAU: Okay --12 13 DIRECTOR LITTLE: -- a week or two to use that money to disinfect a motel room or something, but we don't 14 believe that everything has to be -- we don't have to have a 15 16 receipt in hand for every expense on December 30. DONNALEE LOZEAU: Okay. 17 18 DIRECTOR LITTLE: There is a -- an out period built into the proposal that we are required to understand 19 20 what happened to all the funds within a certain period after 21 December 30, but we don't have to have that knowledge in a

22 filing cabinet on December 30.

1 LISA ENGLISH: And one of the --

2 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Okay.

3 LISA ENGLISH: -- this is Lisa -- one of the 4 differences between government, right? Government has to 5 have spent the money in a different way. With businesses, 6 an allowable use can be loss of revenue.

So if the loss of revenue happens before December 30 -- so for example, if it happens on happens -- you know, it's been I guess an allowable expense. So it's a little different when you're looking at --

11 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Right.

12 LISA ENGLISH: -- government versus businesses.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Right. I understand that. Because I'm also thinking, you get a business that gets funds, and so, they're going to try to, you know, fill that loss of revenue for the two months or three months they're closed, however that plays out, right? So they want to fill that so they can start -- you know, at the ready.

Then they deal with whatever the changes they have to deal with for the recovery part of what it looks like going forward -- they're at 1/3 capacity, 1/2 capacity, you know, whatever that might look like. But you also want to make sure that they have money to go, "Okay, if I ever go through this again, if next year at this time we're in the same boat, here are the things that I've spent some money on, to make sure that I'm ready for -- you know, business continuation, continuity -you know, that kind of stuff."

7 So knowing that that you don't have to necessarily 8 spend it all by December 30, because they have to have time 9 to experience these things and use the money as we're 10 describing. So that's good to know.

I think the last thing that might be helpful at some point is one of the things that we are consistently hearing from people responding to us is that they are very concerned about getting their workforce to come back to the ranch, so to speak, right?

16 So there are very different experiences for 17 different people. So people that were employed at a low 18 wage job are now making more than they would make if they 19 were in their job.

And so getting them motivated to come back is a challenge. It might be the first time in a long time that they have income that's maybe really straightening out their life. They're paying off their credit card debt, or they're
 -- you know, keeping up with their rent or their mortgage or
 -- you know, whatever the case may be.

They are worried getting them back. You know, unemployment has been designed as a system that says, "We're not going to pay you your full wages, because we actually want you to -- you know, go back to work or find a new job or -- " you know, all of those things."

9 Right now, that scale is not the same. And the 10 extension of that is having an impact. So we're 11 consistently hearing from people, "What if they don't come 12 back?"

I'm not asking you for an answer now, but what I am asking is I think the demographics of knowing what that means, and where that's happening and having a different effect than other places might be helpful in informing how we can support businesses in getting their employees back.

And I don't know that anybody's done that work yet. But my understanding is the \$600 has been extended into July. And so, knowing what that might mean I think could help us as well -- all the groups involved, whether it's the Reopening Task Force, the Legislative group or our group, I think it would help us to know what that
 demographic might look like.

DIRECTOR LITTLE: I'm glad you didn't ask me for 3 4 an answer to all of that problem, because we don't have one. DONNALEE LOZEAU: [Laughter]. Right. 5 DIRECTOR LITTLE: So thanks for making it --6 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Well, who's got time to look 7 8 right now, but? DIRECTOR LITTLE: [Laughter]. 9 10 DONNALEE LOZEAU: I think we should probably know, 11 right? DIRECTOR LITTLE: Well, I mean we're hearing that 12 concern/complaint from every point, that the unemployment 13 benefit has been made so rich that it's going to be hard to 14 get people to come back, unless something changes in that. 15 16 But I think I'm going to leave it to Commissioner 17 [02:34:05] and Deputy of Labors to figure out what the 18 answer to that question is, although I think, interestingly enough, we may bump into it in some of the programs and 19 20 responses that come out of the GOFERR office. 21 For instance -- and I didn't hear all of Dean's

22 presentation, I've read some of the materials this morning

1 that were submitted in support of it. There are concerns 2 that because the Governor issued the eviction and 3 foreclosure moratorium, some people may have just decided 4 that they're not going to pay their rent.

5 And we see it on TV all the time that there are 6 rent protests.

And what worries me is that people are going to 7 say, "Now I need rental assistance." Well, if they've been 8 making more as a result of the unemployment situation than 9 10 they did in the normal paycheck, and they had no problem 11 paying their rent then, that kind of leaves us with the question that if somebody had received an in their income, 12 and for whatever reason stopped paying their rent, what 13 mechanism is there going to be in place to make sure that we 14 don't have fraud and abuse coming from? 15

And I recognize this is a hard conversation to have, because we're talking low-income people who just struggle every day as a matter of course, but if the decision was made not to pay the rent because the Governor said there's an eviction moratorium, and the net result of the unemployment benefit was they had a higher weekly income than they had before, what sort of tests are put in place 1 for rental assistance programs?

