

State of New Hampshire
Governor's Office
FOR

EMERGENCY RELIEF AND RECOVERY (GOFERR)

LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY BOARD

PUBLIC MEETING

WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 2020 1:00 p.m.

in

One Eagle Square Concord, NH 03301

Legislative Advisory Board Members:

SENATOR Senator Lou D'Allesandro

SENATE PRESIDENT Donna Soucy

SENATE LEADER Senator Chuck Morse

SENATOR John Reagan

SPEAKER Steve Shurtleff

HOUSE LEADER Rep. Dick Hinch

CHAIRWOMAN Mary Jane Wallner

REPRESENTATIVE Erin Hennessey

DISCUSSION

- Senator Morse & Senator D'Alessandro CARES Act Allocation Proposal
- Updated form with other federal funds column
- Joint Legislative Advisory Board and Stakeholder Advisory Board meet in Congress has Enacted Four Acts.

PROCEEDINGS 1 * * * * * 2 3 1:00 p.m. 4 JERRY LITTLE: The GOFERR Legislative Advisory Board was created by Executive Order 2020-06. 5 That order is publicly available on the governor's 6 website. 7 Today's meeting is an official meeting of the 8 board, is open to the public, and will be run in a 9 10 manner compliant with RSA 91-A. We have someone taking 11 minutes right now which will be posted on the GOFERR web page. 12 13 And as we've just mentioned, today's meeting is also being recorded. The recording will also be 14 available on the GOFERR web page. Should you be a 15 16 speaking participant and not wish to be recorded, you 17 may disconnect now or at any time. My name is Jerry Little. I am Director of the 18 Governor's Office for Emergency Relief and Recovery, 19 and I will be facilitating today's meeting. 20

Because the board is meeting by phone on the RSA

91-A, there are a few logistics that we need to

21

- 1 observe.
- 2 First, each of us must state our names, where we
- are located, and who is with us in the room. We'll do
- 4 that by this roll call.
- I am Jerry Little, Director of GOFERR, in the
- 6 GOFERR offices at One Eagle Square in Concord, and with
- 7 me are Rhonda Hensley and Wendy Gillman. Senate
- 8 President Donna Soucy.
- 9 DONNA SOUCY: This is Donna Soucy. I am at home
- in Manchester, and I am alone.
- 11 JERRY LITTLE: Thank you. House Speaker Steve
- 12 Shurtleff.
- 13 STEVE SHURTLEFF: Thank you, Commissioner. Steve
- 14 Shurtleff. I'm at my residence in Penacook, and I am
- alone.
- 16 JERRY LITTLE: Senate Minority Leader Chuck Morse.
- 17 CHUCK MORSE: This is Chuck Morse. I'm at my
- office in Atkinson, New Hampshire, and I am alone.
- 19 JERRY LITTLE: House Minority Leader Dick Hinch.
- 20 DICK HINCH: This is Dick Hinch. I am at my
- office in Merrimack, and I am alone.
- JERRY LITTLE: Senator Lou D'Allesandro.

- 1 LOU D'ALLENSANDRO: This is Lou D'Allesandro. I
- am in Manchester at my home, and I am all alone.
- 3 JERRY LITTLE: Representative Mary Jane Wallner.
- 4 MARY JANE WALLNER: This is Mary Jane Wallner.
- 5 I'm at my home in Concord, New Hampshire, and I am
- alone.
- JOHN REAGAN: John Reagan, I'm in my office alone.
- 9 JERRY LITTLE: And Representative Erin Hennessey.
- 10 ERIN HENNESSEY: Erin Hennessey, I'm in my house
- in Littleton with my two minor children.
- 12 JERRY LITTLE: Thank you all. As we move through
- our agenda today, each board member and anyone else
- speaking is asked to identify themselves before
- 15 speaking.
- Should you choose to take any votes today, they
- must be done by roll call following the process we just
- used.
- 19 Finally, before we begin our discussions today,
- 20 please remember to use 5 Star if you would like to ask
- 21 a question. It raises your electronic hand on our
- screen here in Concord. And I guess that's it for the

- 1 91-A required announcements.
- 2 You should have all received an updated
- 3 spreadsheet about mid-morning provided to us by Senator
- 4 Morse and I believe in concert with Senator
- 5 D'Allesandro. Senator Morse, would you like to discuss
- the updated spreadsheet with quite a bit of detail
- 7 added to it?
- 8 Has everybody received the e-mail with the updated
- 9 spreadsheet titled "Legislative Advisory Committee
- 10 CARES Act Allocation Proposal, Version 2.0"? Better I
- guess to ask the question if anybody has not received
- it, can you hit 5 Star?
- Seeing none, I'm assuming that everybody has
- received a copy of the updated spreadsheet.
- 15 CHUCK MORSE: Okay. Well, that's a good start.
- 16 What I've done is the document that you have before you
- 17 now, I think there's only one number I changed from
- 18 Senator D'Allesandro's number, but I could be wrong.
- 19 It's been proofed several times, but the document
- that's before you right now where you have issues to
- 21 the left-hand side and then -- I mean, on unemployment
- we talked about two things. One, the trust fund, they

- want a software upgrade.
- 2 So we basically put the major issues to the left,
- 3 the topics that we've talked about funding as a whole -
- 4 I mean, they're not topics that are down to the
- 5 nitty-gritty -- right after that.
- And then the governor has made some appropriations
- 7 already. We tried to capture all of those in a fashion
- 8 that was easy to follow.
- 9 When we get down to hospitals, we captured it all
- 10 under all healthcare instead of breaking out. I
- believe out of that \$50 million, \$10.9 went to
- 12 hospitals. But we didn't break that out because it
- became a little confusing in building the document.
- But what you can do with this document, you can
- actually go on the number, click on the number, and you
- can see what that number basically represents.
- 17 Down the bottom is a bunch of categories that I
- think will answer questions. Like if you want to know
- on higher education how was the 41 million that was
- 20 received in higher education, how it was spent, if you
- just scroll to the right on the bottom, you will see
- 22 education.

And you can click on it, and you'll see that, you know, the university system got X, community colleges got Y, and then private institutions got Z. You'll see all that. You'll see what each individual group got.

So as we go through this document, I think we can probably answer questions about federal money that came in, money that's been allocated by the governor already, and what I believe the committee wanted to end up at.

I think education is probably the only place where I changed a number. But I also tried to pick up from all the documents that have been sent to us. If you get into -- and this is where I think we need to do some work -- but if you get into hospitals we'll say as a category, you know, we certainly heard from dentists.

And we've heard from nursing homes and things like that. So I think as the document gets built and we say we're going to put money into a category we need to start to define those categories, which is the text for intended use, which is in -- I think it's like the fifth or sixth column to the right.

But in any case, probably the best thing to do is

- 1 run the document one more time. But if someone's
- 2 already read it and has questions, I can answer those
- 3 first.
- 4 JERRY LITTLE: Questions for Senator Morse or
- 5 discussion of the documents he's presented.
- 6 CHUCK MORSE: Are there any questions?
- JERRY LITTLE: Yes, I'm showing a question now
- 8 from Representative Mary Jane Wallner.
- 9 MARY JANE WALLNER: Thank you, Mr. Little. Are we
- 10 going -- we're going to walk through this document, I
- 11 assume, right --
- 12 CHUCK MORSE: Yes.
- 13 MARY JANE WALLNER: -- issue by issue? Okay. So
- that's what we're going to do now.
- 15 CHUCK MORSE: That's what I would suggest. I
- mean, it's up to you, but -- I mean, up to the group.
- 17 But I think --
- 18 MARY JANE WALLNER: Okay.
- 19 CHUCK MORSE: I think what was lacking in the
- original document was answers to a whole bunch of
- 21 questions. And I'm not saying we got all the answers.
- That's why I didn't break down that \$50 mill.

- 1 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Right.
- 2 CHUCK MORSE: I know some of you have asked for
- that for a while now. I didn't have a level of detail
- 4 to break it down, so I didn't feel comfortable.
- But in any case, I think in most cases you can see
- 6 where the federal government paid attention to
- 7 something, where the governor paid attention to
- 8 something. There are some overlaps, and we can go
- 9 through the document.
- The document that we went through the other day
- with Senator D'Allesandro's comments on finance, I
- 12 tried to stick close to that. I might have changed one
- or two numbers, but I did that based on seeing federal
- funding (inaudible) to the state.
- 15 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: I think that you're right on
- 16 track, Chuck, that we should go through the document,
- 17 look at the changes that have been made and incorporate
- our discussion at that point in time.
- Now since we last spoke, the governor made a
- couple of appropriations. One was to the cities and
- towns and the other was that pay for frontline workers.
- 22 And those were two things that I didn't know about when

- 1 I made my presentation.
- 2 That happened after we closed business on Monday.
- 3 So I think this is a great way to start. The numbers
- 4 are good and we should proceed. Thank you.
- 5 CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, that was one of the changes,
- 6 Lou. I think you had \$20 million in a category and he
- 7 put \$40 million in that category. I think that was one
- 8 of the changes to the document.
- 9 When you get down to the very bottom of the
- document, just a suggestion, I've done this so that,
- you know, basically we're at about 45% of the 1.25
- 12 today.
- 13 What I'm suggesting is we come back the end of
- May, the end of June, and the end of July because I
- think the problem the state's going to see -- and I'll
- go right to the end of July because it's easier -- all
- the tax payments will be into the communities by then.
- 18 You know, no one has any idea how good or bad
- that's going to be. You know, and I know we can't fund
- shortfalls, but it obviously can assist communities in
- 21 many different ways because that's what's happening
- 22 already in this document.

So that's why I think that our recommendation

should be we allocate some number, and we come back,

and we come back, and we come back and we come back.

That way we can -- I'm sure once this document gets out

today, we're going to hear from a lot of people.

And, you know, going into mid-May, we're going to hear from even more people as, you know, things start to develop in the state. So that's why I suggest we do it that way.

But it was just to get a discussion going. So I put that at the end of the document. And I certainly - at the end of the document put things that I think are decisions.

You know, I know we talked about cabling. I've actually had the industry call. You know, that's going to be a decision that's going to be made, you know, and probably could be made today. I don't know.

But the reality is with all the people that are calling that are starting to get nervous about, you know, just basic food and shelter, I'm not sure that is a question that I personally want to answer today or Friday or next week because it's a lot of money.

2	And, you know, I'm not sure that there won't be
3	another round of federal money where we could talk
4	about this.

But, I mean, you know, that's really going to be up to the committee if we want to spend more up front and if we want to commit to doing things ahead of time.

I don't have all the answers. I just tried to make it so we could talk about it.

So we start with unemployment. What came up from Senator Soucy on Monday was software upgrades. We spent -- well, I didn't spend, but Dick Hinch spent a good portion of the day going back and forth with Commissioner Copadis yesterday on researching a bunch of different issues.

But on the software upgrade, the federal government -- and Dick should speak to this -- I believe is going to come in and take care of that.

But, Dick, why don't you speak to that one?

DICK HINCH: Yeah, so the software upgrades are going to be handled through the U.S. Department of Labor directly. And that came from Deputy Commissioner

- 1 Lavers yesterday afternoon.
- 2 So they currently do not have a need for any
- 3 allocation from us for software.
- 4 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Okay, for software. So you got
- 5 the \$4.4 million number from Lavers, and Lavers said
- 6 that that was coming directly from the federal
- 7 government to upgrade the system.
- 8 DICK HINCH: Yeah, \$4.4 million is an allocation
- 9 from the federal government that has happened already.
- 10 And that actually has multiple uses to it, including
- 11 overtime, current expenses, hardware upgrades, et
- 12 cetera. So yes.
- 13 CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, but to be clear, Senator
- 14 D'Allesandro, that \$4.4 million was not the software
- 15 number that he was talking about he was going to get
- funding for, I don't believe. I believe this is money
- 17 that we already got, we already put to use or is
- 18 putting to use.
- 19 They didn't have enough hardware to handle what
- got thrown out. So that's something that came up in
- 21 that conversation. So that's why we -- but we
- specifically started talking about software, and we got

- both ends of it, to be honest with you.
- 2 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Okay.
- 3 DICK HINCH: That's correct.

filing and using the system.

4 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Okay.

- DONNA SOUCY: But we still do have some issues

 within the department in terms of getting the answers

 and the capacity for the number of people that are
- 9 We're still not where we need to be. So I guess I
 10 would just want to hear a little more detail about
 11 their plan to address that because there's no end in
 12 sight to people continuing to process claims.
- And as I said, still get calls on a daily basis of people having difficulty even accessing them.
- 15 CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, I mean, I don't have any
 16 problem bringing them on. I mean, if Terry (phonetic)
 17 can arrange that, we could talk to him. I was only
 18 looking at the dollars and cents, Donna.
- I wasn't on the -- you know, the other side of it.
- I was just clearing a question like you asked.
- DONNA SOUCY: Mm-hm.
- 22 CHUCK MORSE: We actually asked him for a number,

- and that's how we ended up where we were.
- DONNA SOUCY: No, I appreciate that. I just --
- 3 like I said, I think there's still more that they may
- 4 need that they have not asked for.
- 5 But I just want to make sure that we have
- fortified that system to the extent that it needs to be
- 7 so that it's meeting the needs of people that I think
- for a while are going to continue to need to collect
- 9 claims and to get answers, particularly people that are
- 10 self-employed. They seem to be having the greatest
- 11 struggle.
- 12 JERRY LITTLE: So this is Jerry. It sounds as
- though you're asking if I would arrange to have Deputy
- 14 Commissioner Lavers on a call.
- 15 Alternatively, would you like me to ask him to
- submit something in writing to the committee that we
- 17 could distribute to all of you relative to the efficacy
- of the \$4.472 million expense or receipt from the
- 19 federal government Department of Labor and whether or
- 20 not that will solve all of their current (inaudible)?
- DONNA SOUCY: Jerry, for myself --
- 22 JERRY LITTLE: I think that --

- DONNA SOUCY: Yeah, I think having him submit
 something in writing in advance and then just being
- available if there are questions would be great.
- JERRY LITTLE: Okay, we'll work on that.
- 5 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: There seems to be concurrence
- about the \$50 million that was originally put forth.
- 7 Is that how you read that?
- 8 MARY JANE WALLNER: I have a question about that.
- 9 JERRY LITTLE: Representative Wallner, go right
- 10 ahead.
- MARY JANE WALLNER: I wondered how did we come up
- with the \$50 million as a figure and whether or not the
- Department of Labor has actually -- or Employment
- 14 Security I should say -- has actually done an estimate
- 15 of what number they would need in order to reimburse
- the trust fund.
- 17 CHUCK MORSE: Well, Senator D'Allesandro came up
- 18 with that number. But I think quite honestly, the
- document that we're building is basically saying this
- is where we believe our priorities are.
- 21 That's why I got rid of ranking, because it was
- 22 pretty easy to see after the discussion the other day

that you were ranking things based on how you were funding it.

The problem we're going to have in unemployment that I had both of them on a call about two weeks ago. But after 20 weeks we'll be borrowing money, so that means that \$300 million that we had going into, you know, March will be gone.

And we'll be borrowing from the federal government. And like in 2008 when that happened, we have to pay that back. So two things happened. We were operating at about \$50 million a year in that fund. And that's what we were taxing businesses on.

In the last go-around when we got into the position that we borrowed, we had to go to businesses for about 250 million. And I really shouldn't be speaking for the department, but I think that was pretty clear when I talked to them.

So they actually -- my question to them was based on what a company's paying today, where would they be if we borrow from the federal government and we have to fill our own trust fund back while paying back money.

They said rates will be five times what they are

- today. And that's how they got the \$250 million a year that we'd be collecting out of businesses.
- I think if we put 250 million in that line today,

 I just -- it's probably too strong. You know, the same

 thing would be we heard from, you know, restaurants and

 lodging may need \$700 million.

We heard from hospitals they need \$200 million up front. We're never going to get to these full numbers that everyone asks for. Basically that's why I'm recommending we only look at March and April.

The next line that we're going to look at went through May 5. But the \$50 million was just to say we know there's an issue there. I don't think we could put 250 million in there unless the committee wants to.

MARY JANE WALLNER: I wasn't suggesting that, Senator Morse. I just --

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: The \$50 million was a reasonable number given what was going on. I spoke with Copadis about the \$300 million, when the fund would be depleted, and looked back at what we had done in the past.

So that seemed a reasonable number to put in to

- 1 begin restoring the fund, and knowing full well that we
- had to borrow from the feds and the 60/40 split. So
- that seemed like a reasonable number at this time.
- 4 MARY JANE WALLNER: Thank you, Senator
- 5 D'Allesandro.
- 6 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Of course.
- 7 CHUCK MORSE: The next category was state
- 8 government. This is one where Senator D just mentioned
- 9 there was money. There's money I think two times now
- 10 been put into it where it says incurred costs.
- 11 That's been out of the 1.2 that we're making
- 12 recommendations out of. And that's the number so far
- that we picked up out of that number. There's been
- money from the federal government in this category.
- 15 Our documentation, like I said, went through May 5
- on this. And along with that, if you get down, we
- 17 thought this was a more appropriate place to put front
- 18 line workers' pay under state government.
- I think there's more than state government in this
- 20 category. But originally I believe it was \$25 million
- 21 was appropriated. And then in this last announcement
- there was another \$25 million added to this category.

1 So that's the numbers we picked up in that category.

Under -- trying to look under Senator

D'Allesandro's document, but the only thing we picked

up on his document originally was what was spent, which

was that 100. And this number -- the \$126 million

which I've heard from several people -- if I go back to

our first meeting, the number that was in our notes was

\$154 million I believe.

So what I tried to do was get it as accurate as possible and get away from that \$126 million because I don't think you can tie directly to that number or the \$150. So that's why you'd have to go into a bunch of different numbers.

And that's why I made it so that you could click on and see what was funded under that \$60 million. You could go into it and look and see what the state paid for already. It seemed like the best way to do it.

Again, I think this document is basically the legislature saying, "This is what we see as priorities." But, I mean, I certainly am getting calls from people that say it appropriates, you know, the next four months all today -- you know, not meaning

1 today but right away.

And if you do that, I mean, I'm not sure each and every one of us knows what's going to hit their communities or the people around us in the next couple of months.

I mean, it seems like people are starting to get more nervous today. You know, originally it was nervousness about the virus. Now it's about putting food on the table.

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Well, as you say, the thing is constantly moving and constantly changing. And you're right. That's why I think we have to be cautious about reserving monies for something that may come up that really needs our attention.

The \$126 million that I have on that sheet, on our original sheet, came from a document that I received from Jerry. And it counted \$125,600 that was spent COVID response spending by state agencies to date -- and I guess the date is the 16th of April -- and the second COVID response programs that were put in place.

That's where the \$125 came from. We all got that breakdown. It was personnel costs, operational costs,

- emergency order, 22 child protection and the PPE. That accounted for \$28,325,550.
- And then the state COVID response programs, the

 Emergency Healthcare System Relief Fund allocated

 \$14,281,086. The Emergency Healthcare System Relief

 Fund Reserved -- that's the \$35,718,914.

The Emergency Domestic and Sexual Violence Service Prevention Relief Fund, \$600,000 allocated. In the long-term care stabilization program, \$75 million was reserved. That's where I got that number.

Now the question that's been constantly asked is how much of this has actually been spent and of the monies that were reserved, what's happened. It was very difficult to get an accurate response to those questions.

But that's the document that I received and the document that I worked from. And I assumed that all of that money had gone out the door. And that's why I put that number in place.

CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, and Senator D, we have a document from Mike Cain (phonetic) that we asked for originally. And they had 26 -- and these are some of

- the same numbers you have. The \$26 million was the interagency transfer. Fifty million was healthcare system relief fund.
- And as we get down this document, we just changed
 the names to all health care instead of that fund
 because I think there's a lot -- there's a lot going
 into that category, and that's what I wanted to talk
 about.
 - But out of that \$50 million it looks like about \$10.9 today went to hospitals. That was a lot of our discussion the other day. We wanted to know how much goes to hospitals.
 - LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Right.

- CHUCK MORSE: Long-term (inaudible) was \$75

 million. Domestic violence was \$3 million. And he

 titled the document at 1.54. That was my only concern,

 but I think the document you have before you right now

 is pretty accurate as to the categories when we broke

 them out, where the money actually ended up.
 - On the hospital one, I didn't try, Lou, because I don't think all that money is allocated yet. And I said if I start breaking this up, what do I do with the

- stuff that isn't allocated, you know. So I didn't try.
- 2 So --
- 3 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: I think the breakdown is good.
- And we know exactly where it went. That's what we've
- been looking for, so I appreciate that. I think we're
- on the right track -- you're on the right track -- so
- 7 that everybody has the complete picture here, which
- 8 hasn't been available but again, more available as
- 9 you're working on it, we're working on it. So all of
- 10 that's good stuff.
- 11 CHUCK MORSE: You know, when Josh and I were
- talking last night, I said where the committee would be
- very helpful, you know, if we can, you know, somehow
- 14 work through the week and get, you know, where we want
- to be financially.
- The intended use is the issue because we're all
- hearing different things. If we need to expand the
- 18 categories, maybe these are the right categories
- because I used everyone's documents.
- I used the U.S. senator's document. I used the
- governor's document to try and get categories that
- resembled what everyone was thinking about as a whole.

- So, you know, obviously I don't have AIDS in our document because our committee never talked about something like that.
- But if you want to go to the federal document, it

 was in there. I just -- picking up all those numbers

 wasn't -- it was not -- didn't make much sense to me.

But I do think as we look at the categories, as we hear from people on the outside, intended use is probably pretty important to get -- make sure -- like I talked about it the other day.

You know, (inaudible) private and county nursing homes and all of that. You know, because there's like three different categories there. You know, there's not-for-profits. There's privates, and there's county. Where do they fall, and which bucket do we point them to when they call us?

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Right.

CHUCK MORSE: You know. That's going to be what I think is important. And last night, quite honestly, because I'm dealing with Salemhaven who's got issues, I didn't get to the point where I was comfortable.

And I said to Josh, you know, because this is my

- senate district, not what this document's about. I

 said, you know, I got to understand what federal monies

 are already out there to help them so I can help them

 through this process. You know, because I think
- 6 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Right.

there's money out there --

- 7 CHUCK MORSE: -- besides this money. But I think
 8 that's much harder to do, and it kind of needs
 9 everybody's eyes looking at it to be honest with you.
 10 So good luck.
 - today, we got another document today that talks about the COVID-19 Emergency Healthcare Systems Relief Fund.