So I think --2 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Well, and what's -- you know, as 3 4 somebody who has spent almost my whole adult career outside of public service serving people of low-income --5 DIRECTOR LITTLE: Right. 6 DONNALEE LOZEAU: It's not just always the people 7 of low-income, because you've got a group that, because they 8 weren't yet employed, or they were in training or school or 9 10 any of those things, aren't receiving unemployment and are 11 in trouble. But there's also, you know, when you talk to the 12 banks, as you know, the deferrals on people's credit cards 13 and car payments, and those are not necessarily the low-14 income folks, they're the people somewhere else. 15 16 And so, sometimes people don't -- as we all know -17 - make good decisions no matter who you are. 18 DIRECTOR LITTLE: Yeah. DONNALEE LOZEAU: But so, but that's another 19 20 opportunity for the helping groups, you know, such as mine 21 and other community actions and other housing, you know, 22 groups that are out there to work with people and talk to

1 them about making these decisions. And some of us has been 2 proactive about it.

But I'm just saying that knowing the demographics of where that falls may help inform the work of where we're supporting people and how we're helping employers get their people back to work.

7 DIRECTOR LITTLE: I agree with all of it. I guess 8 I was just saying this this \$600 bump in unemployment that 9 has people have higher income at this point in time that 10 we've all agreed keeps coming at us from other directions, 11 including businesses saying, "It's going to be hard for me 12 to hire my people back, because they're doing better now 13 than they were when they were working for me."

While I'm going to leave that to the people at Employment Security to figure out the answer to that problem, I think these groups are going to end up needing to discuss it as well, when they talk about how do we put assistance out there that is fair, that \$600 bump in the unemployment benefit is going to loop back in.

I think it also points to the value of things, like Dean mentioned -- you know, supporting 211 and the professionals that -- like you, Donnalee, who help people

1 work their way through it to explain what the situation is. DONNALEE LOZEAU: Right. No, I think the same can 2 be said for businesses, right? If they're collecting, if 3 4 they're able to get grants from other sources, and they come back for more money through this, that has to be taken into 5 consideration too. To me, all these groups --6 7 DIRECTOR LITTLE: Yes. DONNALEE LOZEAU: -- are related. It's the same 8 issue amongst the businesses, for-profit and non-profit, and 9 10 the families and -- you know. So it's -- I think it's all the same that we have 11 to look at it, and it's a problem that can be happening in 12 13 all three categories. So I've monopolized the whole conversation. Let 14 me open it up to see if any of the members of the Board have 15 16 questions or anything for you that they would like to ask, 17 as we're --18 DIRECTOR LITTLE: Yep. --DONNALEE LOZEAU: -- well beyond our time. Joe, 19 20 anybody have a hand up that wants to participate? 21 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Donnalee, we have a hand raised 22 from Jeff Myers. Go ahead, Jeff.

JEFF MYERS: Thank you. It's -- we've gone well 1 over time, as you just noted, Donnalee, so I don't want to 2 belabor the discussion. A quick question, and then a very, 3 4 very brief comment. My question is -- and maybe I missed it, and I 5 apologize for missing it -- but is there [02:39:32, 6 indiscernible] information that made available to us for 7 tomorrow's meeting with the Legislative group, and can you 8 remind us what time it's going to be? 9 10 DONNALEE LOZEAU: So the -- my understanding, 11 having talked to Senator Morse is we will join them at the start of their meeting tomorrow at 1:00. 12 13 JEFF MYERS: Okay. DONNALEE LOZEAU: And what we talked about is 14 basically updating each other on our work, where we are to 15 date, and then allowing questions to be asked from our Board 16 17 to their members, or vice versa. 18 I don't think there's anything formal, but what I will do is I will make sure that the document that they have 19 20 make sure that the document that they have on their agenda for tomorrow -- you know, the sectors in dollar amounts --21 22 I'll send that off to members, just like I've sent to

Senator Morse. You know, our PowerPoint discussion template
 from Tuesday.

3 JEFF MYERS: Sure.

4 DONNALEE LOZEAU: And that might help stimulate 5 conversation.

JEFF MYERS: Sure. And does that also include the7 calling number that we need?

8 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Yes.

9 JEFF MYERS: Thank you.

10 DONNALEE LOZEAU: You're welcome.

JEFF MYERS: In my very brief comment -- thank you very much -- in my very brief comment at this late hour is that in light of all the discussions that I've heard the last 20 minutes or so, or half-an-hour or so, which I thought was very helpful and kind of raised some of the issues that I wanted to raise myself...