 We got allocations now as of May 5. And we have a series of healthcare applicants, the Ammonoosuc Community Health Center, the Greater Seacoast Community Health Center, the Greater Seacoast Community Care Center, the Indian Stream Health Care Center, the Lamprey Health Care Center, the Mid-State Health Care Center, and the White Mountain Community Health Care Center.
 - The most was an \$800,000 loan. And the smallest here was a \$250,000. And these were stated

specifically as zero interest loans that have been recommended by the committee and approved by the governor.

So there's another series of items. And if they're low-interest loans, how are they accounted for? Are they accounted for as a general fund appropriation? Do we have to move them out? They're not going to be COVID --

They're COVID related, but how are they going to be handled? Do you get -- does the state reimburse itself for making these loans because they were COVID related? Then you have a situation who gets the payback?

Does that go to the state or does that go to the federal government? To me, that's a complicated situation that somebody's going to have to deal with because it all fits into this picture because it comes under that 50.

JERRY LITTLE: Senator D'Allesandro, this is Jerry Little. The COVID-19 Emergency Healthcare System

Relief Fund dollars, that relief fund was initially set up pre-GOFERR office. And initially those loans were

- being made with general fund dollars.
- 2 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Yes, yes --

JERRY LITTLE: The CARES Act fund was passed and 3 4 the (inaudible) were received. The general fund dollars were returned to the general fund and were 5 back-filled with CARES Act money so that for that 6 particular program, the Emergency Healthcare System 7 Relief Fund, they are currently within GOFERR using the 8 CARES Act relief fund to fund them so that when the 9 10 repayments are received if they are received, those will remain CARES Act dollars and will have to be dealt 11 with in a manner consistent with the treasury quidance. 12

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Okay, yeah.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JERRY LITTLE: So the general funds that were initially used to make those loans have been returned to the general fund lines where they came from and have been back-filled with the federal dollars.

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Okay, that's good information.

Thank you. But you can see that when you looked at this, there was a duplicity factor here that you had to figure out that you have just given me the explanation because if you're giving out a loan and that loan is

- going to be repaid, well, that money's got to be repaid
- 2 to somebody.
- 3 JERRY LITTLE: Correct.
- 4 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Who made the original loan,
- 5 correct?
- 6 JERRY LITTLE: Correct.
- 7 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: That money will go back to the
- federal government. If they repay the loans, that
- 9 money goes back to the federal government.
- JERRY LITTLE: Yes, or they come back here for us
- 11 to reuse.
- 12 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Yes, right.
- JERRY LITTLE: But as we just discussed, we just
- 14 got off the phone with the governor and executive
- 15 council. As they discussed on that call, the governor
- does have the authority if he wishes to turn those into
- 17 grants.
- 18 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Okay.
- 19 CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, I think if you go down to
- 20 healthcare too and you click on that \$305 million that
- came in -- not us, it's separate -- that came from the
- 22 federal government --

1 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Yeah.

CHUCK MORSE: You can start to see there's bucket after bucket after bucket of money that came to New Hampshire. We haven't broken that done more than what the titles are for each one and how much money it gets.

I'm sure you could go much further, but the intent
was so that if I would ask a question of the committee,
you know -- and I'm in health care right now.

I don't need to be, but if I would ask a question of the committee where are we going with this document with, you know, nursing homes, what category it's under, you know, this would be -- when you get down to health care, this would definitely be a category where I think there's -- we're covering that, you know.

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Yes, under all other healthcare providers. That would be the all-encompassing term.

JERRY LITTLE: I have several questions on the screen. I assume it's about this topic. First on the list is Representative Mary Jane Wallner.

MARY JANE WALLNER: Thank you, Mr. Little. So this is a very simple question. I just want to be absolutely sure that the \$50 million which was one of

- 1 the very first things that was ever announced by the
- governor -- it was the healthcare grant or loan.
- 3 That has now been moved out of incurred costs and
- has moved down to the healthcare subtitle. Is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 CHUCK MORSE: If you go into healthcare, the \$50
- 7 million, I believe if you add the 39 and the 10, you
- 8 get 50.
- 9 MARY JANE WALLNER: Okay, so that's where that has
- 10 moved to. Okay, thank you.
- 11 CHUCK MORSE: If you click on the 10, I think it's
- only -- I mean, you can see which hospital got money
- and which providers got money. That's why we did it
- 14 that way.
- And then the 39, I'm not sure all that's out yet.
- 16 That's the only issue. But I could be wrong.
- 17 MARY JANE WALLNER: So when we get down there,
- 18 when we get down to the 50 million, can we have some
- conversation about where we are in getting that money
- 20 out because that was the very first -- those were the
- very first funds that were made available and that was
- 22 several weeks ago.

So I wanted to see if we could get some sort of an update on where we are with getting those monies out there to hospitals or healthcare providers.

JERRY LITTLE: Representative Wallner, this is

Jerry Little. There is an updated dashboard on the

GOFERR website at this point in time with yesterday's

date on it.

Under the healthcare relief fund it shows an allocation of \$50 million, expended of \$18,070,574 with \$31,929,226 remaining unexpended of the \$50 million.

MARY JANE WALLNER: So I wondered if when we get down there, when we get to that, maybe we could have some discussion about, you know, how many applications are waiting or holding, what do we think -- what's the timing on getting that money out.

JERRY LITTLE: Sure. We can either do that now or we can wait till they get down there. Your call.

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Why don't we wait until we get to the item so that we can walk these things rather than confusingly jumping from one to -- I'm trying to follow this on my screen, so I got to jump back and forth, in and out.

- If we could just follow Chuck's lead and let him

 walk us through it, we can ask all the questions at the

 appropriate time.
- 4 JERRY LITTLE: That works for me.
- 5 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: I hope it works for everybody. 6 It's a lot easier to follow if we do it that way rather
- 7 than jumping back and forth.

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 8 MARY JANE WALLNER: No, I agree.
- 9 CHUCK MORSE: Here's the thing. You've only had
 10 this document for probably three hours, not that I've
 11 had it much longer. I mean, it took most of the day.
 12 I was trying to make this legislative friendly, you
 13 know, which is different than accounting type people.
 - So that's why if you drop down to state government now, and you want to know what's in the \$60 million, you can click on it, and you can understand that.
 - The front-line workers, the governors put a total of \$100 million into that category so far. So I think under state government, we've exceeded what was on Senator D'Allesandro's document by probably \$25-\$30 million.
- So I left that one alone in that category with

- what's been appropriated and all three are ready. You know, but you can go on to those numbers to find out what it's being used for.
- So, I mean, it's -- you know, it's -- I don't

 know. I think it's self-explanatory. I didn't see a

 need to add money to that category right now. Do I see

 a need at the end of May and the end of June? Maybe,

 you know.
- But if you go down to local and county government,

 this number's interesting. If you click on the \$40

 million, there's an allocation -- and this is all new

 to me, so, you know, correct me when I'm wrong, Jerry,

 or anyone else on the call.

15

16

17

- The \$40 million, if you click on that, the allocations come out by population in the community. I do not believe you actually just get this money. I believe this has to be applied for in order to get up to that amount of money.
- I don't think it's a direct check to each

 community. But if somebody on the line knows better -
 JERRY LITTLE: Jerry, you're correct.
- 22 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: You're correct, Chuck. You're

correct, absolutely. That's it. You've stated it

exactly as it was put out. And I think we're to notify

our towns of how to get this money. And it was an

application process. You got to ask for it. Is that

correct, Jerry?

JERRY LITTLE: That is correct. You're both absolutely correct. The numbers you see beside each town on that document that gets linked to from Senator Morse's spreadsheet is the total amount that will be available to that town.

So there are going to be three reporting dates between now and the end of July I believe. And what they need to do is to -- what the towns need to do is to submit to us a request for reimbursement of COVID-19 specific funds that are not FEMA -- eligible for FEMA reimbursement.

So this is to cover any gap that they're going to experience outside of FEMA funding. And so there are three reporting dates. And each town will submit to us a list of COVID-19 expenses with a request for reimbursement. We'll get the payment out to them within 30 days of receipt of that.

- The maximum that they can receive in total is -using the first town alphabetically, Acworth at \$21,282

 over those three disbursement periods. So we're not
 going to send checks to them. They need to submit
 reimbursement requests for COVID-19 specific expenses.
- TOU D'ALLESANDRO: Perfect. You were right on,

 Morse, right on. You hit the lottery there, Morse.

So I got that one right.

6

9

10

11

12

18

19

- JERRY LITTLE: So I do have two questions on the screen right now. And we're on the topic of state and county government I believe. Representative Hennessey has been waiting for a while to ask a question.
- 13 ERIN HENNESSEY: Thank you, Director Little. My
 14 question's already been answered. Appreciate it.
- JERRY LITTLE: Thank you. Sorry we didn't get to
 you faster. Representative Mary Jane Wallner has
 another question.
 - MARY JANE WALLNER: Yes. I was just wondering communities have been notified about this funding and the process they need to go through, is that correct?
- JERRY LITTLE: That is correct. They have been notified. Not only are the details available on the

- GOFERR website, but we went through the Department of
 Revenue Administration and used the contact list that
 they had to notify each of the municipalities and
 counties of the availability of these funds in their
 name.
- 6 MARY JANE WALLNER: Thank you.

- 7 CHUCK MORSE: All right, well there's -- I don't 8 know what's in that \$12 million federal number, but if 9 you click on it, I'm sure you can see what that is.
 - If you get down to -- if you get into core profits, and we start to talk about business, there's a couple of things going on here. One, I believe Bill Ardinger -- I keep bringing up his name.
 - I believe he's working on some kind of formula to -- you know, to work with BFA. But I think the point is to cover all aspects of business, you know, someone that needs a \$2,000 grant. We heard a lot about, you know, they had a fund that did I think up to \$10,000.
 - I think that's what he's working on. I haven't seen -- I mean, I get a letter from him basically explaining what some of the things are that are in BFA now. But I don't have a solution here.

I just have a theory that if you took \$100 million and you're working with banks -- and I'll point out something else that's pretty obvious here in a minute - you probably in theory could turn \$100 million into \$500 million.

If you treated this money as grant money, banks, you know, on a 20/80 funding analysis probably would be helpful to -- and again, this is going to be businesses that are going to make it because BFA I doubt would fund anything that they thought wasn't going to survive.

That's where I think the multiple actually helps the state of New Hampshire. If you go over one more column and you look at that -- if my math's right, that's \$2,075,000,000 -- you know, chiefs of staff -- I know the senate president can appreciate this -- are people that you learn to admire.

Well over a month ago, I contacted since he was working with the banking association. And I said, you know, the most important thing you can do -- and this was five o'clock in the morning, so I wasn't bashful -- is to make sure businesses know how to go forward with

this payroll protection thing.

I asked Josh to pick that number up, and that's the number we have right now. And to give credit to the banking association, she told me they were already working on it once they called her. But I thought it was one of the more important things the state of New Hampshire should chase.

And that's a nice number to be working with in the economy in the state of New Hampshire, quite honestly.

Some of you people that are -- understand, you know, whatever, our economy is working in New Hampshire.

I don't know if Erin has a number or something, but the \$2 billion's a nice number to get through

March, April, and May with, to be honest with you. And

I believe it was an eight-week period that they were looking at.

So anyhow, the model I think is to be determined, and that's why I thought it'd be helpful to meet with Donnalee's phonetic committee to talk about this subject as one thing.

And I think in many cases, people worried about the smaller businesses being able to participate in

- this and the -- you know, I think there's all kinds of
 ways that BFA can help us do that. So I just put it
 out there, so --
- LOU D'ALLESANDRO: I think it's a good number.

 When BFA came before us, they talked about 75 and 25

 were the two numbers. And you put them together you

 got the 100.

And based on the items that you have on the furthest side of the graph, all of the things that you talked are in place, and certainly they have the ability to move this money out in terms of loans and guaranteed loans and so forth, working with the business.

But that's the number they said they could work with. They thought that that was a good number. And we're certainly going to need it. I don't think there's any question about that.

And the \$2 billion, that's a driving number.

There's no question about that, so I think this is a good start to get things going. And they need it. And that's sort of a direct reaction to the testimony given to us, which I thought was very good, by the way.

But the association with the other committee will really help in expanding on this one in terms of what

they have in the works in terms of a plan.

- CHUCK MORSE: All right, I think this category

 needs work in the sense of process. But it's the same

 number you had on your document, Lou.
- 7 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Yes.

- 8 CHUCK MORSE: So that's all I plugged in there.
- 9 At one point I tried to break down the 75, 25 and --
- 10 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Right.
- 11 CHUCK MORSE: -- I'm not sure it was worth my

 12 effort because I know, you know, that there's a whole

 13 bunch of people. It seems like every time I pick up a

 14 phone and I call somebody, someone else has beat me to

 15 calling that person.
- So I don't -- I wasn't going to waste my breath
 trying to over-design this thing. So I think the
 number is probably the right number. I just don't know
 how it should be allocated.
- 20 To the extent that people go home and start
 21 working on this tonight, you probably could work on
 22 breakdowns. You know, maybe -- I know several of you

- 1 know Bill anyways. Maybe we want to call him, I mean,
 2 and just talk it out. But --
- LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Good point. I mean, this was a reaction to their testimony when they appeared before us. And I just jotted those numbers down and tried to follow their line of reasoning. And this is the number that I thought would be brought forward for discussion.

So others certainly have their opinions, but it's a good entity to get things going. We recognize the fact that we need relief and I thought the number was appropriate, again based on their testimony.

CHUCK MORSE: Okay, I just need to step off for two minutes to answer a question, so I'll be right back on.

JERRY LITTLE: Would the committee like to continue and move on to -- unless there are -- are there any questions or comments relative to business relief funding?

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: I think the next category, the agricultural relief, was the \$5 million that was asked for by the commissioner. And it seems to me that was pretty much self-explanatory by his comments with

regard to (inaudible) because of significant losses.

So I don't know (inaudible). There was a lot of commentary other than from the commissioner on that one, Jerry. But I think, Jerry, while Chuck's away, the meeting with the two committees, is that being orchestrated as we speak?

JERRY LITTLE: Yes. I know that Donnalee Lozeau, the chair of the Stakeholder Advisory Group, has been talking with Joe Doiron, who is the deputy director here, about organizing a meeting. We're not sure what date.

I think that this committee thought that this

Friday would be the best time, which would give us

another day or two to digest the information that you

and Senator Morse have presented. I'm just not sure

whether or not that works for the Stakeholder Advisory

Board. They are already scheduled to meet on Thursday

at two o'clock

So as soon as this meeting is over, I will step out and speak with Joe about what he and Donnalee are thinking about a joint meeting and when that might happen. But I think everybody agrees it needs to

- 1 happen.
- 2 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: It needs to happen and as
- quickly as possible I think because we want to get
- things going here. I think that's very important,
- 5 expediting matters.
- 6 CHUCK MORSE: I had to cancel my -- we had a phone
- 7 call at eleven today. She got busy and I got busy.
- 8 But I think the intention was to -- I asked her to
- 9 delay it till Friday because we talked about Wednesday
- 10 and Friday. I don't know if they can do it on Friday.
- But she -- if I look at my schedule that Shannon
- 12 (phonetic) sent me revised, I have a feeling I have a
- scheduled call with her after this meeting. So I'll
- work it out and, you know, get to a point where we
- 15 could --
- I think at that point, though, we swap documents
- 17 to be honest with you. That's why I think if the
- committee could get back to me with, you know, if
- there's a number change I believe it has to be done
- 20 publicly.
- If there's an intended use, I think I could add it
- 22 to the document for discussion. But I think she's

already sent me her documents. I'm just waiting from the republic. So I think our committee should have

their documents. They should have ours.

- They're much more into process than they are into numbers. So I'm not sure these numbers matter to them as much as they do to us because we're in the legislature and 424 of us are answering to the public every day, so it's a little different, you know.
- But I think this document should be quite honestly
 a guide to exactly what we're hearing on the phones
 from people. That's why I think intended use is
 important.
- DONNA SOUCY: Yes, are we still doing the five star or is it just a free flow?
- JERRY LITTLE: We're accepting the five star --
- DONNA SOUCY: (Inaudible) why I'm asking. Just before I even ask, I want to make sure we're still following the same procedure.
- 21 I'd like to go through the whole document. And 22 obviously there are going to be some things that we

need to ponder. But I would hope that when we get to

the end of the document today, we can look at a few key

areas.

I really believe that this money in some instances is really urgently needed. And I think we have to push a few of these things through now. And I think that's been the expectation.

Certainly the governor said he was going to get this money out as fast as possible. So I think at least in some subject areas, we need to make some decisions sooner than later. So hopefully at the end once we've gone through all the categories we could revisit a few.

JERRY LITTLE: So if I could restate, I believe that is a request that we move through the document and discuss whether or not the amounts and purposes are correct and then come back to discuss details. Is that correct, Senator Soucy?

DONNA SOUCY: Yes, because I think there are at least two or three key areas that we need to act upon very soon and then make further determinations as we go along.

JERRY LITTLE: So I believe the next section on 1 your document, Senator Morse, is agriculture. And 2 while you were away, Senator D'Allesandro said the \$5 3

million that Commissioner Jasper asked for.

4

9

15

16

- CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, there were two numbers asked 5 for. I guess I didn't look at them separate because I 6 -- the one number was from Commissioner Jasper. 7 the other number -- help me out, somebody --8
- JERRY LITTLE: That was Rob Johnson from the Farm 10 Bureau was the other number.
- CHUCK MORSE: Yeah. I think the \$5 million that 11 was suggested is probably the appropriate number for 12 the issue. And, you know, you could figure out how 13 you're doing it. 14
 - JERRY LITTLE: Very good. So the next section after that is nonprofits, which breaks down into two subcategories.
- 18 CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, and this -- to me, I don't even know where New Hampshire Food Bank came onto this. 19 We actually went in and looked at what their yearly, 20 you know, revenue was. 21
- 22 So that's why I took this number from a document

- that had 10 on it to five for now. But this category
 in my opinion -- and probably goes to what Donna's
 talking about -- this category right now probably needs
- 4 help immediately.

16

17

18

19

20

21

- How the details are written, you know, the
 guidance to give to them I don't know. But I think
 everybody agrees New Hampshire Charities is an
 appropriate place for money to go and have them start
 analyzing applications. That's why I asked that
 guestion.
- You know, overall -- and I forget the number of
 applications they got -- who's applying to them, you
 know? But I think we'll be coming back to this
 category more than once. That's for sure.
 - JERRY LITTLE: This is Jerry. On nonprofits, we have been in discussions with a group comprised of the CDFA, Charitable Foundation, and the Center for Nonprofits. And the Center for Nonprofits and the Charitable Foundation have been involved with us from the start.
 - And they actually -- all three of those groups have testified in front of us. And they are crafting a

proposal. We've only seen a draft. We've had

conversations with leaders of all three of those

organizations.

They're working together on a proposal that will encompass a broad spectrum of nonprofit entities in the state of New Hampshire represented by all three of those groups from the community level, social service, the medical communities, all the way up to arts involved, economic development, and things like that.

And the dollar figure that they're working with at this point in time for a broad proposal to touch the entire state of New Hampshire and the nonprofit community is \$125 million.

And I see we have \$15 million as the placeholder in there. I think that the request we're going to -- and the suggestion we're going to get from that group -- is at the \$125 million level. But it will be very broad and encompassing. Representative Wallner, do you have a question?

MARY JANE WALLNER: No, I was just questioning the \$15 million, where that came from. I mean, that's even less than we had on there last week and now saying that

- they're coming to us with a proposal. So I'm anxious
- 2 to see their proposal. Thank you.
- JERRY LITTLE: Thank you. As soon as we get it,
- 4 we will turn it and spend it -- excuse me, we will spin
- it around and send it out to you. We will not spend
- it. We will spin and send.
- 7 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Right. Well, that's quite a
- 8 diversion from the 15, the 125.
- 9 JERRY LITTLE: It's also -- what we're expecting
- from them is a very broad proposal touching a lot of
- 11 bases. And it also leverages up the ability of those
- 12 well-known entities to manage, audit, track these
- funds.
- 14 CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, and I think, to be honest with
- 15 you, the request -- like if you look at the request we
- got for 700 million. Steve Dupre's (phonetic) group
- 17 was on there. We funded that at about 15%.
- 18 If you look at hospitals, over the period that
- they're talking about, we funded it at about 15%. You
- know, that's south of \$20 million as the initial
- 21 funding. If this group and the governor see fit, you
- know, you could put all the money up front.

You know, if you were to do May, June, July, so
four applications of 20, that's \$80 million. I don't
think it's out of whack if you're only looking at one
month at a time.

If you're looking at a bigger picture, and you want to set up just like you're setting up with BFA, you know, more like a bank, that's looking at all these applications and reviewing it, you could quite honestly allocate it all up front.

But I don't think what was talked about in the original funding that Senator D put forward, what we have in here, is out of whack, looking at it as the short term, get the money out today.

If they're coming in with a plan, that's exactly like the BFA's probably coming in with some plan too. So I don't see an issue. One way or another, it's probably going to be that big.

And the other thing that we had a hard time with in this is nonprofits pop up in a lot of categories.

So this is my question about nonprofit nursing homes.

Do they go to New Hampshire charities? Do they go to the hospital fund?

2	No matter what, they need our help. I think the
3	guidance is going to be which category they should be
4	applying to, to be honest with you.

5 JERRY LITTLE: Good point.

6 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: I think so, yes, very good
7 point.

JERRY LITTLE: Are you prepared to move on to the next category, which is health care?

CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, this category, quite honestly, was the tougher piece because I think the category -the governor's made two \$50-million -- I mean, he's
made a \$50-million allocation to all healthcare
providers. I think somewhere along the way we would
suggest \$50 million in that category.

Or that might have just been me. That's maybe why

I -- because I think all other providers was a huge

category. You know, you had dentists asking for

things. Certainly when you click on one of these you

can see a lot of doctor's offices asked for help.

I know some other doctors' offices went to the payroll protection plan where they thought it made more

sense for them. The hospitals seem to need -- I think

it was Erin that brought it up the other day on the

call.