You talked about Donnalee, and what Commissioner Little talked about, I think my only ask would be that we reserve some time at our next meeting on Tuesday to talk more as a group about our process for how and when we will take recommendations.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thank you. And I agree.

22

JEFF MYERS: Thanks. Thank you very much. JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, this is Joe, and just to Commissioner Myers, a point about the call-in information, we'll send that around.

5 Please note to the Board members it will be 6 different than the call information that you received from 7 SAB meetings, so just please when the e-mail goes out to 8 double check, just so we can avoid a delay with the start of 9 the meeting tomorrow.

Donnalee, we have a hand raised from Dean. DEAN CHRISTON: Thank you, Joe. That was -actually that was a ways back in the conversation, so it's probably not a good use of people's time at this point, except at some point, Jerry, I'd love to engage you in a conversation around this issue of when the dollars can be defined as being spent.

I have some thoughts on that based on, you know, some experience with other federal resources, both in our context, and I think some of the work that the BFA has done, that might be helpful.

21 But I don't think it's necessary for us to do that 22 right now. Thank you.

DONNALEE LOZEAU: Thanks, Dean. Anybody else? 1 JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we have a hand raised from 2 3 Nancy. Go ahead, Nancy. 4 NANCY MERRILL: You know, you've covered everything I was going to ask, so I'm all set, thanks. 5 Phew! [Laughter] 6 7 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Then feel better about monopolizing the conversation. Had you listened in to a 8 conversation between myself and Director Little. Anybody 9 10 else? 11 JOE DOIRON: Donnalee, we have it looks like the last hand raised from Jim Jalbert. Go ahead, Jim. 12 13 JIM JALBERT: Director Little and Donnalee, thank you for answering my question. I'm sorry about that. 14 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Oh no, please, don't be sorry. 15 Like I say a lot, this group has a wonderful perspective and 16 17 a lot of life experiences that are helpful to the work. It's just a matter of trying to give us the 18 opportunity to have the discussion similar to that what we 19 20 had on Tuesday, which I'd like to find a way to have next 21 week again. 22 And so, any of you that have, you know, process

things that you want to put on the -- you know, on the table for discussion, if you get all those out to me by the end the week after tomorrow's joint meeting, I will, as I've been trying to do, formulate a path to this discussion, so everybody has an opportunity.

And we can maybe, you know, chart a course for kind of what's next in the next week or two to come. That would be helpful.

9 Okay, with that, unless somebody raises another 10 hand, under, "Other Business" I think we've pretty much 11 covered that.

12 The -- our next meeting, aside from the joint 13 meeting tomorrow -- the next meeting for our group 14 specifically is Tuesday.

The next group of presenters, Michelle has been working diligently on putting the health care day together. Scott has been working on putting the Agriculture day together. We will try to do that in a way that allows for more opportunity for discussion that we'll try to get out to everybody, based on -- as the ask I've just made.

21 And I have also asked Michelle to make sure that 22 her folks are available for Tuesday or Thursday next week, so we have an opportunity, depending on how tomorrow goes,
 and what feedback I get, to pivot if we need to, like we did
 this week.

4 And then Scott's going to check with his group, to make sure that they're available on either Thursday or the 5 following Tuesday, so we can get the Ag (sic) day set up. 6 And then Hospitality and Tourism is something I 7 haven't yet wrapped my brain around, about how to put that 8 day together, or who's going to help with that. So I'll do 9 10 some work on that, and if any of you have ideas on how we 11 could do that, by all means, please let me know. Is there anything else to come before the Board 12 13 today? JIM JALBERT: Donnalee I raised my hand -- Joe 14 probably didn't see yet, it's Jim. Were quickly, are we 15 16 going to dovetail mental health into the health care 17 segment? 18 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Yes, we are. Seacoast Mental Health is on December. 19 20 JIM JALBERT: Okay. Thank you very much. DONNALEE LOZEAU: You're welcome. Anybody else? 21 22 MICHELLE MCEWEN: Donnalee?

1 JIM JALBERT: Sorry, Jim.

2 MICHELLE MCEWEN: I'm sorry, this is Michelle 3 McEwen. Seacoast provided written comments, but it's going 4 to be Northern Human Services that will be covering mental 5 health and DD.

6 DONNALEE LOZEAU: Oh, thank you, Michelle. Thank 7 you. Okay. Anybody else? Okay! With that, thank you 8 everybody. My apologies that the meeting went a little bit 9 longer today than expected, but I think it was a fruitful 10 day and a good discussion.

11 COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.

12 [End of Proceedings]