Every one of the groups that I've talked to is telling me they haven't seen the money yet. You know, we saw \$8 million, or \$8.5 million, but that's it. And all the documents I'm reading are basically saying they got a hell of a lot more than that coming.

This one's a little harder to figure out where we should plan in both categories, I mean, because both of these groups have been affected by the initial issue which is the virus.

They've all been affected directly by it, totally different than what I think we're going to see in business down the road. I mean, this is -- they know their problem already and they certainly said April was going to be the biggest problem.

They were hoping to get a little bit back on track in May so that they could stop some of the bleeding, but they're not going to get there totally at all. So anyhow, this was a stab at trying to fund the category.

And I think the bigger issue is where it says all

- other healthcare, what gets lumped into that category.
- 2 You could separate that money out. You could give more
- 3 to hospitals. You could do -- I don't know.
- 4 JERRY LITTLE: Senator Soucy, you have a question?
- 5 DONNA SOUCY: More like a comment. I do think in
- 6 this category in particular we do need to segment it a
- 7 bit. But I think this is one of the areas where the
- 8 money is really needed now. The hospitals absolutely
- 9 need the money even though they have just this week
- 10 started to take in some procedures.
- 11 It's a pretty bleak picture, and we have asked
- 12 them to do an awful lot. So I would hope this could be
- one of the categories at the end when we come back we
- 14 could -- I don't think the 75 is enough. I think it
- 15 needs to be more like 100. And then I think we need to
- do another 100 in reserve.
- I agree with staggering it because I think the
- needs are going to be different 30 days from now than
- 19 they are now. So I think we would distribute it
- 20 differently between the hospitals. But I do think it's
- 21 money that needs to get out there sooner than later.
- They're definitely in need of it.

MARY JANE WALLNER: Yes, and I would agree with what Senator Soucy just said. But in the area of all other healthcare providers, that is a really big mix of healthcare providers. And I wonder if we've given any thought to how we would disburse that funding.

Would that be some sort of an application -- like dentists, would there be an application process? How would we disburse it? And we have a good proposal maybe coming from the nonprofit center and the charitable trust. Do we have an organization that might do the same thing with this group?

CHUCK MORSE: Well, I just -- Senator Soucy, the other day -- I mean, I think it's a group of five that made all the decisions that if you click on that 39 -- if you click on that number, I think there's a group already in place.

I think the question that the committee was concerned about is is this all allocated and is it all out, you know. That question was one that kept coming up every -- and Jerry said it's out there now and we

- can see it. It looks like it's all allocated.
- 2 You know, I suppose we could check with a couple
- of those hospitals to see if they've already gotten it.
- But, Jerry, are those loans on the hospital case or are
- 5 those grants?
- 6 JERRY LITTLE: At this point I believe they are
- 7 loans. As I mentioned earlier during a conversation
- with the governor council earlier this morning, the
- 9 similar question was asked and whether or not they
- 10 could be turned into grants.
- And the answer provided was that if that's what
- the governor wishes to do, the governor could do that.
- But these are contractually agreed-to loan documents at
- 14 the moment. So --
- 15 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Is the answer that the governor
- will make a decision as to whether they're loans or
- 17 grants?
- JERRY LITTLE: That's correct, sir.
- 19 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: And when would that be
- 20 forthcoming?
- 21 JERRY LITTLE: I think all of these things are
- very much in flux at this point in time because none of

us really know exactly where we're going with the coronavirus/COVID-19 situation. We just have to stay

flexible on all of these decisions.

- So I can't -- I have no idea when that decision

 might be made. The funds in this program are going out

 initially as loans.
- 7 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Okay.

3

18

19

20

- 8 JERRY LITTLE: Senator Soucy, you have another
 9 question I believe?
- DONNA SOUCY: Yes, thank you, Commissioner. The first \$50 million and the process involved there I don't think is one that we want to replicate.
- I mean no disrespect to any one of the

 individuals, but as early as a couple hours ago before

 we got on this call, I heard from hospitals that had

 applied for these funds that are still waiting to hear,

 that haven't gotten a response one way or another.
 - And the information has been coming out in dribs and drabs. It hasn't been forthcoming. It's been very hard to attain, so I don't know that that's a model we want to continue with.
- I think we need to come up with something else,

- and I think we need to do it in pretty short order so
 that we can get specifically hospitals and then the
 other categories of providers a process that's more
 nimble, more transparent and that gets the money out
 the door that they actually need.
- 6 CHUCK MORSE: It looks like the answer to our
 7 question, though, is out of that \$50 million, \$28 of it
 8 has not been appropriated yet.
- 9 DONNA SOUCY: And that's a problem.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 10 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: It's a big problem. That was

 11 in April that money was put together. So, I mean, here

 12 we are in May and the hospitals that have applied are

 13 still hanging, waiting.
 - MARY JANE WALLNER: And I wonder if we could get some information about how many applicants there are that are waiting and what is the delay.
 - JERRY LITTLE: So there is some sensitivity around these funds which the intended purpose was to initially deal with hospitals primarily, but other healthcare providers that were in significant cash crunches and at risk of having to take some very unfortunate steps.
 - I don't think there's any secret that LRGH was a

primary target of this product to save them from what could have been a catastrophic situation.

And so what the institutions involved are being asked to provide is a look into their cash flow and their business projections and that it's a mechanism for triaging problems within the healthcare industry primarily.

That was its initially intended purpose. However, it's been opened to a wider array of organizations and other steps have been taken to relieve the immediate cash flow needs of these institutions.

For instance, the (inaudible) payments have been delayed to the end of May, which for many of the institutions involved alleviated their immediate need for the cash.

So there's a live program that is going to be putting out more funds at this point in time. At the moment, there have been a little over \$18 million of the \$50 million distributed. We've got almost \$32 million remaining to put out there.

You can see on our website the institutions. It looks like there are six hospitals and dozens more

1 general healthcare providers who have received money.

I'm not sure that that answers your questions or your concerns. The message I'm trying to send is that it's a very fluid situation with some sensitivities to it.

CHUCK MORSE: Well, and then the documents -
(inaudible) the numbers that are on this sheet and the

documents that I looked at originally I was told there

was about 30% federal funding coming in.

I certainly saw documents that showed about 50%. So the management side of this, I don't know who's going to control that. I mean, I think it's an answer you could get, Jerry.

But the reality of the whole thing is I don't think we should allocate money in the future to any category till we understand what happens with our first round because say we do appropriate, you know -- it's less than half, but it's close.

I can see one number we could hold back on in this document, if we were making a suggestion to the governor, and that's the \$50 million in unemployment because we still have some money in that account.

The rest of these numbers, if we're going to send

it to the governor and send a letter with it, I think

we'd recommend that most of them get put in place now.

As for doing the hospitals for \$100 million now -- and I think you're seeing why I get concerned about things like this -- there's \$28 million on this document, Jerry.

So whether it's \$32 or \$28, it hasn't been appropriated. I understand what you're saying, and someone probably has a clearer picture than I do of cash on hand. And I think that's important if organizations have to prove that right now as we release money.

So I don't know who's running the show. I would think it's people that are very accounting orientated. And the documents I got were five or six boxes. They weren't P&L's and stuff like that.

And there's a lot of sensitivity to them releasing documents. I mean, some hospitals won't even release documents, and I ran into that, because they're not allowed to. But it's a complicated issue.

I think if someone's going to develop a solution

- for this, it's much like what I think I've been doing.
- 2 You're going to have to put a lot of time into it
- 3 because I don't think I can.
- I don't think I'm technically savvy enough to
- 5 develop a system or recommendation. Now remember, all
- 6 we're doing is recommending. I mean, we're not running
- 7 this show. That's pretty obvious.
- 8 So I think the fact that we want to make a
- 9 recommendation and we believe it should go out now
- leads me to believe that Friday's meeting's probably
- important.
- 12 But we should draft a document financially and we
- should draft a document that says, "Here's what we
- believe, and we think these things need to happen
- 15 soon." And I think that's -- we were asked for
- 16 recommendations, and I think we should send them.
- 17 But I don't -- creating a system for this -- well,
- 18 I'm not -- I can't do that. I'm not the guy.
- 19 JERRY LITTLE: Thank you, Senator Morse.
- 20 Representative Wallner, your hand is up.
- 21 MARY JANE WALLNER: Yes, I just -- so when I look
- down at the category of all other healthcare providers,

we have the \$39 million which is maybe grants, maybe loans, and only a portion of it has been spent.

On the \$50 million, are we assuming the same information, that maybe it's grants or maybe it's loans? Or are we recommending that these will be grants?

CHUCK MORSE: That's up to the committee. I personally have a hard time understanding either the state -- and what I could read in these documents creating loans in the first place. I think this is money that's needed and it's -- you know, it should be going out as grants.

But banks do loans. I think to the extent that people can leverage that, if we want to recommend to the executive branch that they leverage certain categories on here like under the business side which is the model I think that's being developed, then that's a great idea. I mean, I don't have any problem supporting that.

On the hospital side, I think they pure out need the cash. I don't think they want to take on any more debt right now. But you'd have to be much more

- involved to understand -- I mean, the Lakes Region one
- I understand. And it was pretty obvious in the initial
- inquiries, you know, they didn't have but days' cash on
- 4 hand.
- 5 You know, to look at all these hospitals right now
- and know what they have for cash on hand, it takes a
- 7 different group of people to be honest with you. Some
- 8 people on this call could do it, but I can't.
- 9 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Mary Jane, I would think that
- 10 these would all be grants. I can't see us creating a
- loan bank here. We're getting this money --
- 12 MARY JANE WALLNER: No, I would agree with you. I
- would agree with you.
- 14 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: We should be pushing it out as
- 15 grants.
- 16 MARY JANE WALLNER: Right, I agree with you,
- 17 Senator D'Allesandro. It's just that the \$39 million
- is up in the air as to whether it's grants or loans.
- 19 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Yes, understand.
- 20 MARY JANE WALLNER: So I just want to be sure
- whether or not the \$50 million is up in the air also.
- 22 I mean, I think --

- 1 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: And I would say --
- 2 MARY JANE WALLNER: I think those providers who
- are getting the \$39 million, those are small healthcare
- 4 centers, and those organizations to take out loans at
- 5 this point is really going to be difficult for them.
- 6 So I'm hoping that they will turn into grants. I
- 7 want to be sure the \$50 million is grants too.
- 8 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Sure.
- 9 JERRY LITTLE: So just -- I'm sorry, Senator
- 10 D'Allesandro, this is Jerry. I just want to be clear
- 11 that the initial -- the healthcare relief fund monies
- that have been out so far are loans.
- And so the question was asked this morning on the
- 14 governor and council meeting, could they be turned into
- grants. And the answer came back yes. But I don't
- have any indication that that's what's under
- 17 consideration right now. That was a response to a
- 18 question that was asked.
- 19 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Uh-huh, well thank you for
- 20 (inaudible). But I would think that the money that
- we're talking about basically we're -- particularly as
- it relates to this category -- we're talking about

- 1 grants. We're talking about grants.
- I mean, that's how I see this money coming down
- from the -- i believe that their intention was for us
- 4 to move this out the door as grants.
- 5 JERRY LITTLE: Well, good. I have lots of
- questions populating the screen here. We have Senator
- 7 Soucy first.
- 8 DONNA SOUCY: Thank you, Commissioner. I
- 9 completely agree this next round has to be grants. I
- 10 really, really will continue to advocate that we look
- at the hospitals as one category and then look at the
- remaining categories within this grouping.
- But I really believe there is an urgency given the
- current state to get some of this money out the door.
- 15 And I don't have a crystal ball. I can't tell you what
- it's going to be like 30 days from now, but from
- 17 everything I'm hearing today, the need is there.
- The losses are significant. We've seen evidence
- of various hospitals around the state having to lay off
- and furlough employees and people that are foregoing
- care that probably are still going to have some
- concerns about going back into a hospital.

- So it's going to take them some time to get there.

 So even though hospitals are starting to perform other

 procedures, it's not a lot. It's not many, and it's
- 4 going to grow very slowly over time. So the short-term
- 5 need is really critical.

17

18

19

20

So I think we need to -- at the very least -- I
think not only should the money be staged a bit. You
know, maybe the first half now, the second half in 30
or 45 days. But I think the recommendations to the

governor could be staged as well.

- I think the letter that you mentioned, Senator

 Morse, could be a recommendation of the very first

 round, the most immediate concerns or these key areas.

 And then over the next week, week and a half, two

 weeks, we'll come back with the remainder of our

 recommendations.
 - But I do feel there's some urgency here, and that's part of our job is to sort of triage those needs and move things along as fairly and as capably as we can.
- JERRY LITTLE: Speaker Shurtleff, you're next on the list. Speaker Shurtleff?

STEVE SHURTLEFF: Yeah, now I'm unmuted. Jerry, I don't want to belabor the point. I just want to add to what's already been said. The state of New Hampshire's receiving \$1.25 billion from the federal government to help those in the Granite State that have been injured financially by the pandemic.

And I can't even understand why there'd be a debate on whether the governor would want to make these a loan or a grant. To me, it's simple. They should be a grant.

Part of the reason that the hospitals are in the financial condition they're in now is because they did the right thing. They cleared out space in their hospitals to provide beds for those that may come down with COVID-19. And they've suffered because of that.

They are so important to our state, the hospitals, especially to the North Country. And the idea of making this a loan instead of a grant just -- I just don't see the merits in that. Thank you.

JERRY LITTLE: You're welcome. Representative Hennessey. Representative Hennessey?

ERIN HENNESSEY: Oh, thank you. Sorry, I had the

hand raised lower thing going on in my earpiece and
couldn't hear you.

It was my understanding early on in the middle of April when we had the different departments join us -- I believe that Karen Rounds (phonetic) mentioned that these initial rounds of funding were going out as loans but they would be forgivable loans, considered grants.

Am I wrong in my memory on that?

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: I don't think so because if you read your document dated April 17, the following zero interest loans have been recommended by the committee and approved by the governor.

The committee is continuing to review applications and is expected that additional allocations will be recommended and approved. Many applicants were -- and so forth.

But clearly, clearly they were low-interest loans, but from the beginning -- but remember this was from the general fund. This was a general fund situation that the governor set up to deal with the immediacy of the problem.

But clearly all the documents that I've read with

- 1 regard to this particular \$50 million, they were zero
- 2 interest loans.
- 3 ERIN HENNESSEY: And not grants.
- 4 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: That's what it says on the
- 5 document.
- 6 ERIN HENNESSEY: Okay. I thought that Ms. Rounds
- 7 had mentioned that although they are set up as loans
- 8 that they are actually considered grants and will --
- 9 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: She might have said that at a
- 10 fiscal committee meeting or Rhonda. But in the
- documents that were prepared, it clearly states that
- they were zero-interest loans.
- That may have changed. I'm not sure. I've got
- that on both the May 5 document and the April 17
- document.
- 16 ERIN HENNESSEY: So I agree with Senator Soucy. I
- 17 think that these funds need to go out as soon as
- 18 possible, especially since I think we're all in
- 19 agreement that they should be grants and not loans in
- 20 our recommendation --
- 21 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Right.
- 22 ERIN HENNESSEY: -- and that if we're going to be

taking longer, like days or weeks to formalize our full recommendation, perhaps we can break this one out and just recommend this today.

In recommending that, I just want to disclose that I am on the board of a hospital in the North Country, one that hasn't received the funding yet, although I'm not voting to give them the actual funding. But I just wanted to remind everybody of that.

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Yeah, I would hope that we do look at this particular item and do something with it today and make a recommendation because I think it's imperative.

And I was on a call with the hospitals with Senator Soucy and I think the speaker and Mary Jane this morning and listened to the numbers. And the numbers are staggering really, the negatives.

JERRY LITTLE: Senator Morse, it looks like you just put your hand up?

CHUCK MORSE: Well, I put my hand up because I think it's much more complicated than just putting money out there. I don't -- I'm all for approving sending a recommendation to the governor that money go

1 out there.

If I'm looking at the allocation out of the \$50 million, you probably had profitable associations in there. I know in my particular case where I helped a doctor, he chose not to go to that fund because he didn't want to deal with whether it was going to be a loan or whether it was going to be a grant.

So he went to payroll protection. He thought it was a better place for his organization to go to. I do believe they need money, but I also think -- I'm looking at a document that was sent to me. And all this was sent confidentially.

I had a breakdown of two critical access hospitals and two other hospitals. I'm looking at the fact that the variance from expenses to income over the time period that I asked for was a number of \$22 million.

And then I'm looking at the very end of the document and they received \$11 million in all kinds of categories, but they showed that. In the document it says, "Total grants, \$11 million."

So they have an \$11-million problem, which is half, okay? If I looked at a couple of the other

institutions, it's not. And if I look at one other

it's not. And then I looked at the other two, and

they're close.

So the reality of it is this is a very technical area, and probably will be lobbied heavy. And what I don't want to do is basically I think it's an important category. I think we have \$125 million in total and how the breakdown happens, I've said I think to the right of that needs to be thought out.

But the reality is I guarantee you somebody's going to come back after this conversation today and say, "We know that this hospital has 90 days of cash on hand." And nobody on our phone call knows that. And they probably know what all -- I know they know this.

They probably know what all the institutions have for cash on hand and they're probably trying to work within that parameter. I'm sure on the other end, putting this allocation out there, the pressure is there's \$1.2 billion.

How much of it's going to go to hospitals, how much is going to go to businesses, how much of it's going to go to unemployment trust funds? I think

that's a much more effective way for this committee to look at it as an analysis.

I don't see a hurry to go beyond creating the document that deals with today. And then we come back at the end of May and do the same thing because by then — the hospitals will not have their financial information for April, which is the worst month they're going to have, until May 15 from my conversations with them.

That's when they'll be trued up for the month of April in the form of a P&L-type document. I don't want to speculate. I don't know it well enough. I mean, I would think with the fact that the state's sitting on \$28 million, if somebody needed it immediately today, then it would have been accounted for.

I'm not involved in that process, but I think our appropriation was appropriate. If we want to move the money around (inaudible), I also think we need to define that second category.

You can see what they funded so far, but I think it needs to be broader. I'd be careful because we're only recommending and that's why I said take 45% of the

1 money and recommend where we see the priorities.

You know, on the other end of this recommendation, the answer could be straight up we got a better idea.

Obviously that's happening with the \$125 million that's been asked for nonprofits.

Somebody's working on something much grander than we had even with our original thoughts. I don't know. I don't want to go beyond where I think our level of recommendations may be because we're not managing this process. The executive branch is.

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: But the executive has asked us

-- or informed us to make these recommendations based

on testimony that we have received and to make our best

judgment. And I agree that we should reserve some. I

mean, that's always been my premise from the beginning

of this process.

But recommendations were asked for, and we're going to come to a point in time when we make these recommendations. That's what we've been doing for all of this time taking this testimony. So I think it's an imperative.

We're not going to know everything. Heck, nobody

1 knows everything. When it relates to the hospitals, we know some things. We don't know other things. But the 2 thing we do know is that the burden's been significant 3 4 and we've asked them to do certain things. They've

done that.

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

- And as a result of that, I think cash flow is an important item. So I think we have a responsibility to 7 do something to the best of our ability. And I agree with Chuck. You know, we're recommending. We're not 10 making decisions.
 - We're recommending that these things that place but it's been -- I think it's pretty clear that the executive is making the decisions. I mean, just look at what happened on Monday. If that's not a clear indication of who's making the decisions, I don't know what is.
- 17 JERRY LITTLE: So I have several hands raised. 18 We're going to go in this order -- Senator Soucy, Representative Wallner, and then back to Senator Morse. 19 So Senator Soucy? 20
- Senator Soucy: Thank you, Commissioner. I just 21 22 wanted to be clear. I'm not suggesting that we will

decide -- we the eight people on this call will decide

-- which hospitals get the money. Much like the

initial \$50 million, my understanding is not every

hospital applied.

Some made the decision to forego because they felt they were in a better position and there were those like -- since we've mentioned it on the call -- Lakes who might have needed money more quickly. But we know that more than half the hospitals did apply, did have needs.

And I just think as an important first step for their planning purposes -- I mean, look, these are major institutions. They're making decisions on a nearly hourly basis to respond to what is a crisis that none of us has ever experienced before.

I think there is some benefit and also security for these major institutions to know that at least for the short term, some of these funds are being released so that they can begin to plan and think about how they're going to bring people back, which procedures they're going to do.

I think they just need that advance and I think

- they've proven that the need is there. I'm not suggesting, as I said, we're going to decide this hospital gets X dollars, that hospital gets X dollars.
- There are people that are better trained and
 better prepared to do that than us as individuals. But
 I think at the very least I think we can express to the
 governor the urgency to do more than what has been done
 for these entities.
- 9 JERRY LITTLE: Thank you, Senator. Representative
 10 Wallner?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- MARY JANE WALLNER: Thank you. So I just wanted to go back to my original question was are we -- so in the nonprofit and for the for-profit programs that we are suggesting to set up, we've gone to outside organizations to really administer those and to help us get through that process.
- And I'm wondering if in the all other healthcare providers we know of an entity outside that might be able to help us do that and we might make a recommendation that they put together a program and entertain the requests.
- All other healthcare providers is a very, very

- large number of organizations. And they, like the forprofit businesses, could get requests for \$10,000 or \$2
- So, I mean, I think that we probably -- if we really want it to get out fast, we would be better off to find an organization sort of similar to what we did with the suggested for the nonprofit and the for-profit organizations.
- 9 So that's just a thought that we maybe think about
 10 that and think about who might be able to do that for
 11 us.
- 12 JERRY LITTLE: Thank you, Representative. Senator
 13 Morse.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, listen, I don't have any problem if it's committee's intent to draft a letter and send off a document that we all agree on this week. I mean, I think I've been suggesting that for two weeks now.
- I didn't think it was any surprise to me that the governor came out this week. I had no knowledge of it, but I don't think it was any surprise because he wanted to get money out, just like we're all suggesting, as

1 quick as possible.

And I think if we wait another week, that's exactly what's going to happen with all these other categories. But I just think our goal is to figure out what categories, how they rank to us, and that's what I thought the money did.

You know, the only flaw I've seen today, quite honestly, is on the nonprofits. People are suggesting that the numbers should be much bigger. If that's the case, then we go ahead and do that.

I don't think there's anything wrong with that.

But like anything else, we go ahead and do that, and then we still talk about hospitals and everything else.

That's why I said in the original part of my preface on this, I drop all categories that can wait for a major decision underneath appropriations like (inaudible) the state of New Hampshire.

I mean, if we're putting \$125 into nonprofits and some number of \$200 into hospitals and so on and so forth, there's not going to be \$40 million left over for cabling. And I know people are working on it because I talked to one of them in these calls.

So I think it would benefit us to tidy up this document and come up with what we believe in. And if we truly believe that hospitals need the money today, we could start drafting some kind of letter to go along with our notes to say we've done our work. This is what we believe should happen immediately.

And I don't think that would be a bad idea. And then we can continue to set up things so that we can interview groups or do other things.

The two issues that came up that I don't know how to deal with is disbursement of money, and it's both in health care. The hospital and disbursement of money to all others, I don't have a good answer for that, Mary Jane. I don't -- you know --

MARY JANE WALLNER: I appreciate that, thank you. Thank you.

JERRY LITTLE: That's all I see for hands raised on this topic. Anybody else have a comment about health care? Seeing none, would you like to move on to the next topic, Senator Morse, which is education?

CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, I actually got to get back into the document because I went and found hospitals.

- 1 I'll find it in a minute.
- 2 But on education, the federal government has made
- a \$87 million appropriation in New Hampshire. That was
- broken down to I believe three categories. And in
- those categories the money was broken down even more.
- One was to K through 12. One was \$9 million to
- 7 the governor. And the other was the university system,
- 8 the community college system, and the private. If you
- 9 click on our document there, I think you can see where
- 10 the breakdown was in that category.
- But I just -- there was a lot of money
- appropriated federally. It was \$41 million I believe
- to the colleges. I've been one that's always fought
- for the community college system, you know, to make
- sure they're properly funded.
- But in any case, I just threw three numbers in
- 17 there that were far less than I think Senator D
- 18 suggested because I think again as we go through May,
- June, and July I think we'll start to realize what
- 20 September's going to look like for these institutions
- 21 and we can make better decisions. But anyhow, that's
- 22 all I did there.

1 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Well, I brought those numbers
2 forward. In our original conversations I did ask for
3 more money based on a couple of things.

First of all, the refunds by the university system were enormous, \$41.6 million in refunds. That's a huge hit on your cash. The fact that the monies that were given by the feds to the university system, half of that money had to go back to the students, which it should have been, but it had to be brought back to the students.

So I thought an appropriation and that's fine. I think it's reasonable. It's fine and with the community colleges I give the same rationale.

And I asked for \$5 billion for the private colleges because of the real impact this had on the private institutions and the private institutions.

I've got one of them in my district. I've got
Saint A's and I've also got Southern New Hampshire, as
does Senator Soucy.

But they took -- look, they're tuition-driven institutions in New Hampshire, with the exception of Dartmouth, the endowments and di minimis truly. And

- they need some help. They're an economic driver. They
 hire a lot of people.
- In most instances, they pay taxes to the

 communities that they're located in. And in many of

 the communities they are the largest taxpayer in the

 community. I know that in Henniker, in Goffstown, and

 in Rindge, the three institutions are the largest

 property taxpayers of those in the communities.
 - So I thought that they needed some assistance.

 And I thought that \$5 million would be a good gesture on our part just to make sure that they keep going. I think it's really -- it's fundamental.

Are they going to open in September? That's a question that I don't have an answer to, but I think anything we can do to help them should be done.

It's a tough call, but I think it's the right one.

That was my rationale for putting those things on the additional list. And the last thing I'd put on the additional list was the broadband.

And I thought that there are two things that have shown us that we're really inadequate in this area.

And one was the education situation, and I'm talking

about the K through 12 that we put our educational institutions in because of the fact that we eliminated the school.

Found out that in some areas it was okay and other areas it wasn't okay. With so many people working from home we also found out that we had some inadequacies in that area.

And we've been on broadband expansion for a long period of time. I think the time has come when we have to invest in it. That I think is very, very important as we move forward and come out of this very difficult situation. So that was my rationale.

JERRY LITTLE: Further questions or comments about education. Senator Morse?

I mean, I've always found though in trying to build budgets in the state of New Hampshire, you know, it's basically -- today to me, this week, it's about what are the essential priorities in this document that have to get to the governor and have to be approved this week.

That's kind of how I looked at it. And I did not

-- because there was a number -- I don't know where it
was discussed about the state budget. I still don't
even know if we could do that with this money. But I

put it on here so that we'd talk about it.

Does that have to happen today, this week? No, I don't think so. And then we certainly talked about -- and I forget how this happened yesterday. It might have been Representative Hinch that detailed about what the legislative branch spent.

You know, was it a number that we couldn't handle?

And with the fact that we may be going into session and stuff, it truthfully may be a big number. So I think those don't need to be decided this week.

And I was on the mentality -- just so everyone understands -- that this is a document that needs to get out this week. But I didn't want to push too much. But it sounds like you people believe the same thing I do.

So I think that was -- you know, come Friday, whoever wants to figure out how to wrap this up, if someone wants to take a stab at what the letter would look like, and circulate that with us, I think that'd

1 be important.

And then tidying up a few of these financials in here, we just have to come to something that we could all agree to. But I don't think it would be that difficult based on what I'm hearing.

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: I concur. I think you're absolutely right. And the \$200 million that I asked for reserve for the state budget, you're right. That doesn't have to be dealt with immediately.

That was just -- that was a thought that I had given what I see happening to our budget. But we're not going to know what that case really is until the end of June and there are a number of things in place.

I mean, the fact that the taxes aren't due until the end of June will have an effect on us. But that's something I just put out there, and my concept of reserving some monies was a good thing to do.

And you have really kind of accepted that, Chuck, in this context. You've said May 31, June 30, July 31, August 31, we would apportion dollars that we have reserved based on the needs manifested during that time period.

```
I think, yeah, to me that's good budget
```

- 2 management. It's very good budget management. You
- 3 always keep something that you can use at an
- 4 appropriate time in the appropriate place. That's
- 5 sound fiscal management, something we really have to
- 6 pride ourselves on.
- 7 JERRY LITTLE: Further questions or comments?
- 8 We're on education. The only other category I see is
- 9 other. Childcare providers.
- 10 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: I would -- can I ask a question
- of Mary Jane, please?
- 12 MARY JANE WALLNER: Yes, of course.
- 13 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Mary Jane, when I looked at a
- document that was sent to us by Senator Shaheen, child
- care and development block grant of \$6.9 million, how
- was that -- who got that money?
- 17 MARY JANE WALLNER: Oh, I think that is going
- directly to the Child Development Bureau where the
- 19 state of New Hampshire provides scholarships for low
- income families to go to child care.
- I think I have seen some of that information. And
- I think actually some of the eligibility is going to be

1 expanded -- can be expanded to include scholarships for
2 healthcare workers' children.

I think what the request was was to set up a fund similar to what we're doing for our business community. If you think of most childcare centers are just small businesses.

LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Right.

MARY JANE WALLNER: And what they need is money to get -- funds to get up and operating again because they have been closed down now, many of them. About two thirds of the childcare providers in the state have been closed down now since about March 13 I think.

And they're going to have a hard time. They're going to have a real hard time coming back. And they're coming back under some very strict regulations. And they're coming back with a limited number of children they're going to be able to provide service with, even though they have facilities that could have served more children.

They're going to need some help being able to get up and running. And this is one of those areas where let's get up and running quick because businesses want

- 1 to open.
- 2 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Great, thank you. Thank you.

3 JERRY LITTLE: Further questions or comments?

4 Senator Morse, if I understand you right, if I get down

5 to the bottom of your chart, at Line 37 you've got a

6 totals line that under Legislative Advisory Board

recommendations is \$330 million for the categories

8 proposed above.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And if I understand you right, it sounds like you're suggesting that if we can get to the end of the week and have this polished up, you would recommend that communication go to the governor end of this week that says, "These are the areas we think we ought to be spending on right now."

And then below that, lines 39 through 45-ish, there's a category called additional proposed spending and that you would suggest that on May 31 you figure out the next 15% of distributions at a value of \$187,500,000 and doing the same on Jun 30. July 31, and then on August 31 come back and discuss remainders.

Did I state that properly?

While we're waiting for Senator Mores to get back

- to us, I see that Senator Soucy has raised her hand.
- 2 President Soucy?
- 3 DONNA SOUCY: Yes, I just want to go back to the
- 4 child care provider issue. I think this was also one
- of those that requires advancement and that's a
- 6 priority immediately.
- 7 I think if you listen to folks in various
- 8 industries right now, talking about phasing people back
- 9 into work, one of the greatest obstacles to people
- 10 returning to work is their ability to access childcare.
- 11 And I don't think -- as Representative Wallner said,
- these are small businesses.
- I don't they can just unlock the door and tuns the
- lights on and get back to work. I think there's going
- to be some ramp-up time, but it's a critical component
- to getting people back to work knowing that they have
- 17 access to child care.
- 18 So that has a sense of urgency as well. The
- sooner we can determine how the disbursement of funds
- is going to occur, the better off I think all
- industries will be as they try to get their workers
- back in and back up and running.

JERRY LITTLE: Thank you. And using that as 1 an example, if I'm understanding the document and the 2 comments I've heard Senator Morse make, I think what he 3 4 and Senator D'Allesandro and you are proposing there is that for instance in the category of child care, that 5 there's a \$15 million figure and that if you can agree 6 that this is pretty much what you're thinking about and 7 if we can have the time to coordinate and communicate 8 with the Stakeholder Advisory Board as well, that we 9 10 would sooner rather than later recommend to the 11 governor that at the moment in the case of child care providers, that \$15 million be distributed to them to 12 help them open back up. 13

And then if you drop down to the next block below the totals line and Senator Morse in Senator D'Allesandro's document, that additional money to be spent would be recommended on May 31, June 30, July 31, and August 31. Am I understanding it correctly, Senator Morse and Senator D'Allesandro?

20 CHUCK MORSE: Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

DONNA SOUCY: I disagree.

22 CHUCK MORSE: I'll be honest with you. I see no

- reason not to draft a document. And I was on mute when
 I was talking to you, so I guess I wasn't very
 effective.
- But the reality is this committee isn't saying

 just 330. And matter of fact, I'm second guessing

 myself on nonprofits right now, so I guess when I get

 off this call I need to learn more about it.

- We also are accepting the fact that \$250 million has already been appropriated. I mean, we're not saying take that back. We're basically including all those categories in our document.
 - So we're actually at \$580 million going out immediately. The only money in that whole immediate situation that I could see that we could hold back -- and I'm not sure it sends the right message -- is the \$50 million to unemployment trust fund.
 - But the timing of when it actually went to the unemployment trust fund's going to be a whole other decision to be quite honest.
- But I think the stronger thing is -- and it

 doesn't -- it wouldn't offend me that the speaker and

 the Senate president want to draft a letter to go along

- 1 with this.
- I think we create this document, we send it along,
- and we send along the letter that basically says we'd
- 4 like to see certain categories implemented immediately.
- 5 And we do feel there's a need to improve what we call
- 6 the all-up in the distribution of it.
- I don't think that's a bad thing, and I think it
- 8 sends a message we want to send. I think we could do
- 9 that and then we could continue to meet with other
- groups to build a better mouse trap because the
- 11 categories you're asking me about, I'm not the guy to
- build a better mouse trap.
- I'll admit even with BFA, as much as I'm
- interested in it, I talked to Ardinger for a good hour
- 15 -- and Lou you probably understand what that means
- 16 because he loves to talk about what he loves.
- 17 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: He does.
- 18 CHUCK MORSE: You know, I learned a lot about it,
- but technically it's someone else's ball game. When it
- 20 came to the hospital part of the nonprofits -- I mean,
- 21 all other hospitals -- yeah, I believe it needs work.
- But it doesn't mean that we don't need to get the

- 1 \$125 million idea out today. I mean, not today. You know, we could do this on Friday.
- I think that's the strength of what we've done. I

 would like to go back and find out what's going on with

 charities. But obviously, we could all do that.
- Anyhow, if that's where we want to head, I still think
 intended use needs committee involvement between
 tonight, tomorrow.

- Josh can update that document with everyone's comments, if they have a better way of putting things in those lines so we make sure we covered what we want to cover.
 - And then the drafting of the letter to go along with this document, I'm all ears on that one. I'm not going to write the letter because I'm not the guy. I like numbers. I enjoy working with numbers.
- LOU D'ALLESANDRO: I think there's a couple of numbers that we should look at, and one is the hospitals. I think the 75 should be at least 100. We ought to think about that second round that's going to go to the hospitals.
- 22 And I think, Donna, you wanted to discuss that as

an item before we ended this conversation. So that's one that I think we ought to focus in on.

And I don't know if there are others who wanted to talk about other specific categories in terms of whether there was enough or -- enough money in it or too much money in it.

But I think kudos to Chuck for all the work that's been done on this. I know that I sat at my kitchen table for a day and tried to work this out to try to, you know, talk it around it. It's not an easy task. That's for sure.

I think yeoman work was done and compliments to

Jerry and his staff and to Chuck and others for putting
this together. But the hospitals I think is one we

ought to spend a little bit more time on because of the

urgency of that particular situation.

And, Mary Jane, the situation regarding the kids getting the childcare providers, that's another thing.

I think the 15 million, I like that number. How do you get it out and who distributes it and how do you get those things going so that we get the economy back?

Those were two that I thought we could spend a

- little more time on. And then -- I mean, I like -- I
 think your point about the \$50 million, we don't have
 to put the \$50 million in.
- We could reserve that for the second round. That
 money's still going out of the door. When does that
 fund get depleted is the key issue there. That will
 dictate when that money has to be restored. Those are
 my quick comments, so thank you.
- 9 JERRY LITTLE: Representative Wallner, I see your 10 hand is up.

- MARY JANE WALLNER: Yes, I just want to say one thing about -- and I hate to come in late with this, but -- and I should have brought it up a long time ago, and I don't know where it fits.
 - But I want to be sure that we have our eye on housing. The governor's moratorium on eviction will run out, and I don't remember what the exact date is. But we may start to see some eviction at that point.
 - And maybe that comes within the nonprofit area.

 But I just want to be sure that we don't lose sight of that and that housing needs are someplace already in our mix of funding.

- But I just want to be sure that it's someplace.
- 2 And it might be in that nonprofit bucket. That might
- 3 be where we look to have that. So I just wanted to say
- 4 it.
- 5 And I wanted to say thank you to Jerry and thank
- 6 you, Senator Morse for putting the document and all the
- 7 materials together. I'm sure it was a lot of work, I'm
- 8 sure, to put all of this together. Thank you very
- 9 much.
- 10 JERRY LITTLE: On the housing issue,
- 11 Representative Wallner, I do know that the Stakeholder
- 12 Advisory Board is planning on having a thorough
- conversation about that during their meeting tomorrow.
- 14 That's one of the tricky things they're trying to
- 15 balance and accept recommendations from both of the
- boards, the Legislative Advisory Board and the
- 17 Stakeholder Advisory Board.
- I think they've invested quite a bit of time and
- 19 effort into trying to figure out that housing situation
- as well.
- 21 MARY JANE WALLNER: Great, thank you very much. I
- think it's really important that it be included in one

- of our budgets. Thank you.
- JERRY LITTLE: So if I could summarize, I think
- 3 I've heard Senator Morse ask for people to get any
- 4 changes or clarifications they'd like to him and Josh
- 5 Elliott in the next day or so. I think he's requested
- 6 whether or not the Senate president and the House
- 7 speaker would like to pen a letter to the governor, a
- 8 cover letter to move this in sort of a first
- 9 installment on the use of CARES Act funding.
- 10 At the moment it totals about \$330 million with
- 11 suggested a follow-up in May, the end of June, the end
- of July, and the end of August for further
- recommendations as the situation develops and as we
- learn more about how the first uses of the funds will
- 15 affect the state's recovery from the coronavirus for
- 16 additional uses of funds. Does that sound like about
- the right summary, people?
- 18 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Yeah, I would think --
- 19 CHUCK MORSE: Sounds right to me.
- 20 JERRY LITTLE: Senator Soucy, is your hand up
- 21 again? Is that what I see here?
- DONNA SOUCY: It is. I certainly would be happy

to work on a draft letter that we could circulate and discuss on Friday.

I would say for myself personally the end of the document beginning on Line 39 with the proposed spending, I think some of those dates on certain items need to be advanced. I don't think we can push some of these things out that far.

So I will be submitting my recommendations to advance some of that spending. I think just a guess we're going out as far as August with some of that money might be problematic for some industries.

They're going to need to get funds sooner than that.

JERRY LITTLE: Can I just offer a reaction to that? I realize it's not really my place on this group, but I would like to state that the \$1.25 is \$1.25. It's what we have.

Unless Congress passes another version that refreshes the funds in the COVID-19 account, once we've spent it, it's gone, and we have no ammunition to work with. We don't know where this is going.

As I've been saying to people, we don't know what we don't know. And generally we suggested that we hold

on to a couple hundred million dollars for

August/September/October in the event that there's

potential for an echo or another spike.

We've been blessed that we haven't seen that

COVID-19 spike in our hospitals yet, but there's always

the possibility we could. So I think a reserve

account, as Senator Morse and Senator D'Allesandro

title it, the remainder would be still there after

August 31, is responsible budgeting since we still

don't know the full -- how this is going to play out

throughout the summer, whether we lift the stay-at
home, people flood the beaches and we have other

problems what we need to deal with in September.

So I apologize for that and thank you for the graciousness of listening to me. I realize that I was a bit out of place there, but I just wanted to toss it out there.

DONNA SOUCY: No, thanks, Commissioner. And I just want to be clear. I'm not suggesting we don't have a reserve. I'm just suggesting that the proposed schedule of 15%, 15%, and 15% needs to be adjusted because I think we need to advance some of the funds

1 sooner than that.

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- I wasn't suggesting we don't have a reserve at all
- JERRY LITTLE: Senator Morse, you have a question.

but that we advance some of the funds earlier.

- 5 CHUCK MORSE: Yeah, and understand what I've tried 6 to do is -- you know, this is my second version and 7 poor Josh. I mean, I come up with these crazy ideas of
- 8 buttons and things because I try to make it like I can
- 9 understand it.
- The only reason those numbers are down at the

 bottom is because of a theory. So I'm with the Senate

 president on if you don't want to put them in that

 order or you don't want to define them, I think the

 message is exactly what Jerry just said.
 - I think the message is something has to stay in reserve till later in the year. How it plays out in between there, that's why I thought it was so important to come back at the end of May.
 - If we came back at the end of May and spent another 500 because we had to because we realized at that point that a lot of people are hurting in different categories, I don't have a problem with that.

- I don't have a problem with that at all.
- I agree with the theory that this should be grants
- because that's what PPE is going to turn into -- or the
- 4 payroll protection plan's going to turn into.
- 5 And it was done as a loan up front. So I think
- that's probably where all of this is headed. I don't
- 7 know what the governor's thinking at all. But, I mean,
- 8 the reality is I know the people that took payroll
- 9 protection money.
- 10 A lot of people that I'm talking to are out hiring
- 11 because they can. That's what that did. It basically
- gave them the security, when they're not sure where
- sales are going to be, to go out and hire. So I'm all
- 14 for the grants.
- 15 I mean, I think it works. And I just think
- 16 probably getting this document done for one run -- and
- 17 I don't think we've sent the right messages on
- nonprofits. I understood what I was trying to do, but
- it isn't working in my head.
- 20 So let me cut that number down, Donna. You know,
- if we go deeper into the nonprofits just based on I
- 22 want to follow up with Jerry on what he's heard and

- 1 what's going on.
- But yeah, I think it's more of a message of yes we
- need reserves and don't spend it all in one lump sum.
- And then on top of that, I'm all for the unemployment
- security thing, the message that we're sending.
- When it's done, I don't know that the funds
- 7 dropped below 250. I don't know. So I don't think
- there's an urgency to get the cash out. I think
- 9 there's an urgency to send the message that that's
- 10 where we think it needs to go.
- But I think you got to be careful on
- 12 predetermining things in other categories because we
- could find out that April was really bad for hospitals
- 14 and worse than I saw, and we need to hit it harder.
- 15 I think that'll show up probably within the next
- two weeks, to be honest with you. But I don't know.
- In any case, I think it's a good starting point. I
- really do think it needs other people's comments and
- 19 the issues to be covered.
- 20 And I think we need a letter to go along with the
- document. So I think that's probably where we should
- leave this.

```
1 DONNA SOUCY: Okay.
```

- 2 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: That's a good summation. I
- 3 think so. It's been a good day's work. Comments
- should be brought forth pretty quickly for the
- 5 document. And I think it's a pretty good job.
- 6 Given the circumstances, the time crunch, and the
- 7 way we're doing this, I think it's a pretty good job.
- 8 Doing everything from a distance is not easy.
- 9 CHUCK MORSE: Speaking of jobs, I need to go back
- 10 to my job.
- 11 JERRY LITTLE: Is that a motion?
- 12 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: (Inaudible) to get one.
- 13 CHUCK MORSE: That's a motion.
- 14 JERRY LITTLE: Thank you.
- 15 CHUCK MORSE: All right.
- 16 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: (Inaudible)
- 17 CHUCK MORSE: All right.
- 18 LOU D'ALLESANDRO: And, Jerry, thank you for a job
- 19 well done. We keep going.
- JERRY LITTLE: Thank you, Senator. We will do
- that, and I will also reach out to Rich Lavers and ask
- 22 him for some clarification.

```
I believe I got an assignment at the very start of
1
         the call to get in touch with him and ask if he could
2
         write us something relative to the 50 million for
3
4
         balancing the trust fund to avoid a bump in rate for
         employers and to maintain the solvency of that fund and
5
         then ask him about the federal funds for the software
6
         and the hardware upgrades for their system as
7
         employment security.
8
              So I'll get that information for you for Friday.
9
10
         And it's 3:25, and we're closing this meeting of the
         legislative advisory committee. Thank you all very
11
12
         much.
13
              STEVE SHURTLEFF: Thank you, Commissioner.
              LOU D'ALLESANDRO: Thank you.
```

- 14
- JERRY LITTLE: Good-bye. 15
- 16 WOMAN: Thank you.
- [End of Proceedings] 17